See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265734318

# Are Three Contact Efforts Really Reflective of a Repeated High-Intensity Effort Bout?

Article in The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research · September 2014

DOI: 10.1519/JSC.000000000000679 · Source: PubMed

Project

CITATIONS	6	READS	
3		120	
5 authoi	rs, including:		
	Rich D Johnston		Tim Gabbett
	Australian Catholic University		Gabbett Performance Solutions
	18 PUBLICATIONS 260 CITATIONS		252 PUBLICATIONS 6,875 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE

#### Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Change of direction ability in young soccer players View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Rich D Johnston on 18 November 2015.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file. All in-text references <u>underlined in blue</u> are added to the original document and are linked to publications on ResearchGate, letting you access and read them immediately.

1	RUNNING HEAD: Three Contact Efforts and Physical Performance
2	
3	Are three contact efforts really reflective of a repeated high-intensity effort bout?
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

### 1 ABSTRACT

The use of 3 or more efforts (running and contact), separated by short recovery periods, is 2 widely used to define a 'repeated high-intensity effort' (RHIE) bout in rugby league. It has 3 been suggested that due to fatigue, players become less effective following RHIE bouts; 4 5 however, there is little evidence to support this. This study determined if physical performance is reduced after performing 1, 2, or 3 efforts with minimal recovery. Twelve 6 7 semi-professional rugby league players (age  $24.5 \pm 2.9$  years) competed in three 'off-side' 8 small-sided games (2 x 10 min halves) with a contact bout performed every 2 min. The rules 9 of each game were identical except for the number of contact efforts performed in each bout. Players performed 1, 2, or 3 x 5 s wrestling bouts in the single-, double- and triple-contact 10 game, respectively. Movement demands of each game were monitored using global 11 positioning system units. From the first to the second half, there were trivial reductions in 12 13 relative distance during the single-contact game (ES =  $-0.13 \pm 0.12$ ), small reductions during the double-contact game (ES =  $-0.47 \pm 0.24$ ), and moderate reductions during the triple-14 15 contact game (ES =  $-0.74 \pm 0.27$ ). The present data show that running intensity is 16 progressively reduced as the number of contact efforts per bout is increased. Targeting defensive players and forcing them to perform two or more consecutive contact efforts is 17 likely to lead to greater reductions in running intensity. Conditioning performing multiple 18 19 contact efforts whilst maintaining running intensity should therefore be incorporated into training for contact team sports. 20

21 **KEY WORDS:** Tackles; contact sport; pacing; physical demands

22

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Rugby league is a collision sport characterized by periods of high- (e.g. sprinting, tackling, 2 wrestling) and low-intensity (e.g. jogging, walking, standing) activity (12,24). During 3 competition, players typically cover distances of 90-100 m min<sup>-1</sup> (12,21,24), including 6-14 4  $m^{-1}$  at high-speeds (12,24). In addition to these running demands, players are also 5 involved in frequent physical collisions involving blunt force trauma as well as wrestling and 6 7 grappling efforts. Depending on position, players are involved in 24-47 contact efforts during 8 a game at an average frequency of 0.38-1.09 per min (11). However, players are often 9 required to perform contact efforts at a much greater frequency during certain passages of play. Indeed, the frequency of physical contact is twice as high in defence compared with 10 attack (1.9  $\pm$  0.7 vs. 0.8  $\pm$  0.3 per min) (13). These collisions and contact efforts are 11 associated with increased physiological and psychological loads (16), muscle damage 12 13 (17,22), upper body fatigue (17), and reductions in running performance (18). As such, players are required to maintain a sufficient running intensity whilst regularly performing 14 15 repeated contact efforts and high-intensity running actions.

16

Given the high physiological cost associated with performing contact efforts, coaches often 17 18 use tactics that involve targeting a certain defensive player during a period of play, or over an 19 entire game. This results in the player having to make multiple tackles in quick succession. It is believed that forcing a player to make 3 consecutive tackles, reduces their effectiveness 20 during match-play for a certain length of time (9), most likely due to cumulative fatigue 21 22 resulting from the repeated contact efforts (16). Early research within the sport of field hockey aimed to quantify the most demanding passages of competition and defined repeated-23 sprint bouts as 3 or more sprints with less than 21 seconds between each sprint (20). Whilst 24

1 this may be adequate for non-contact sports, repeated-sprint bouts overlook the highly 2 demanding contact efforts that are commonplace in rugby league (16), and therefore 3 underestimate the worst-case demands of competition. As such, Austin and colleagues 4 described repeated high-intensity effort (RHIE) bouts (1), which included repeated sprints, and also contact efforts. Specifically, a RHIE bout was defined as 3 or more contact or high-5 6 speed running efforts with less than 21 seconds between each effort (1). More recently, with the development of global positioning system (GPS) technology, maximal accelerations have 7 8 been integrated into the RHIE definition alongside contact and high-speed running efforts 9 (12). The evidence in support of 3 RHIE being important to physical performance outcomes is largely anecdotal (9) and only one study in rugby league has suggested this may be the case 10 11 (16). The authors noted that players could only maintain sprint performance for 3 efforts (2 12 sprints and 1 tackle), during a repeated-effort test, before sprint performance was markedly 13 impaired (16). However, only amateur players were examined in this study (16), and players 14 did not perform back-to-back tackles. It may be that 2 back-to-back contact efforts are all that 15 are required to impair performance, and render a player relatively ineffective. In addition, a recent study examining the RHIE demands of elite and semi-elite competition highlighted 16 that the majority of RHIE bouts were comprised of 2 efforts, and semi-elite players 17 performed a greater proportion of 2 effort bouts compared with their elite counterparts (3). As 18 such, it appears that performing 2 efforts within a RHIE bout poses considerable physical 19 20 demands on players, which may impact on subsequent performance.

21

The aim of this study was to compare the influence of 1, 2, or 3 contact efforts in a single bout on running performance during small-sided games. It was hypothesized that as the number of contact efforts increased, so too would the reductions in running performance. 2 METHODS

#### **3 Experimental Approach to the Problem**

A counter-balanced, cross-over experimental design was used to test our hypothesis. Players
were randomly divided into three groups, and played each small-sided game in a counterbalanced fashion over a 10 day period separated by at least 72 hours. GPS microtechnology
devices assessed movements during the small-sided games.

# 8 Subjects

9 Thirty-six semi-professional rugby league players from the same rugby league club 10 participated in the study. Twelve of the 36 players (mean  $\pm$  SD age 24.5  $\pm$  2.9 years; body 11 mass 90.4  $\pm$  7.2 kg) wore GPS units during each game, and these 12 players provided the data for this study. All data were collected during weeks 4 and 5 of the pre-season period, with 12 players free from injury. Over the course of the testing period, players were asked to maintain 13 14 their normal diet. In accordance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association 15 (Declaration of Helsinki), players received an information sheet outlining experimental procedures; written informed consent was obtained from each player. The study was 16 17 approved by the University's ethical review board for human research.

18

# 19 Small-Sided Games with Contact

The three games were 'off-side' small-sided games, regularly used by rugby league coaches during training. Unlike a regular small-sided rugby game, during 'off-side' games, the ball can be passed in any direction (i.e. to 'off-side' players). Within each of the three groups, players were divided into two teams of 6 players, ensuring an even spread of playing

1 positions. Each game consisted of two 10 min halves separated by a 2 min rest interval played on a grass training pitch in a standardised (30 m x 70 m) playing area. The 'off-side' 2 3 game used the same rules as those reported previously (16) and each team was permitted to 4 have three 'plays' while in possession of the ball. A 'play' ended when the player in possession of the ball was touched by a defender with two hands. The ball was turned over 5 when the attacking side had completed three 'plays', or if an error was committed. Every 2 6 min of each game, players performed a contact bout (eight contact bouts in total), with 7 8 players allowed 5 s to find a partner. The only difference between the three games was the 9 number of contact efforts in each contact bout. In game 1, players performed a single contact 10 effort each bout (8 in total); game 2 involved two contact efforts each bout (16 in total); game 11 3 involved 3 contact efforts each bout (24 in total). From a standing position, one step away 12 from their partner, players were asked to perform a single shoulder contact, before being 13 given 5 s to wrestle their partner onto their back. In games 2 and 3 when players performed 14 multiple contacts, each 5 s contact was separated by 2 s of rest. All players received coaching 15 on wrestling techniques as part of their training and were familiar with this contact drill. Similar simulated contacts have shown good reproducibility in rugby league players (16). 16 17 After each contact period, the game resumed. Other than the number of contact bouts, there was no difference in the rules, verbal encouragement, pitch size, player number, or match 18 19 duration between games. Due to the varying length of each contact period (single-contact = 20 10 s [5s to find partner; 1 x 5 s wrestle]; double-contact = 17 s [5s to find partner; 2 x 5 s wrestle; 1 x 2 s rest]; triple-contact = 24 s [5s to find partner; 3 x 5 s wrestle; 2 x 2 s rest]) 21 only active playing time (less the contact periods) was analyzed; distances covered were 22 23 expressed relative to ball in play time.

24

### 1 **Time-Motion Analysis**

The GPS units sampled at 10 Hz (Team S4, Catapult Sports, VIC, Australia) and included a 2 100 Hz tri-axial accelerometer and gyroscope to provide information on collisions. Data were 3 downloaded to a laptop (Acer Aspire 2930, Acer, UK) and subsequently analyzed (Sprint, 4 5 Version 5.1.1, Catapult Sports, VIC, Australia). Data were categorized into low-speed activity (0-3.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup>), moderate-speed running (3.6-5.0 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) and high-speed running (≥5.1 6 m's<sup>-1</sup>) (12). Data were divided into 5 min blocks for analysis in order to determine the 7 changes in running performance during each game. Player Load<sup>TM</sup> Slow (<2 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) was used 8 to determine the load associated with the non-running components (i.e. physical contact) of 9 the games (4). These units offer valid and reliable estimates of movements common in rugby 10 league (6,23). 11

12

### 13 Statistical Analyses

The practical meaningfulness of any differences in movement demands between the three 14 15 games was determined using magnitude based inferences. The likelihood that changes in the 16 dependent variables were greater than the smallest worthwhile change was calculated as a small effect size of 0.20 x the between subject standard deviation. Thresholds used for 17 assigning qualitative terms to chances were as follows: <1% almost certainly not; <5% very 18 unlikely; <25% unlikely; <50% possibly not; >50% possibly; >75% likely; >95% very likely; 19 20 ≥99% almost certain (2). The magnitude of difference was considered practically meaningful 21 when the likelihood was  $\geq$ 75%. Secondly, magnitudes of change in the dependent variables were assessed using Cohen's effect size (ES) statistic (5). Effect sizes (ES) of 0.20-0.60, 22 23 0.61-1.19, and  $\geq$ 1.20 were considered small, moderate and large respectively (15). Data are 24 reported as means  $\pm$  95% confidence intervals (CI).

2 **RESULTS** 

The differences in playing intensities and distance covered in each speed zone are shown in Figure 1. During the first half of each game, there was no difference in relative distance (ES = -0.11 to 0.10), low-speed activity (ES = -0.38 to 0.35), moderate-speed running (ES = -0.20 to 0.24), and high-speed running distance (ES = -0.06 to 0.11) covered. Although not practically meaningful, Player Load<sup>TM</sup> Slow (Figure 2) was moderately higher in the first half of the triple-contact game compared with the single-contact game (ES = 0.98 ± 1.0; likelihood = possibly, 36%)

10 \*\*\*FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE\*\*\*

#### 11 \*\*\*FIGURE 2 NEAR HERE\*\*\*

During the second half of the game, the relative distance covered was lower in the triple-12 13 contact game compared with the single-contact game (ES =  $-0.40 \pm 0.24$ ; likelihood = likely, 14 78%); there was little difference between the single- and the double- (ES =  $-0.21 \pm 0.13$ ), or the double- and triple-contact game (ES =  $-0.17 \pm 0.45$ ). From the first to the second half, 15 there was a trivial reduction in relative distance in the single-contact game (ES =  $-0.13 \pm$ 16 0.12; likelihood = possible, 56%), a small reduction in the double-contact game (ES =  $-0.47 \pm$ 17 18 0.24; likelihood = likely, 82%), and a moderate reduction in the triple-contact game (ES = -19  $0.74 \pm 0.27$ ; likelihood = likely, 88%). There was a moderate increase in low-speed activity during the second half of the single-contact game (ES =  $0.67 \pm 0.17$ ; likelihood = likely, 20 90%), and only trivial decreases in the double- (ES =  $-0.05 \pm 0.14$ ) and the triple-contact 21 22 game (ES =  $-0.09 \pm 0.12$ ). Low-speed distance during the second half of the single-contact game was moderately greater than during the triple-contact game (ES =  $0.71 \pm 0.38$ ; 23

likelihood = possibly, 73%). Whilst there were only small reductions in moderate-speed 1 running in the second half of the single- (ES =  $-0.37 \pm 0.21$ ; likelihood = possibly, 71%) and 2 3 double-contact games (ES =  $-0.33 \pm 0.22$ ; likelihood = possibly, 69%), there was a moderate 4 reduction in the triple-contact game (ES =  $-0.74 \pm 0.24$ ; likelihood = likely, 92%). Highspeed running was maintained between the first and second halves in the double- (ES = -0.165 6  $\pm$  0.72; likelihood = possibly, 51%) and triple-contact games (ES = -0.09  $\pm$  0.61; likelihood = possibly, 39%), but showed moderate reductions in the single-contact game (ES =  $-0.78 \pm$ 7 8 0.32; likelihood = likely, 91%). Player Load<sup>™</sup> Slow (Figure 2) was maintained in the second 9 half of each of the three games (ES = -0.09 to 0.17). Consistent with the first half, Player Load<sup>TM</sup> Slow was greater in the second half of the triple-contact game, compared with the 10 11 single-contact game (ES =  $0.72 \pm 0.38$ ; likelihood = possibly, 27%).

12

#### 13 **DISCUSSION**

The results of this study confirmed our hypothesis and highlight that greater reductions in 14 15 running intensity occur as the number of contact efforts performed in a single bout increase. In addition, it lends support to the classification of RHIE bouts requiring a minimum of 3 or 16 more efforts. However, it is clear that running intensity reduces progressively as the number 17 of contact efforts increases. It is likely that performing more contact efforts will lead to 18 larger, longer lasting reductions in running performance. Players need to be conditioned 19 appropriately to minimize reductions in running performance whilst affecting multiple 20 21 contact efforts in quick succession.

1 In the single-contact game, playing intensity was maintained from the first to the second half, 2 whereas there were small reductions in the double-contact game and moderate reductions in 3 the triple-contact game. These results highlight that when players are required to perform 4 multiple contact efforts in quick succession, reductions in running performance do occur. Although there were small reductions in the double-contact game, the larger reductions 5 observed in the triple-contact game highlight the cost of performing multiple contact efforts. 6 As such, targeting defensive players in attack is likely to be advantageous and could 7 8 influence match-play in a number of ways. Firstly, fatigue following RHIE exercise causes 9 reductions in tackling technique in rugby league players (8), which in turn can lead to more missed and fewer dominant tackles during match-play (7), potentially increasing the number 10 11 of points conceded. Secondly, increased fatigue following high-intensity passages of play 12 results in decreases in the number of involvements with the ball and a reduction in the quality 13 of skill execution in the subsequent 5 min period (19). This could have important ramifications if the player who has made numerous consecutive tackles is in a key ball 14 15 playing position (e.g. half or hooker). With this in mind, at certain times during match-play, coaches may benefit from targeting individual defensive players, forcing them to perform 3 16 17 or more consecutive tackles, in order to promote defensive errors and minimize their involvement in any subsequent attack. 18

19

The definition of a RHIE bout originated from the sport of field hockey (20), and has since been used in rugby league (1,9,10). Despite this, it is unclear whether the use of 3 efforts is indeed valid when defining a RHIE bout in rugby league. It could well be, that a bout involving 2 efforts still reflects a demanding passage of play and results in significant fatigue (14). The present data are in accordance with those of others (16), whereby performing 3 high-intensity efforts in close proximity to one another leads to reductions in running

1 performance. Whilst players can maintain overall running intensity when performing single 2 contact efforts in a bout, performing double contact efforts results in small reductions in 3 running performance. Previously, research has only focused on RHIE bouts that include 3 or 4 more efforts, with players performing in the region of 8-10 bouts over the course of a game 5 (1,9,10). However, recently it was shown that players perform numerous bouts involving 2 efforts that are physically demanding (3), yet these efforts are not recognized as RHIE bouts 6 7 in rugby league (14). Moreover, there are greater reductions in the frequency of RHIE bouts 8 involving contact between the first and second halves compared with non-contact RHIE bouts 9 (3), further highlighting the physical performance reductions associated with performing repeated-contact efforts. With this in mind, coaches should condition players so that they are 10 11 capable of performing RHIE bouts with varying numbers of efforts, durations, and activities. 12 Moreover, it is vital players are physically prepared to perform repeated-contact efforts.

13

14 Although there was a greater reduction in overall running intensity as the number of contact 15 efforts increased, there was a difference in the way players either reduced or maintained match intensity between games. In the single-contact game, there was no reduction in overall 16 17 intensity and this was achieved by increasing low-speed activity whilst there were small and moderate reductions in moderate- and high-speed running, respectively. In the double- and 18 19 triple-contact games, the reductions in running intensity were primarily brought about through reductions in moderate-speed running, with only small reductions in low-speed 20 activity and high-speed running. Due to the high contact and RHIE demands of rugby league 21 22 competition (9), and relatively lower running intensities than those of the current games (12), it is possible that players were unaccustomed to the large running component of the single-23 24 contact game. As such, players were unable to maintain the initial intensity and reduced high-25 speed running distance. The increases in low-speed activity in the second half of the single-

1 contact game could reflect players relying on passes to move the ball, rather than running 2 efforts. Unfortunately, the number of skill involvements was not assessed in the present 3 study. The similar activity profiles in the double- and triple-contact games are not surprising 4 given the repeated contact nature of these two games. The reduction in moderate-speed running and maintenance of high-speed running and Player Load<sup>TM</sup> Slow are indicative of a 5 pacing strategy whereby players reduce non-essential activities so that the essential high-6 intensity movements can be maintained (18). Based on this information, it appears that 7 8 players modify their activity depending on the proportion of contact and running performed. 9 As such, players need to be exposed to the appropriate contact and running demands of competition to obtain sufficient conditioning and allow them to set appropriate pacing 10 11 strategies during match-play.

12

This study highlights that increasing the number of contacts in a single bout leads to greater 13 14 reductions in running intensity. While the findings lend support to the use of 3 efforts to define a RHIE bout, small reductions in running intensity also occur when players are 15 required to perform double contact efforts. Future research should aim to compare the 16 17 influence of 2, 3, and 4 efforts on both running performance and skill outcomes between different playing standards. In addition, this study only assessed the influence of repeated 18 19 contact efforts. Future research should investigate the influence high-intensity running efforts and a combination of running and contact efforts have on subsequent game intensity. A 20 21 limitation of the present study was the use of 'off-side' games as opposed to the 'on-side' 22 nature of rugby league match-play. Future research should assess the influence of RHIE bouts on running performance during 'on-side' games. In addition, due to the stochastic 23 nature of the games, players may have performed high-intensity running efforts immediately 24

preceding or following the contact bouts which may have led to further decrements in
 running performance.

3

# 4 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

5 There are a number of practical applications from this study that are useful to rugby league coaching and support staff. Firstly, targeting players in attack, forcing them to perform two or 6 7 more consecutive contact efforts is likely to lead to greater reductions in running intensity and potentially tackling technique and skill involvements. Although the greatest reductions in 8 9 running intensity occurred during the triple-contact game, players still need to be prepared for 10 the various contact and running demands of competition. Double effort RHIE bouts are physically demanding for players and such bouts should be incorporated into conditioning 11 drills. 12

13

# 14 ACKNOWLEDGEMNTS

15 The authors would like to thank the players and staff of the Ipswich Jets Rugby League Club 16 for volunteering to participate in the study. No sources of funding were used to carry out this 17 research.

18

19

# **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Relative distance (A), low-speed activity (0-3.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) (B), moderate-speed running
(3.6-5.0 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) (C), and high-speed running (≥5.1 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) (D) during the first and second half
of the single, double, and triple-contact games. \* Denotes a moderate effect size difference.
Data are presented as means ± SD.

```
6
```

Figure 2. Player Load<sup>™</sup> Slow during the first and second half of the single, double, and
triple-contact games. \* Denotes a moderate effect size difference. Data are presented as
means ± SD.

- 1)

#### 1 REFERENCES

- Austin DJ, Gabbett TJ, and Jenkins DJ. Repeated high-intensity exercise in a professional 1. rugby league. J Strength Cond Res 25: 1898-1904, 2011.
- Batterham AM and Hopkins WG. Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes. Int J 2. Sports Physiol Perform 1: 50-57, 2006.
- Black GM and Gabbett TJ. Repeated high-intensity effort activity in elite and semi-elite 3. rugby league match-play. Int J Sports Physiol Perform, 2014.
- 23456789 4. Boyd LJ, Ball K, and Aughey RJ. Quantifying external load in Australian football matches and training using accelerometers. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 8: 44-51, 2013.
- 10 Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (rev. ed.). Hillsdale, NJ, 5. 11 England: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc, 1977.
- 12 Gabbett T, Jenkins D, and Abernethy B. Physical collisions and injury during professional 6. 13 rugby league skills training. J Sci Med Sport 13: 578-583, 2010.
- 14 7. Gabbett T and Ryan P. Tackling technique, injury risk, and playing performance in high-15 performance collision sport athletes. Int J Sports Sci Coaching 4: 521-533, 2009.
- 16 8. Gabbett TJ. Influence of fatigue on tackling technique in rugby league players. J Strength 17 Cond Res 22: 625-632, 2008.
- Gabbett TJ. Sprinting patterns of National Rugby League competition. J Strength Cond Res 18 9. 19 26: 121-130, 2012.
- 20 10. Gabbett TJ. Influence of playing standard on the physical demands of professional rugby 21 league. J Sports Sci 31: 1125-1138, 2013.
- 22 11. Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, and Abernethy B. Physical collisions and injury in professional 23 rugby league match-play. J Sci Med Sport 14: 210-215, 2011.
- 24 Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, and Abernethy B. Physical demands of professional rugby league 12. 25 training and competition using microtechnology. J Sci Med Sport 15: 80-86, 2012.
- 26 13. Gabbett TJ, Polley C, Dwyer DB, Kearney S, and Corvo A. Influence of field position and 27 phase of play on the physical demands of match-play in professional rugby league forwards, 28 in: J Sci Med Sport. 2013.
- 29 14. Gabbett TJ, Wiig H, and Spencer M. Repeated high-intensity running and sprinting in elite 30 women's soccer competition. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 8: 130-138, 2013.
- 31 15. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, and Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies in 32 sports medicine and exercise science. Med Sci Sports Exerc 41: 3-13, 2009.
- 33 16. Johnston RD and Gabbett TJ. Repeated-sprint and effort ability in rugby league players. J 34 Strength Cond Res 25: 2789-2795, 2011.
- 35 Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Seibold AJ, and Jenkins DG. Influence of physical contact on 17. 36 neuromuscular fatigue and markers of muscle damage following small-sided games, in: J Sci 37 Med Sport. 2013.
- 38 Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Seibold AJ, and Jenkins DG. Influence of Physical Contact on 18. 39 Pacing Strategies During Game-Based Activities. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 40 10.1123/ijspp.2013-0424, 2014.
- 41 Kempton T, Sirotic AC, Cameron M, and Coutts AJ. Match-related fatigue reduces physical 19. 42 and technical performance during elite rugby league match-play: a case study, in: J Sports 43 Sci. 2013.
- 44 20. Spencer M, Lawrence S, Rechichi C, Bishop D, Dawson B, and Goodman C. Time-motion 45 analysis of elite field hockey, with special reference to repeated-sprint activity. J Sports Sci 46 22: 843-850, 2004.
- 47 Sykes D, Twist C, Nicholas C, and Lamb K. Changes in locomotive rates during senior elite 21. 48 rugby league matches. J Sports Sci 29: 1263-1271, 2011.
- 49 22. Twist C, Waldron M, Highton J, Burt D, and Daniels M. Neuromuscular, biochemical and 50 perceptual post-match fatigue in professional rugby league forwards and backs. J Sports Sci 51 30: 359-367, 2012.

- Varley MC, Fairweather IH, and Aughey RJ. Validity and reliability of GPS for measuring 23. instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant motion. J Sports Sci 30: 121-127, 2012.
- $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{3}{4}$  $\frac{1}{5}$  $\frac{1}{6}$ Waldron M, Twist C, Highton J, Worsfold P, and Daniels M. Movement and physiological 24. match demands of elite rugby league using portable global positioning systems. J Sports Sci 29: 1223-1230, 2011.
- 7