

# Latest nematode summer and winter crop rotation results

**Author:** Kirsty Owen, Tim Clewett and John Thompson: Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Queensland | **Date:** 07 Mar 2014

GRDC code: DAV00128

⚠ Varieties displaying this symbol beside them are protected under the Plant Breeders Rights Act 1994.

## Authors

Kirsty Owen, Tim Clewett and John Thompson

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Queensland

## Take home messages

Choose tolerant rather than intolerant wheat varieties when *P. thornei* is present at damaging levels, or risk reducing your yields by 50%.

One resistant crop in sequence may not be enough to decrease damaging populations of *P. thornei*.

*P. thornei* survived after a sequence of five resistant crops, but in very low populations.

Management of *P. thornei* by growing several resistant crops works and populations can be reduced to very low levels. However on-going vigilance by testing soil for nematodes is essential when susceptible crops are planted.

## Background

Root-lesion nematodes are microscopic thread-like animals that live in soil and plant roots. Plant roots that are damaged by the nematodes are inefficient at taking-up water and nutrients, causing up to 65% yield loss in intolerant wheat varieties. *Pratylenchus thornei* is found in approximately 70% of fields in the northern grain region.

Management of the root-lesion nematode *Pratylenchus thornei* requires:

- Growing tolerant wheat varieties so that yields are maximised
- Rotating with two or more successive resistant crops so that populations of the nematodes decrease.


## Summer crop rotation trial

Two summer crop rotation trials were planted in adjacent fields in December 2011.

- 1) The first field had low *P. thornei* populations (<125/kg soil or 0.125/g soil). The previous cropping history was five resistant crops since 2004 (cotton, maize and sorghum) (Figure 1).
- 2) The second field had moderate *P. thornei* populations (range of 2000 to 3000/kg soil (or 2-3/g soil) at 0–90 cm soil depth). The previous cropping history was wheat, sorghum, wheat (Figure 1).

Several cultivars of mungbean, soybean, sunflower, maize and sorghum were planted in each field in December 2011 in a replicated design with sufficient plots to plant wheat cvv. EGA Wylie (tolerant) and Strzelecki (intolerant) in 2013. There was also an unplanted bare fallow treatment. After harvest of the summer crops nematode populations were recorded to 120 cm soil depth.

**Figure 1.** Starting populations of *Pratylenchus thornei* in the summer crop rotation experiments in adjacent fields.



**Figure 1 text description:** In the low *P. thornei* site, there was very little *P. thornei* per kilogram of soil found at all soil depth samples. The highest *P. thornei* amount found at the Low *P. thornei* site was at approximately 20cms soil depth at approximately 125 *P. thornei*/kg soil. At the moderate *P. thornei* site, the amount per kilogram of soil increased the lower the soil depth became. At approximately 135cm soil depth, there was 0 *P. thornei*/kg soil and at approximately 10cm soil depth there was approximately 3100 *P. thornei*/kg soil.

## Results

### Moderate *P. thornei* site

*P. thornei* was found to 90 cm soil depth and populations were greatest at 0–15 cm soil depth (Figure 2).

Populations of *P. thornei* after growing sorghum, sunflower and maize were similar to bare fallow (range of 2,900–4,500/kg soil at 0–15 cm (Figure 2)). There were no significant differences between varieties within each of these crop species (Figure 3).

In contrast, populations of *P. thornei* increased after growing mungbean or soybean compared to sunflower, sorghum, maize or clean fallow (Figure 2). **There were also differences between varieties of soybean and mungbean** (Figure 3).

Soybean cv. Soya791 $\Phi$  was moderately resistant (4,800 *P. thornei*/kg soil at 0–15 cm) and its effect did not differ significantly from the fallow treatment. However, all other soybean varieties were very susceptible. Populations of *P. thornei* increased 4–6.7 times to 12,000–20,600 *P. thornei*/kg soil at 0–15 cm (Figure 3).

Mungbean, cv. Emerald was moderately resistant (3,400 *P. thornei*/kg soil) and its effect did not differ significantly from the fallow treatment. However, all other mungbean varieties tested were susceptible and *P. thornei* populations increased 2.2–3.8 times to 6,700–11,700 *P. thornei*/kg soil at 0–15 cm (Figure 3).

**Figure 2.** Populations of *Pratylenchus thornei* increased after growing mungbean and soybean. After growing sunflower, maize and sorghum, populations were similar to the bare fallow (grey line). Means of varieties within each crop are presented for the moderate *Pt* site.

**Figure 2 text description:** The amount of *P. thornei* per kilogram of soil followed very similar patterns with most of the crops (fallow, sunflower, maize, sorghum, mungbean and soybean). They all had a very low *P. thornei*/kg soil at the highest depth of 105cms. They all increased in *P. thornei*/kg soil to the lowest soil depth of approximately 10cms. The overall lowest *P. thornei*/kg soil was seen the soil after growing sunflower. At 10cms soil depth there was approximately 2500 *P. thornei*/kg soil. The overall highest *P. thornei*/kg soil was seen in soil after growing Soybean. At 10cm soil depth, there was approximately 12500 *P. thornei*/kg soil.

**Figure 3.** After harvest of the summer crops, *Pratylenchus thornei* was found in highest populations at 0–15 cm soil depth. Varieties of sunflower, maize, sorghum, mungbean cv. Emerald $\Phi$  and soybean cv. Soya791 $\Phi$  did not differ significantly from the fallow treatment. \* indicates significantly higher *P. thornei* populations than the fallow treatment ( $P < 0.05$ ). Green Dia is mungbean cv. Green Diamond $\Phi$ .

**Figure 3 text description:** The fallow treatment was approximately 2750 *P. thornei*/kg soil. Sunflower (Hysun38, Sunoleic06, Sunbird7, Galah, Hyoleic41 and Ausigold4), Maize (olympiad, Sirius, PAC606 and PAC624) and Sorghum (Tiger, MR Buster, Dominator and MR43) all did not reach over approximately 5000 *P. thornei*/kg soil which was not significantly different from the fallow treatment. Also showing no significant difference from the fallow treatment was Mungbean Emerald which reached approximately 3000 *P. thornei*/kg soil and Soybean Soya791 which reached approximately 4950 *P. thornei*/kg soil. All other Mungbean (Crystal, Satin II, Jade-AU and Green Dia) and soybean samples (Moonbi, Ascot, Rose and Bunya) did show a significant difference from the fallow treatment. Mungbean Green Dia reached approximately 12000 *P. thornei*/kg soil and Soybean Bunya had the highest results of approximately 20000 *P. thornei*/kg soil.

### Low *P. thornei* site

*Pratylenchus thornei* was detected to 60 cm soil depth; below that depth populations were very low or zero (Figure 4).

There were no significant differences in *P. thornei* populations after the different summer crops or the varieties.

Overall, populations increased five times compared to before planting the summer crops, but remained below 250/kg soil (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** *Pratylenchus thornei* populations after harvest of the summer crops at the low *Pt* site (black line). Mean data for all crops presented; there were no significant differences between crops or varieties. Letters indicate significant differences ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) between depth intervals after harvest of the summer crops (black line). The grey line is the pre-plant populations.

**Figure 4 text description:** The preplant sample shows a steady increase in the amount of *P. thornei*/kg soil as the soil depth decreases. However, at approximately 30cms depth the amount of *P. thornei* drops from approximately 125 *P. thornei*/kg soil to approximately 45 *P. thornei*/kg soil. In the after harvest sample, there is no *P. thornei* in soil depths below 75cms but from 75cms to 10cms the amount of *P. thornei* increases from 0 to approximately 230 *P. thornei*/kg soil.

### Summer crop biomass and yield

There were no differences in biomass or grain yield of the summer crops between the low and moderate *P. thornei* sites.

**The summer crops used were tolerant to *P. thornei* so they did not suffer yield loss.**

### Impact on the next wheat crop

At the site that started with moderate *P. thornei* populations the yield of the intolerant wheat cv. Strzelecki<sup>®</sup> was reduced by 49% compared the tolerant wheat cv. EGA Wylie<sup>®</sup> (1,900 kg/ha after Strzelecki<sup>®</sup> compared to 3,700 kg/ha after EGA Wylie<sup>®</sup>). In contrast, at the site that started with low *P. thornei* populations there was only a 4% difference in yield between cv. Strzelecki<sup>®</sup> and EGA Wylie<sup>®</sup> (3,600 kg/ha and 3,700 kg/ha respectively). The yield of cv. Strzelecki<sup>®</sup> increased 47%, or 1,700 kg/ha, at the low *P. thornei* site compared to the moderate *P. thornei* site (Figure 5).

**Figure 5.** Yield of the intolerant wheat cv. Strzelecki<sup>®</sup> was reduced by 47% in the experiment that started with moderate *P. thornei* populations (Mod Pt; 2000–3000/kg soil before the trial started) compared to the low *P. thornei* site (Low Pt; <125 /kg soil before the trial started). Columns with the same letter are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ). ‘<sup>®</sup>’ Varieties displaying this symbol beside them are protected under the Plant Breeders Rights Act 1994.’

**Figure 5 text description:** The intolerant wheat Strzelecki showed a difference between the moderate and low *P. thornei* sites. At the moderate *P. thornei* site, Strzelecki showed a yield of approximately 1900kg/ha and at the low *P. thornei* site, Strzelecki showed a yield of approximately 3600kg/ha. The tolerant wheat EGA Wylie did not show much difference between the moderate and low *P. thornei* site. The moderate *P. thornei* site showed a yield of approximately 3750kg/ha and the low *P. thornei* site showed a yield of approximately 3700kg/ha in the EGA Wylie.

**Figure 6.** Yield of the 2013 intolerant wheat cv. Strzelecki and tolerant cv. EGA Wylie at the site that started with moderate *P. thornei* populations (2000–3000/kg soil at 0–90 cm soil depth) before planting the summer crops in 2011-12. Strzelecki columns with the same letter are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ ).

**Figure 6 text description:** All six sites showed the same pattern of the intolerant Strzelecki having a significantly lower yield than the tolerant EGA Wylie. The site that had the summer crop Maize and sunflower showed the highest Strzelecki yield with both at approximately 2000kg/ha. The site which had the summer crop Soybean showed the lowest Strzelecki yield at approximately 1600kg/ha. The site which had the summer crop Mungbean showed the highest EGA Wylie yield at approximately 3800kg/ha. The site which was the fallow treatment showed the lowest EGA Wylie yield at approximately 3500kg/ha.

Yield of wheat cv. Strzelecki was lowest following soybean (1,600 kg/ha) and greatest following maize and sunflower (2,100 kg/ha). An unexpected result was that there were no significant differences in yield of cv. Strzelecki after fallow, sorghum and mungbean. This result may be partly due to dry conditions during the 2011-12 summer and following winter season which limited nematode multiplication, particularly after the susceptible mungbean. Additionally and importantly, **the results support that one resistant crop in sequence was not enough to sufficiently reduce populations of *P. thornei*.** Nevertheless, there was a strong negative relationship between populations of *P. thornei* after the summer crops and yield of the following intolerant wheat cv. Strzelecki. In contrast, there was no relationship between populations of *P. thornei* and yield of the tolerant wheat cv. EGA Wylie (Figure 7) which is an expected result because of the good level of tolerance of EGA Wylie to *P. thornei*.

At the low *Pt* site, there was no relationship between yields of the tolerant and intolerant wheat and populations of *P. thornei* after growing the summer crops. Populations were below the damage threshold for wheat cv. Strzelecki.

**Figure 7.** There was a strong negative relationship between populations of *Pratylenchus thornei* after the 2011-12 summer crops and yield of following wheat cv. Strzelecki ( $P=0.01$ ,  $n = 6$ ). In contrast there was no significant relationship between yield of cv. EGA Wylie and populations of *P. thornei*. Data is from the moderate *P. thornei* site (2000–3000/kg soil at 0–90 cm soil depth before the trial began) and means for 5 summer crops and a fallow treatment are plotted.

**Figure 7 text description:** EGA Wylie did not show a significant relationship between the amount of *P. thornei*/kg soil and the yield output. The yield stayed consistent for all *P. thornei* amounts, between approximately 3500kg/ha and approximately 3800kg/ha. Strzelecki showed a negative relationship between the amount of *P. thornei* in the soil and the yield. The relationships showed that as *P. thornei*/kg soil increased, the yield decreased. It was found that 78.69% of the decrease in yield was explained by the increase in *P. thornei*/kg soil. The overall yield was also less for Strzelecki than for EGA Wylie even at low numbers of *P. thornei*/kg soil. Strzelecki did not reach a yield over approximately 2150kg/ha.

## What did we learn from this experiment?

Grow a tolerant wheat variety when populations of *P. thornei* are at damaging levels or risk losing up to half of your yield.

Growing one resistant crop, such as sorghum, maize or sunflower did not provide a quick fix in the field that started with 2,000–3,000 *P. thornei*/kg soil. Populations of *P. thornei* did not fall below damaging levels and the next intolerant wheat lost 44–51% in yield compared to a tolerant wheat variety.

*P. thornei* does not die out completely even after five successive resistant crops. Management of root-lesion nematodes is on-going and requires regular soil tests to monitor nematode populations.

## Contact details

Kirsty Owen  
Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Queensland  
Leslie Research Centre  
PO Box 2282, Toowoomba, 4350

Ph: 07 4639 8888      07 4639 8888      07 4639 8888

Email: [Kirsty.Owen@daff.qld.gov.au](mailto:Kirsty.Owen@daff.qld.gov.au)

## Reviewed by

Stephen Neate, DAFFQ