NEW INDUSTRY ENGAGEMENT MECHANISMS

KEY POINTS WASTAGE' IN CURRENT SUPPLY-**DRIVEN SYSTEM** • VET SYSTEM SILO - NOT ALIGNED TO SUSTAINABILITY (Profit, People, Planet) – OTHER POLICY DOMAINS CAPABILITY ISSUES – Industry and government EXPECTATION ISSUES

BACKGROUND

- About 2000, industry concern with VET
- Perceived wastage in a supply-side only VET system
- Skill Ecosystem pilots commenced 2002; included in *Queensland Skills Plan 2006*
- Currently managed as projects (22 existing, 21 transitioned, funding for 6 new ones a year)
- New processes of industry engagement informing thinking about Future VET

NEW INDUSTRY ENGAGEMENT MECHANISMS 2006

Skill Ecosystems (Skills Formation Strategies)
Centres of Excellence
Skills Alliances
Lead Agencies
Direct Industry Engagement

SKILL ECOSYSTEMS

 Collaborative networks Integrate business strategy, work and skill Industry capacity building >Business settings >Business models, systems, processes > Management >Innovation >Institutional and policy frameworks > Mode of engaging labour Structure of jobs >Level and type of skill formation

WHAT HAPPENED? - SFSs

- Some effective, some not.
- Necessary conditions and capabilities:
 - > Industry ownership
 - > Collaboration
 - > Networking
 - > Facilitation
 - Coordinated service provision
 - > Require alternative accountability framework
 - Focus on industry capability to manage its 'skill ecosystem'
 - > Time to allow an organic approach

EXAMPLES

Good skill ecosystems: > Have skilled intermediaries > Analyse and plan methodically > Systemic approach – by sector, region, community > Tools > Leverage workforce change > Influence training provision – formal and informal > Own the 'skill ecosystem' – development and deployment of labour Have appropriate time and resources – from industry and government

Poor skill ecosystems: Inexperienced intermediaries Business welfare' mental model Focused on skills supply Often, but not always, SMEs with no or weak industry organisation (funding implications in Qld problematic here) Poor capability to adapt to changing external environments

WHAT HAPPENED? - DETA Accountability issues in skill ecosystems Little expertise in governance modes Supply-side mental model remained in the administration – evidenced in contracts for other mechanisms Should have leveraged workforce change Importantly, they became established albeit insufficiently • TAFE challenged

LEARNINGS re TAFE

• The existing:

- monitoring and performance framework
- funding arrangements
- Culture

impact on flexibility and responsiveness of public providers in particular.

Exemplar responses depend on risk taking and innovation of individuals

Governance Modes

↓ Policy Parameters Governance Mode →	State	Market	Network
Outcome Focus	Certainty	Efficiency	Reflexivity
Structural Arrangements	Public Organisations	Private Organisations	Collective Organisations
Relationships	Hierarchical Dependent	Contractual Independent	Social / Communal Interdependent
Integrating Mechanism	Legal authority Formal Rules Regulations Mandates Procedures Policies	Arms Length Contractual Transactions Price Supply and demand	Social exchange Common vision Trust Reciprocity
Institutional Arrangements	Departments Committees Task forces	Partnerships Mergers Alliances Acquisitions	Compacts Accords Negotiation tables Informal networking
Issues Complexity	Routine	Intermediate complexity	Complex
Accountability	To polity and public	To self or board	To group - internal

Source: Constructed from Keast et al (2006) p.39

SUMMARY

- New industry engagement mechanisms explored in response to perceived `wastage' and industry concerns with VET
- Issues with governance and accountability
- Issues with 'capability' of industry and of government
- VET system economic context (sustainability) needs to influence its design: role and purpose, governance, skills policy