- **1** Screen-based Behaviors in Australian Adolescents: Longitudinal Trends from a 4-Year
- 2 Follow-up Study
- 3 George Thomas, M.Sc.^{*}, Jason A. Bennie, Ph.D., Katrien De Cocker. Ph.D., Michael J.
- 4 Ireland, Ph.D., and Stuart J. H. Biddle, Ph.D.
- 5 Affiliations: Physically Active Lifestyles Research Group (USQ-PALs), Centre for Health
- 6 Research, University of Southern Queensland, 37 Sinnathamby Boulevard, Springfield
- 7 Central, QLD, 4300
- 8 *Address correspondence to: George Thomas, Physically Active Lifestyles Research Group
- 9 (USQ-PALs), Centre for Health Research, University of Southern Queensland, 37
- 10 Sinnathamby Boulevard, Springfield Central, QLD, 4300, george.thomas@usq.edu.au,
- 11 +61(7)3470 4119 or Stuart Biddle: stuart.biddle@usq.edu.au.
- 12 **Conflict of Interest:** none
- 13 **Funding:** This research was supported by the Research Training Program International
- 14 Stipend and Tuition Fees Scholarship.
- 15

16 ABSTRACT

The longitudinal trends of screen time, a highly prevalent behaviour in adolescents, are 17 18 relatively unknown. This study examined longitudinal trends in screen time among a large sample of Australian primary school-aged children transitioning into secondary school-aged 19 adolescence. Data were derived from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC). 20 In 2010, 2,179 children (49.7% boys; 10.3±1.1 years) completed a time-use diary, recording 21 22 their main activities during waking hours. This was repeated with the same sample in 2012 (12.4±0.5 years) and 2014 (14.4±0.5 years). Data were analyzed for time spent in TV 23 24 viewing, computer use, electronic gaming, and social networking and online communication. Repeated-measures MANCOVA tests were performed to analyze trends in screen time. 25 Trends were also analyzed by sex. Total screen time significantly increased (+85.9 min/day) 26 over four years ($\eta_p^2 = .010$, P < .001), but differed by sex, with a larger increase in boys 27 (boys: +41.6, girls: +22.7 min/day). Electronic gaming increased in boys (+43.2 min/day) and 28 decreased in girls (-16.8 min/day). In contrast, girls reported larger increases in TV viewing 29 (boys: +0.4, girls: +29.1 min/day), computer use (boys: +24.8, girls: +34.3 min/day) and time 30 communicating online and social networking (boys: +4.3, girls: +15.2 min/day). To conclude, 31 screen time among adolescents increases between the ages of 10 and 14 years, but differs by 32 sex and screen time domain. Future screen time reduction interventions may choose to focus 33 on recreational computer use and electronic gaming in boys and TV viewing and time spent 34 35 communicating online and social networking for girls. Keywords: Screen time; Australia; Longitudinal; Trends 36

38 INTRODUCTION

Screen time refers to time spent on screen-based devices including, but not limited to, TV 39 viewing, recreational computer use, video-gaming and, smartphone- and computer tablet-use 40 [1]. Higher levels of screen time are associated with multiple adverse physical and mental 41 health indicators among children and adolescents, and such associations often remain when 42 adjusted for time spent in moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity [2]. These include 43 44 unfavourable cardiometabolic risk factors, such as increased adiposity [3], as well as mental health issues such as higher levels of depression, hyperactivity and internalising problems [4]. 45 46 Others have argued the effect of screen time on psychological well-being may be negligible [5] and, in some cases, may even be beneficial [6]. Collectively, however, the evidence 47 suggests there are more known harmful effects of high levels of screen time than potential 48 benefits [7]. 49

50

The Australian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Young People (5-17 years)
recommend that recreational screen time should be limited to ≤2 h/day [8]. However, in
adolescents aged 12-17-years, only 13% of boys and 17% of girls are meeting the guidelines
[9]. Public health concerns may rise given that electronic screens are now a ubiquitous part of
the adolescent landscape [7], occupying an increasing part of their daily time, and likely to be
largely used sitting [10].

57

Despite an increased quantity of research on screen time, most studies were cross-sectional and have the limitation of only assessing screen time at a single-time point [11]. Therefore, the longitudinal trends of screen time in adolescents is relatively unknown, especially in Australia. Data from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC) showed that screen time increased by 64 min/day, measured between 2004 (4-5-years) to 2012 (11-12-

years) [12]. However, evidence shows that screen time in childhood may track into 63 adolescence [13]. The trends of screen time during the transition of childhood to adolescence 64 65 are important because in this period, more changes in lifestyle will arise due to the transition from primary to secondary school [14]. If reductions in screen time are important for health, 66 we need to know more about the behaviour and whether it persists over time. Therefore, the 67 aim of this study is to examine longitudinal trends in screen time among a nationally-68 69 representative sample of Australian primary school-aged transitioning into secondary schoolaged adolescence. 70

71

72 **METHODS**

73 Sample

74 Data were obtained from the Kindergarten (K) cohort of the LSAC, a longitudinal crosssequential survey in a nationally-representative sample of Australian adolescents aged 75 between 10-11 and 14-15-years. Full details of the LSAC methodology are published 76 77 elsewhere [14]. In brief, from an initial mail-out to 9,893 children, 50.4% were successfully recruited; 37.5% chose to opt-out and 15.2% were uncontactable. Excluding the latter, the 78 overall response rate was 59.4% [16]. Data collection, including face-to-face interviews with 79 80 the adolescent's parents and other caregivers (e.g., teachers), census-linked data, and time-use diaries from the adolescent, commenced in 2004; and, was repeated with the same adolescent 81 82 every two-years. The LSAC was approved by the Australian Institute of Family Studies Ethics Committee and all participants provided written informed consent. 83

84

85 **Participants**

86 The present study utilised the latest available longitudinal data from the time-use diary

derived from the K-cohort adolescents when they were aged 10-11 (Wave 4, 2010), 12-13

88	(Wave 5, 2012) and 14-15-years (Wave 6, 2014). The response rates for the diary component
89	were 96% ($n = 3,994$), 92% ($n = 3,646$) and 87% ($n = 3,074$) at Waves 4, 5 and 6,
90	respectively [16]. Participants with diary-data were excluded where the start times were out-
91	of-order or incorrectly entered ($n = 604$; 19.6%) or, if they had missing diary-data on screen
92	time ($n = 291$; 9.5%). The final sample size was 2,179 (Figure 1).
93	
94	>>>PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE<<<
95	
96	Procedures
97	Time-use diaries were used to assess adolescent's activities (e.g., screen time) over the course
98	of a single randomly-allocated day. Adolescents recorded their main activities and the
99	commencement time, in sequence, from awake to bed-(sleep)-time [16]. The day after diary
100	completion, a trained interviewer went through the diaries with the adolescent to check the
101	quality of data collected and to record additional contextual information.
102	A pre-established coding framework was used to code the adolescent's activities [16], hence
103	making diaries comparable across adolescents and across waves [17]. Details of the
104	harmonisation are available in Supplemental Table 1. In brief, the present study assessed TV
105	viewing, computer use (excluding games), electronic gaming, and online communication and
106	social networking. Total screen time was calculated by summing all screen-based activities
107	mentioned above.
108	
109	Covariates
110	In Wave 4, parents provided sociodemographic (sex, household income) characteristics using
111	standardised questionnaire items. These characteristics were included in the analyses as
112	covariates, based on being associated with screen time [18].

Given that weight status is a potential correlate and determinant of screen time [19,20], waist
circumference—measured twice by the interviewer to the nearest 0.1cm using a portable
stadiometer (Invicta, Code IP0955) and a tape measure—was used as covariate (average of
Wave 4 measures).

117

Last, maturational status was included as covariate, as it is an identified correlate of sedentary
behavior [21]. Pubic hair development is a commonly used marker for maturational status in
both boys [22] and girls [23]. In Wave 4, parents were asked to rate the amount of change
their child experienced with respect to body hair (armpits and/or dark pubic hair)
development. Using a standardised scale of 1-4, parents rated body hair development with 1
meaning 'has not yet started'; 2 'has barely started'; 3 'has definitely started'; and 4 meaning
'seems complete'.

125

126 Statistical analysis

127 Analyses were conducted using SPSS version 25 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Alpha

levels of P < 0.05 were considered as significant. For each respondent, longitudinal sample-

129 weights were produced to reduce the effect of bias in sample selection and participant non-

130 response [24]. Little's MCAR test was not significant ($\chi^2 = 264.583$, df = 289, p = .846),

131 indicating that missing values were randomly distributed and therefore listwise deletion was

132 employed. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the profile of the sample across each

time-point. Repeated-measures analyses of covariance (MANCOVA), adjusting for all

- 134 covariates, were used to examine differences in screen time across time-points (within-
- subjects factor=time). As part of the MANCOVA procedure, tests of within-subject contrasts
- 136 were used to identify the pattern and significance of change in screen time.

Mauchly's test was used to indicate whether assumptions of sphericity were violated [25], 137 therefore degrees of freedom were corrected using Huynh-Feldt estimates of sphericity [26]. 138 Partial eta-squared (η_p^2) was used as an effect size measure, using the following conventions: 139 small ($\eta_p^2 \ge 0.01$), medium ($\eta_p^2 \ge 0.06$), and large-effect ($\eta_p^2 \ge 0.14$) [27]. Post-hoc tests 140 compared time-points two-by-two, using Bonferroni correction. Given evidence that screen 141 time differs by sex among adolescents [28], time-by-sex interactions were examined and in 142 143 case of significance, time change was analyzed by sex and reported separately. Before conducting our analytical models, we tested for multicollinearity among potential 144 145 covariates using tests variance inflation factor (VIF), with no VIFs indicating multicollinearity (VIF ≥ 2) [29]. The assumption for normality was checked graphically using 146 QQ-Plots and histograms. 147 148 **RESULTS** 149 Participants' characteristics and unadjusted means for screen-based activities are presented in 150 Table 1. Of 2,179 participants, 49.7% (n = 1083) were boys. Participants were, on average, 151 10.3 years (\pm 1.1) old at Wave 4; 12.4 years (\pm 0.5) old at Wave 5; and, 14.4 years (\pm 0.5) old 152 at Wave 6. Participants spent, on average, 176.8 minutes (± 141.8) on screen-based activities 153 on the sampled day at 10-11-years (Wave 4); 209.9 minutes (± 149.8) at 12-13-years (Wave 154 5); and, 261.4 minutes (± 182.7) at 14-15-years (Wave 6). 155 156 >>>PLEASE INSERT TABLE 1 HERE<<< 157 158 Trends over time in total screen time 159 As shown in Figure 2, after adjusting for sex, household income, waist circumference and 160 maturational status, total screen time significantly changed between the ages of 10 and 14 161

 $(F_{\text{time}} = 11.1, P < .001, \eta_{p}^{2} = .010)$, including a significant trend $(F = 19.7, P < .001, \eta_{p}^{2} = .010)$ 162 .012). Post-hoc tests identified that total screen time significantly increased from the age of 163 10 (175.6 min/day) to the age of 12 (207.7 min/day) and again at the age of 14 (261.5 164 min/day; all P < .001). 165 166 Sex differences in total screen time 167 The change in total screen time between the ages of 10 and 14 differed by sex ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 3.2$, 168 P = .041, $\eta_p^2 = .002$) (Figure 2). The 'time-by-sex' interaction was significant from age 10 to 169 age 12 ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 4.9$, P = .028, $\eta_p^2 = .003$). There was a significant increase in total screen 170 time among girls (+22.7 min/day, P < .001), with a larger increase among boys (+41.6 171 min/day, P = <.001). The increase in total screen time between the ages of 12 and 14 did not 172 significantly differ by sex ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 0.1$, P = .761, $\eta_p^2 = .000$). 173 174 >>>PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE<<< 175 176 TV viewing 177 TV viewing (Figure 3, A) significantly changed between the ages 10 and 14 ($F_{\text{time}} = 9.6, P < 10$ 178 .001, $\eta_p^2 = .010$), including a significant trend ($F = 16.6, P < .001, \eta_p^2 = .010$). Post-hoc tests 179 revealed that television viewing did not significantly differ between the age of 10 (116.3 180 181 min/day) and the age of 12 (118.7 min/day; P = .999). However, TV viewing increased at the age of 14 (133.5 min/day), which was statistically different from the ages 10 and 12 (all P <182 .001). 183 184

185 Sex differences in TV viewing

The change in television viewing over four years differed by sex ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 6.1$, P = .002, η_p^2 = .004) (Figure 3, A). The 'time-by-sex' interaction was significant between the ages 12 and 14 ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 11.7$, P = .001, $\eta_p^2 = .010$). There was a significant increase in TV viewing among girls (+29.1 min/day, P < .001), while TV viewing did not significantly change in boys (+0.4 min/day, P = .936). The increase in TV viewing between the ages of 10 and 12 did not significantly differ by sex ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 2.2$, P = .138, $\eta_p^2 = .001$).

192

193 Computer use (excluding games)

194 Computer use (excluding games) (Figure 3, B) significantly changed between the ages of 10 195 and 14 ($F_{\text{time}} = 7.8$, P = .001, $\eta_p^2 = .010$), including a significant trend (F = 10.3, P = .001, η_p^2 196 = .010). Post-hoc tests revealed that computer use (excluding games) significantly increased 197 from the age of 10 (8.4 min/day) to the age of 12 (38.0 min/day) and again at the age of 14 198 (64.0 min/day; all P < .001).

199

200 Sex differences in computer use (excluding games)

The change in computer use (excluding games) over four years differed by sex ($F_{timexsex} =$ 9.3, P < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .010$) (Figure 3, B). The 'time-by-sex' interaction was significant between the ages of 10 and 12 ($F_{timexsex} = 8.6$, P = .003, $\eta_p^2 = .010$), showing a significant increase in computer use (excluding games) among girls (+34.3 min/day, P < .001), and a slightly smaller increase in boys (+24.8 min/day, P < .001). The increase in computer use (excluding games) between the ages of 12 and 14 did not significantly differ by sex ($F_{timexsex} = 3.1$, P =.076, $\eta_p^2 = .002$).

208

209 Electronic gaming

Electronic gaming (Figure 3, C) did not significantly change between the ages of 10 and 14 ($F_{\text{time}} = 0.8, P = .436, \eta_p^2 = .001$).

- 212
- 213 Sex differences in electronic-games

The change in electronic gaming over four years differed by sex ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 49.5, P < .001$, 214 $\eta_{\rm P}^2 = .030$) (Figure 3, C). The 'time-by-sex' interaction was significant between the ages of 215 10 and 12 ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 12.3$, P < .001, $\eta p^2 = .010$). There was a significant decrease in 216 electronic gaming among girls (-9.4 min/day, P = .001), while there was a significant 217 218 increase in boys (+9.7 min/day, P = .049). The 'time-by-sex interaction was significant between the ages 12 and 14 ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 37.1$, P < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .023$). There was a significant 219 decrease in electronic gaming among girls (-7.4 min/day, P = .003), while there was a 220 significant increase in boys (+33.5 min/day, P < .001). 221

222

223 Social networking and online communication

Social networking and online communication (Figure 3, D) increased between the ages 10 and 14 ($F_{\text{time}} = 3.2$, P = .056, $\eta_p^2 = .002$), including a significant trend (F = 4.0, P = .046, $\eta_p^2 = .002$). Post-hoc tests revealed that social networking and online communication significantly increased between the age 10 (0.7 min/day) and the age 12 (10.5 min/day) and again at the age of 14 (25.6 min/day; all P < .001).

229

230 Sex differences in social networking and online communication

- 231 The change in social networking and online communication over four years differed by sex
- 232 $(F_{\text{timexsex}} = 13.4, P < .001, \eta_p^2 = .010)$ (Figure 3, D). The 'time-by-sex' interaction was
- significant between the ages of 10 and 12 ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 38.3$, P < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .023$), showing a
- significant increase in social networking and online communication among girls (+15.2

min/day, P < .001), while there was a smaller increase in boys (+4.3 min/day, p < .001). The 235 increase in social networking and online communication between the ages 12 and 14 did not 236 significantly differ by sex ($F_{\text{timexsex}} = 0.4$, P = .507, $\eta_p^2 = .000$). 237 238 239 >>>PLEASE INSERT FIGURE 3 HERE<<< 240 241 DISCUSSION In this sample of Australian adolescents, the estimated total screen time significantly 242 increased over four years (+85.9 min/day), with increases in TV viewing (+17.2 min/day), 243 computer use (excluding games) (+55.6 min/day), and social networking and online 244 communication (+24.9 min/day). However, these increases differed according to the 245 adolescents' sex. 246 247 Our findings are consistent with other studies [10,30,31], that also show that boys increased 248 their total screen time more than girls. Boys increased time using electronic-games, while this 249 decreased in girls. In contrast, the increase in TV viewing, computer use (excluding games) 250 and time communicating online and social networking was larger in girls than in boys. All 251 effect sizes, except for social networking and online communication were considered small 252 [27] in the total sample. 253 254 Our findings for trends in TV viewing differ from previous cross-national findings that 255 showed a decrease in time spent watching TV between 2002-2010 [10]. Current findings 256 present more recent data on temporal trends, as the total sample (2010-2014) identifies a 257 significant increase in TV viewing. It is plausible that adolescents had easier access and more 258 opportunities to stream TV content on a multitude of screen-based devices and platforms. For 259

example, recent innovations in mobile technology (e.g. iPad introduced in 2010) allow 260 adolescents to stream TV media on demand [32]. Future research on the nature of 261 262 contemporary TV viewing among adolescents, including the online streaming via mobile technology, is warranted. Our findings suggest that there have been significant changes in 263 time allocated to other types of screen-based devices. Consistent with previous findings in the 264 U.S. [33] and across multiple countries [10], time spent using the computer increased among 265 266 Australian adolescents. However, by combining computer use for gaming and non-gaming purposes, it is likely that previous findings have overlooked differences in gender-specific 267 268 motivations for computer use. Importantly, our study is the first to distinguish between computer use (excluding games) and video-gaming. In boys, screen time was predominantly 269 electronic gaming, while this decreased in girls. In contrast, girls' screen-use was focused on 270 non-gaming and social purposes. These gender-specific findings should be considered when 271 designing approaches to reduce screen-based behaviors. 272

273

The current study is important because it provides the first insight into the time-use trends 274 among newer forms of screen time (e.g., social networking, including Facebook), and online 275 communication (such as Instant Messenger) among Australian adolescents. Excessive screen 276 time can be detrimental to adolescent health [2]; limiting this time should be a public health 277 concern, especially as this study shows that screen time is increasing. It is plausible to expect 278 279 that the recommended limit of ≤ 2 h/day for recreational purposes will become increasingly unrealistic for adolescents, and more challenging for parents to manage. The appropriateness 280 of having quantitative public health guidelines on sedentary behavior [34] will no doubt 281 282 garner future debate.

283

The current findings may have important implications for interventions designed to reduce excessive levels of screen time among adolescents. We showed that the amount of time adolescents spend on screens increases as they age, although the source of this increase differs by sex and screen time domain. Indeed, future screen time reduction interventions may choose to focus on recreational computer use and electronic gaming in boys, and TV viewing and time spent communicating online and social networking for girls.

290

291 This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, as this is exclusively 292 Australian participant derived data, conclusions may not apply to other nations [35]. Further to this, there was a modest response rate and a potential oversampling of higher income 293 families [36], which may have further biased our results. We therefore urge caution in 294 inferring that the key findings presented in the current study are population representative. 295 Second, while time-use diaries provide detailed information for health-related research [37], 296 self-reported data can be subject to measurement bias. Third, since the time-period of data 297 collection (2010-2014), there have been changes in the availability of technology, in addition 298 to the ways in which adolescents can access media. For example, the introduction of 299 subscription video on demand (SVOD) services in Australia—including *Netflix* and *Stan* in 300 2015—means that we did not capture newer screen-based activities, especially on more 301 portable and accessible devices, such as smartphones and tablets. However, this study uses 302 303 the only available longitudinal dataset concerning screen time among Australian adolescents. Tracking time-use on modern devices, in addition to where adolescents spend time on these 304 new media (e.g., home, school, and transport) will be an important direction in future 305 research. Fourth, there is emerging evidence to suggest that adolescents engage in screen-306 multitasking (i.e., two or more devices simultaneously) [38], which precludes accurate 307 estimates of individuals total screen time [7]. While the current study did not account for this; 308

understanding screen-multitasking, in addition to other contextual characteristics (e.g., 309 content, timing exposure) should be considered. Fifth, the LSAC methodology could not 310 311 determine which devices adolescents used to social network and communicate online. As technological innovations move away from unifunctional devices to portable, multifunctional 312 devices, activities may have been performed on newer digital media (e.g., smartphones, 313 tablets) [30]. Understanding the nature of contemporary screen time, including the devices 314 315 used, should be a focus of future research. Finally, it is possible that cohort- and periodeffects may have been present in this study [39]. Variations in screen-use over time may be 316 317 related to the effects of aging; to the different life experiences of generations of people born at different times (cohort-effects); or, to societal and environmental changes which affect the 318 population as a whole (period-effects). 319

320

The study's strengths include the utilization of a large dataset with a standardised protocol and extensive quality control; the investigation into trends of total screen time and domain specific screen-based behaviors; and, the control of potential sociodemographic and lifestyle covariates. Further, as technology develops, time-use diaries offer a valuable resource for examining trends over time in sedentary behavior [40], including domain-specific screen time activities.

327

328 CONCLUSION

Australian adolescents' time spent using screens increased between the ages of 10 and 14. This appears to be driven by pronounced surges in computer use (excluding games) and time spent communicating online and social networking. This study contributes to knowledge by showing that the amount of time adolescents spend on screens increases as they age, although

the source of this increase differs by sex and screen time domain. These findings should beconsidered when designing interventions to reduce screen time among adolescents.

336	Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge Assoc. Prof. Rasheda Khanam (University of
337	Southern Queensland) for assistance with accessing the data, in addition to Dr Taryn Axelsen
338	(University of Southern Queensland) and Dr Taren Sanders (Australian Catholic University)
339	for providing statistical advice. This research was supported by the Research Training
340	Program – International Stipend and Tuition Fees Scholarship.

341 **References**

342	[1]	Tremblay MS, Aubert S, Barnes JD, et al. Sedentary Behavior Research Network
343		(SBRN) – Terminology consensus project process and outcome. Int J Behav Nutr
344		Phys Act. 2017;14:75-92.
345	[2]	Stiglic N, Viner RM. Effects of screentime on the health and well-being of children
346		and adolescents: a systematic review of reviews. BMJ. 2019; 9:e023191.
347	[3]	Carson V, Hunter S, Kuzik N, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and
348		health indicators in school-aged children and youth: an update. Appl Physiol Nutr
349		Metab. 2016;4:240-265.
350	[4]	Hoare E, Milton K, Foster C, Allender S. The associations between sedentary
351		behaviour and mental health among adolescents: a systematic review. Int J Behav
352		Nutr Phys Act. 2016;13:108.
353	[5]	Orben A & Pryzbylski AK. The association between adolescent well-being and digital
354		technology use. Nat Hum Behav. 2019;3:173-182.
355	[6]	Rosenqvist, J., Lahti-Nuuttila, P., Holdnack, J., Kemp, S. L., & Laasonen, M.
356		Relationship of TV watching, computer use, and reading to children's neurocognitive
357		functions. J Appl Dev Psychol. 2016;46:11-21.
358	[7]	LeBlanc AG, Gunnell KE, Prince SA, Saunders TJ, Barnes JD, Chaput J. The
359		ubiquity of the screen: an overview of the risks and benefits of screen time in our
360		modern world. Trans J Am Coll Sports Med. 2017;2:104-113.
361	[8]	Australian Government Department of Health. Australian 24-hour movement
362		guidelines for children and young people (5 to 17 years): an integration of physical
363		activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep.
364		https://www1.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/health-24-hours-
365		phys-act-guidelines. Published 2019. Accessed July 26, 2019.

366	[9]	Jongenelis MI, Scully M, Morely B, Pratt IS, Slevin T. Physical activity and screen-
367		based recreation: Prevalences and trends over time among adolescents and barriers to
368		recommended engagement. Prev Med. 2018;106:66-72.
369	[10]	Bucksch J, Sigmundova D, Hamrik, et al. International trends in adolescent screen-
370		time behaviors from 2002 to 2010. J Adolesc Health. 2016;58:417-425.
371	[11]	Saunders TJ, Vallance JK. Screen time and health indicators among children and
372		youth: Current evidence, limitations and future directions. Appl Health Econ Health
373		Policy. 2016;15:323-331.
374	[12]	Yu M, Baxter J. Australian children's screen time and participation in extracurricular
375		activities. In: Australian Institute of Family Studies, eds. Longitudinal Study of
376		Australian Children Annual Statistical Report 2015. Melbourne: Australian Institute
377		for Family Studies; 2015. pp. 99-125.
378	[13]	Biddle SJ, Pearson N, Ross GM, Braithwaite R. Tracking of sedentary behaviours of
379		young people: a systematic review. Prev Med. 2010;51:345-51.
380	[14]	Marks J, Barnett LM, Strugnell C, Allender S. Changing from primary to secondary
381		school highlights opportunities for school environment interventions aiming to
382		increase physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviour: a longitudinal cohort study.
383		Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2015;12:59.
384	[15]	Soloff C, Lawrence D, Johnstone R. Sample design (LSAC technical paper no. 1).
385		Melbourne: Australian Institute of Family Studies; 2005. pp. 1-30.
386	[16]	Corey J, Gallagher J, Davis E, Marquardt M. The time of their lives: Collecting time
387		use data from children in the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC
388		technical paper no. 13). Melbourne: Australian Institute of Family Studies; 2014. pp.
389		1-45.

390	[17]	Mullan K. Longitudinal analysis of LSAC time diary data: Considerations for data
391		users (LSAC technical paper no. 11). Melbourne: Australian Institute of Family
392		Studies; 2014. p. 1-37.
393	[18]	LeBlanc AG, Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, et al. Correlates of Total Sedentary Time
394		and Screen Time in 9-11 Year-Old Children around the World: The International
395		Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment. 2015. PLoS
396		One;10:e0129622.
397	[19]	Stierlin AS, De Lepeleere S, Cardon G, et al. A systematic review of determinants of
398		sedentary behaviour in youth: A DEDIPAC-study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.
399		2015;12:133.
400	[20]	Savva SC, Tornaritis M, Savva ME, et al. Waist circumference and waist-to-height
401		ratio are better predictors of cardiovascular disease risk factors in children than body
402		mass index. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:1453-1458.
403	[21]	Brodersen NH, Steptoe A, Williamson S, Wardle J. Sociodemographic,
404		developmental, environmental, and psychological correlates of physical activity and
405		sedentary behavior at age 11 to 12. Ann Behav Med. 2005;29:2-11.
406	[22]	Parent AS, Teilmann G, Juul A, Skakkebaek, NE, Toppari J, Bourguignon JP. The
407		timing of normal puberty and the age limits of sexual precocity: Variations around the
408		world, secular trends, and changes after migration. Endocr Rev. 2003;24:668-693.
409	[23]	Natsuaki MN, Klimes-Dougan B, Ge X, Shirtcliff, EA, Hastings PD, Zahn-Waxler C.
410		Early pubertal maturation and internalizing problems in adolescence: Sex differences
411		in the role of cortisol reactivity to interpersonal stress. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol.
412		2009;38:513-524.
413	[24]	Norton A, Monahan K. Wave 6 weighting and non-response (LSAC technical paper
414		no. 15). Melbourne: Australian Institute of Family Studies; 2015. p. 1-36.

- 415 ^[25] Mauchly JW. Significance test for sphericity of a normal n-variate distribution. Ann
 416 Math Statist. 1940;11:204-209.
- 417 ^[26] Girden E, eds. ANOVA: Repeated measures. 1st ed. Newbury Park, CA: Sage; 1992.
- 418 ^[27] Cohen J, eds. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd ed. Hillsdale:
- Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
- ^[28] Houghton S, Hunter SC, Rosenberg M, et al. Virtually impossible: limiting Australian
 children and adolescents daily screen-based media use. BMC Public Health.
 2015;15:5.
- 423 ^[29] Cohen J, Cohen P, West SG, Aiken LS. Applied multiple regression/correlation
 424 analysis for the behavioural sciences. 3rd ed. London: Routledge; 2003.
- 425 ^[30] Twenge JM, Martin GN, Spitzberg BH. Trends in U.S. Adolescents' Media Use,
- 426 1976–2016: The Rise of Digital Media, the Decline of TV, and the (Near) Demise of
 427 Print. Psychol Pop Media Cult. 2019;8:329-345.
- ^[31] Busschaert C, Cardon G, Van Cauwenberg J, et al. Tracking and predictors of screen
 time from early adolescence to early adulthood: a 10-year follow-up study. J Adolesc
 Health. 2015;56:440-8.
- 431 ^[32] McCreery SP, Krugman DM. TV and the iPad: How the tablet is redefining the way
 432 we watch. J Broadcast Electron Media. 2015;59:620-639.
- Yang L, Cao C, Kantox ED, et al. Trends in sedentary behaviour among the US
 population, 2001-2016. JAMA. 2019;321:1587-1597.
- 435 ^[34] Stamatakis E, Ding D, Hamer M, Bauman AE, Lee I, Ekelund U. Any public health
 436 guidelines should always be developed from a consistent, clear evidence base. Br J
 437 Sports Med. 2019;0:1-2.
- 438 ^[35] Boniel-Nissim M, Lenzi M, Zsiros E, et al. International trends in electronic media
 439 communication among 11- to 15-year-olds in 30 countries from 2002 to 2010:

- Association with ease of communication with friends of the opposite sex. Eur J Public
 Health. 2015;25:41-45.
- 442 ^[36] Australian Bureau of Statistics. Household Income and Income Distribution 2009-
- 443 2010. Published 2010. Accessed July 21, 2020. Published 2019.
- 444 https://www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/ausstats/subscriber.nsf/0/DBE855896D8CA36DCA2
- 445 578FB0018533C/\$File/65230_2009-10.pdf
- ^[37] Bauman A, Bittman M, Gershuny J. A short history of time use research; implications
 for public health. BMC Public Health. 2019;19:607.
- ^[38] Segijn CM, Voorveld HM., Vandeberg L, Smit EG. The battle of the screens:
- 449 Unraveling attention allocation and memory effects when multiscreening. Hum
- 450 Commun Res. 2017;43:295-314.
- ^[39] Canizares M, Badley EM. Generational differences in patterns of physical activities
 over time in the Canadian population: An age-period-cohort analysis. BMC Public
 Health. 2018;18:304-315.
- ^[40] Chau JY, Merom D, Grunseit A, Rissel C, Bauman AE, van der Ploeg HP. Temporal
- 455 trends in non-occupational sedentary behaviours from Australian time use surveys
- 456 1992, 1997 and 2006. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2012;9:76.