

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science of the Total Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Co-occurrence, possible origin, and health-risk assessment of arsenic and fluoride in drinking water sources in Mexico: Geographical data visualization

M.T. Alarcón Herrera^a, Daniel A. Martin-Alarcon^{b, *}, Mélida Gutiérrez^c, Liliana Reynoso Cuevas^a, Alejandra Martín^d, Mario A. Olmos Márquez^e, Jochen Bundschuh^f

^a Advanced Materials Research Center (CIMAV-Durango), Calle CIMAV 110, Ejido Arroyo Seco, 34147 Durango, Dgo, Mexico

^b San Francisco, CA 94131, USA

^c Missouri State University, Department of Geography, Geology and Planning, 901 S. National Ave., Springfield, MO 65897, USA

^d Mexican Institute of Water Technology (IMTA), Paseo Cuauhnáhuac #8532, Col. Progreso Jiutepec, Morelos, 62550, Mexico

^e Faculty of Zootechnics and Ecology, Autonomous University of Chihuahua (UACH), Periférico Francisco R. Almada Km. 1, Chihuahua, Chih. 31453, Mexico

^f University of Southern Queensland, West Street, Toowoomba, 4350, QLD, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 July 2019 Received in revised form 25 August 2019 Accepted 27 August 2019 Available online xxx Editor: Marta I. Litter

Keywords: Arsenic Fluoride Drinking water contamination Contaminant mapping

ABSTRACT

Arsenic and fluoride in drinking water present a significant challenge to public health worldwide. In this study, we analyze the results of one of the largest surveys of drinking water quality in Mexico: 14,058 samples from 3951 sites, collected between January and December 2017. We use these data to identify the distribution and possible origin of arsenic and fluoride in drinking water throughout the country, and to estimate the associated health burden. The highest concentrations appear in alluvial aquifers in arid northern Mexico, where high-silica volcanic rock likely releases both arsenic and fluoride to the groundwater. We find fluoride contamination to be significantly correlated with aridity (Pearson correlation=-0.45, p=0.0105), and also find a significant difference in fluoride concentrations between arid and humid states (Welch's *t*-test, p=0.004). We estimate population exposure by assigning to each town in Mexico the average concentration of any sampling sites within 5 km. Our results show that 56% of the Mexican population lives within 5 km of a sampling site, 3.05 million people are exposed to fluoride above the reference dosage of 0.06 mg/(kg * day), 8.81 million people are exposed to arsenic above the limit of $10 \mu g/L$, and an additional 13,070 lifetime cases of cancer are expected from this arsenic exposure alone. This burden of disease is concentrated in the arid states of north-central Mexico.

© 2019.

1. Introduction

Safe and readily available drinking water is an essential requirement for public health that concerns every country in the world (World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), 2017). Arsenic (As) and fluoride (F) are contaminants present in many drinking water sources worldwide, and pose one of the greatest inorganic threats to public health (Kimambo et al., 2019). These elements, which generally occur naturally, are most often found in groundwater and therefore disproportionately affect countries that rely heavily on groundwater for irrigation and drinking.

Arsenic occurs in drinking water primarily as arsenate (As(V)), although in reducing environments significant concentrations of the more toxic form arsenite (As(III)) have also been reported. Other forms can also occur, among them organic arsenic and methylated arsenic (Gómez-Caminero et al., 2001; Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018) Inorganic As (arsenate plus arsenite) is the predominant form of arsenic in drinking water (Gómez-Caminero et al., 2001). World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines recommend that levels of As in drinking water should not exceed $10 \,\mu$ g/L (Fewtrell and Bartram, 2001). Exposure to $1500 \,\mu$ g/L of F reportedly causes discoloration of teeth, and exposure to $3000 \,\mu$ g/L may lead to fluorosis and more serious health problems (U.S. Public Health Service Recommendation for Fluoride Concentration in Drinking Water for the Prevention of Dental Caries, 2015).

The limit for F in drinking water that most countries have adopted is based on the WHO guideline of $1500 \mu g/L$ (World Health Organization (WHO), 2017). Health problems have been associated with chronic intake of F at this concentration, however, and thus many international organizations now recommend an even lower F limit of $700 \mu g/L$ (Amalraj and Pius, 2013). As and F co-occurrence in groundwater is generally associated with volcanic rocks (Ahmad and Bhattacharya, 2019; Kumar et al., 2016; Smedley and Kinniburgh, 2002). The health impacts of this co-occurrence are less well known, and may be different than the added effects of individual exposures to As and F.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Email addresses: teresa.alarcon@cimav.edu.mx (M.T.Alarcón Herrera); daniel@ martinalarcon.org (D.A. Martin-Alarcon); MGutierrez@missouristate.edu (M. Gutiérrez); liliana.reynoso@cimav.edu.mx (L.R. Cuevas); alejandra_martin@ tlaloc.imta.mx (A. Martín); Jochen.Bundschuh@usq.edu.au (J. Bundschuh)

1.1. Arsenic and fluoride exposure worldwide

Exposure to As in drinking water has been reported for decades in regions where As is a contaminant of concern. >300 million people in 105 countries are estimated to be chronically at risk from drinking water with As concentrations above the $10 \mu g/L$ WHO limit (Jiménez-Córdova et al., 2019; Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018; Shakoor et al., 2017). The highest exposures have been reported for India (West Bengal), Bangladesh, Nepal, Pakistan, China, Taiwan, Japan, Cambodia, Vietnam, Australia, Hungary, and Romania. In the Americas, As is mainly found in the arid and semi-arid regions of the United States, Mexico, Bolivia, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Peru, Chile, and Argentina (Chakraborti et al., 2016; Kimambo et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2016; Shakoor et al., 2017). Exposure to F in drinking water has been reported in >25 countries. An estimated 200 million people rely on water sources with F above the WHO limit of $1500 \mu g/L$, (Kimambo et al., 2019).

Asian countries with high ground- and surface water F concentrations include India, China, Korea, Thailand, Sri Lanka, Indonesia, Yemen, Pakistan, Iraq, Turkey, Syria, Jordan, Palestine, Bangladesh, Iran, and Saudi Arabia (Biglari et al., 2016; Kimambo et al., 2019; Mumtaz et al., 2015). Though the origin of As and F in drinking water is mostly geological, there is some evidence that prolonged droughts increase the concentration of these contaminants (Ali et al., 2019; Dehbandi et al., 2019; Podgorski et al., 2018; Reyes-Gómez et al., 2015). Some other human activities, notably mining (As) and the use of phosphate pesticides (F), can also contribute to contamination of aquifers and surface water (Navarro et al., 2017).

1.2. Arsenic and fluoride in Mexico

Groundwater is the source of an estimated 39% of drinking water in Mexico (Comisión Nacional del Agua (CONAGUA), 2017). In this country's arid regions, groundwater is the main (and often only) source of water for household use. As and F are contaminants of concern, as they have been reported in high concentrations in various Mexican aquifers (Alarcón-Herrera et al., 2013; Gutierrez et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2016). Many studies have highlighted the public health challenge that arsenic and F represent for central and northern Mexico (e.g.,(Chiprés et al., 2009; Mahlknecht et al., 2008; Reyes-Gómez et al., 2013). The geological sources of these contaminants have been given less research attention, in contrast, even though F enriched mineral deposits have long been identified as part of the "Mexican Tin Belt" (Huspeni et al., 1984). The Mexican Tin Belt is a mineralized area on the eastern flank of the Sierra Madre Occidental (Fig. 2) that is mostly composed of rhyolites and ignimbrites, and which was created after a succession of ignimbrite flare-up episodes between 32 and 30 million years ago (Ferrari et al., 2002; Gómez-Tuena et al., 2007; Huspeni et al., 1984). This volcanism relates to the subduction and detachment of the Farallon Plate, which also resulted in the development of grabens and half-grabens to the east. Volcanic emissions, volcanic ash and volcanic glass from other sites (e.g., the Andes mountains, Mount Etna, the (Bhattacharya et al., 2016; Ferrari et al., 2002) have been shown to contain large amounts of As and F (Alvarez and Carol, 2019; Kimambo et al., 2019; Mukherjee et al., 2014). Attempts to pinpoint geologic sources of As and F in Mexico include work by (Armienta and Segovia, 2008; Carrillo-Rivera et al., 2002; Reves-Gómez et al., 2015).

It is estimated that at least 1.5 million people in Mexico consume water with As above $25 \,\mu$ g/L, and about 150,000 people are exposed to much higher concentrations still (5 to $530 \,\mu$ g/L; (Alfaro de la Torre

et al., 2018) Similarly, about 20 million people in the country drink water with F concentrations above $1500 \mu g/L$. About 900,000 of those—mostly located in the states of San Luis Potosí, Durango, Zacatecas, Jalisco, Chihuahua, and Sonora—are exposed to even higher levels (4500 to 29,600 $\mu g/L$; (Alfaro de la Torre et al., 2018)). The magnitude of this problem is such that about 6.5 million children are believed to be exposed to concentrations of As or F high enough to cause health problems (Jiménez-Córdova et al., 2019; Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018).

The Mexican national limits for As and F in drinking water are 25 and $1500 \mu g/L$, respectively. The federal guideline that sets this limit, NOM-127, was reviewed in 2000 (Secretaría de Salubridad y Asistencia, 2000). An update of this guideline is which will set the As limit to $10 \mu g/L$ and gradually decrease the limit for F until it reaches $1000 \mu g/L$ projected (Secretaría de Salubridad y Asistencia, 2000). Enactment of this new guideline will increase the difficulty of providing drinking water that meets the federal standard.

1.3. Health effects of arsenic and fluoride

The health effects of long-term exposure to arsenic have been widely documented; they include peripheral neuropathy, gastrointestinal symptoms, diabetes, renal system effects, enlarged liver, bone marrow depression, high blood pressure, and cardiovascular disease (Alfaro de la Torre et al., 2018; Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018; World Health Organization (WHO), 2010). Inorganic arsenic is also one of the few substances that have been shown to cause cancer in humans through consumption of drinking water. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classifies arsenic in drinking water as a Group 1 human carcinogen (International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 2004).

Chronic ingestion of fluoride at high doses causes dental, skeletal, reproductive, renal, neurological, gastrointestinal, and endocrine effects. High F increases the rates of dental and skeletal fluorosis, bone fractures, and kidney stones. It also decreases birth rates, thyroid function, and glucose tolerance (Mohammadi et al., 2017; Ozsvath, 2009; Verma et al., 2018). In addition, both a 2012 meta-analysis (Choi et al., 2012) and a 2018 dose-response meta-analysis (Duan et al., 2018) found that high water fluoride is significantly associated with lower IQ levels.

1.4. Co-exposure to both contaminants

Little is known about the toxic effects caused by co-exposure to As and F (Jiménez-Córdova et al., 2019; Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018), though recent studies have explored their effects on immune cells in human populations with chronic exposure to both pollutants through drinking water (Ilizaliturri et al., 2009; Ortiz-Pérez et al., 2003). Exposure to either As or F has shown to reduce IQ levels and intellectual functionality among children (Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018; Ortiz-Pérez et al., 2003; Salgado-Bustamante et al., 2010; Wasserman et al., 2014). The potential role of F in health effects, previously attributed to As alone, should be systematically studied. In order for these studies to be carried out, the sources of both pollutants in drinking water must be better understood. Previous studies have found that about half (47%) of locations in Mexico where either As or F are present above guidelines (10µg/L As, 1500µg/LF) are simultaneously exposed to both contaminants (Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018). The aim of the present study is to spatially analyze one of the largest datasets yet collected of drinking water quality for the whole country. We focus on As and F, and seek to determine their distribution, degree of co-occurrence, possible origin, and the magnitude of the population exposed to them.

2. Methods

The present study is based on water monitoring data reported by the Mexican National Water Commission (CONAGUA) in 2017, concerning groundwater and other sources of drinking water in Mexico (Comisión Nacional del Agua (CONAGUA), n.d.). The original CONAGUA dataset contained water quality information for 14,058 samples from 3951 sites throughout the country, collected between January and December of 2017. For our analysis of the population exposed to these contaminants, we used a list of towns, settlements, and cities (collectively, towns) published by the National Institute for Geography and Informatics (INEGI) in 2010 and made accessible by the Mexican National Commission for the Knowledge and Use of Biodiversity (CONABIO) (Instituto Nacional de Estadística Geografía e Informática (INEGI), 2010).

We cross-referenced INEGI town data with CONAGUA water quality data. Our analysis considers only towns within 5 km of a sampling site from our CONAGUA dataset. The population in these towns adds to 69 million people, or 59% of the total population of Mexico (2010 population data). We assumed that the population living in these towns is exposed to the average contaminant levels of the sampling sites within 5 km. We calculated daily doses of contaminant exposure using the following standard formula (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2010):

daily dose
$$\left[\frac{mg}{kg * day}\right]$$
 = concentration $\left[\frac{mg}{L}\right]$
* $\frac{\text{consumption }\left[\frac{L}{day}\right]}{\text{body weight }[kg]}$

Arsenic exposure is carcinogenic. For a person that drinks contaminated water every day, the associated lifetime cancer risk is proportional to their daily dose of As exposure. This daily dose depends on three factors: As concentration in drinking water, daily water intake, and the person's body mass. In order to estimate the daily doses for the population of each town, we assumed that each town has the same age distribution as the whole country (71% adults, 29% children) (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2018) and we also assumed common reference values for body mass (70 kg for adults, 25 kg for children) and water intake (2 L/day for adults, 1 L/ day for children). Each person's additional lifetime cancer risk is linearly related to their daily exposure through the cancer slope factor (CSF):



We used a CSF value of 1.5 (kg * day)/mg in order to estimate the additional cases of cancer to be expected in each town from a lifetime of As exposure (Donohue and Lipscomb, 2002; US Environmental Protection Agency, 2010). For cancer estimations, we assumed that

the CSF applies to all people exposed to As above the limit of $10\,\mu\text{g}/$ L.

These data were processed using several open-source data analysis tools based on the Python programming language. Data analysis was carried out using pandas (Python Data Analysis Library (pandas), n.d.) and geopandas (GeoPandas, n.d.), with plotting enabled by matplotlib (matplotlib, n.d.) and task codification using Luigi (Luigi, n.d.).

3. Results

3.1. Co-exposure of arsenic and fluoride

As and F co-occur over the established limits throughout the country, and particularly in aquifers along a northwest-southeast axis in the arid and semiarid plateaus of north-central Mexico (Fig. 1).

Alluvial aquifers in these areas are the main source of drinking and irrigation water, and are located in the vicinity to the fluoride-rich "Mexican Tin Belt" (Huspeni et al., 1984) (Fig. 2).

In agreement with (Mukherjee et al., 2014), who report an association to arsenic and sedimentary basins adjoining major orogenic belts. As is found enriched in alluvial aquifers adjoining the Sierra Madre Occidental. The sediment in these aquifers is comprised of the erosional fragments of the surrounding rocks. Although outcrops contain all three types of rocks-igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary-, volcanic rocks (e.g., rhyolitic ignimbrites) dominate (Ferrari et al., 2007; Reyes-Gómez et al., 2013). These volcanic rocks were emplaced during a volcanic event that formed the Sierra Madre Occidental, and which is massive enough to be considered the world's largest continuous rhyolitic province. Ignimbrites and rhyolites are volcanic rocks that form after fast cooling of high silica magma, and which may contain a large amount of volcanic glass (Ferrari et al., 2007). Trace elements present in the magma, including As and F, become trapped in the glass during solidification (Bhattacharya et al., 2006; Nicolli et al., 2010; Ruggieri et al., 2011). Nicolli et al. (2010) report a strong association between As and F in volcanic glass as well as highly variable content, with median values of 6 and 722 mg/kg, respectively. (Ruggieri et al., 2011) report As and water-leached F content in volcanic ash of a rhyolitic magma to be 38 and 220 mg/kg, and both of these elements to be highly mobile.

As and F are released upon weathering of the rock (Nicolli et al., 2010). Dissolution of amorphous silica in volcanic glass is slow, but proceeds under alkaline conditions and it is enhanced by the presence of Na⁺ (or K⁺) (Alvarez and Carol, 2019; Crundwell, 2017). Released As quickly adsorbs onto Fe- and Mn oxyhydroxides, clays, and organic matter and becomes part of the solid fraction again, where it can remain stable for a long time (especially if adsorbed to iron oxyhydroxides). These adsorption-desorption reactions are strongly affected by pH; under alkaline conditions, As desorbs from Fe oxyhydroxides and other sorbents, and moves back into the water (Alvarez-Ayuso et al., 2008; Alvarez and Carol, 2019; Nicolli et al., 2010).

Groundwater in the arid regions is predominantly oxidizing with alkaline pH, a characteristic that favors both the dissolution of the silica in volcanic glass and the desorption of the elements retained in the iron oxyhydroxides, with desorption rates being considerably higher than silica dissolution (Alvarez and Carol, 2019). High evaporation, characteristic of the arid conditions of the eastern side of the Sierra Madre Occidental, further concentrates As and F in the shallower parts of the aquifers (Nicolli et al., 2010). By contrast, the western flank of the Sierra Madre Occidental receives more precipitation and has a steeper drop in topography to reach sea level, which deters the As—F concentration effect.



Fig. 1. Co-occurrence of arsenic (red) and fluoride (blue) in Mexico. Marker size is proportional to the number of times by which that site exceeds the safe limit. Only sites at or above the limit are shown (10 µg/L for As; 1500 µg/L for F). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 2. Extent of volcanic rock and locations of sampling sites above the limit (1500 µg/L) for fluoride. (Modified after (Huspeni et al., 1984).)

Although As contamination is much more widespread than F (Fig. 1), the highest values are found in the regions where they co-occur. Of the 3951 total CONAGUA sites, 639 (16.2%) had As levels above the limit of 10μ g/L. Contaminated sites included groundwater (326; 51%), rivers (179; 28%), and other surface water sites (134; 21%). F, meanwhile, is mostly concentrated in the plateaus in the north-central part of the country (Fig. 3). Of the 3951 total CONAGUA sites, 184 (3.8%) had F levels above the limit of 1500 µg/L. F was only found in groundwater samples.

We expected that the higher evaporation rates associated with arid climate would be an important enrichment factor for As and F. Thus, we classified the Mexican states into three groups (arid, intermediate, and humid) and applied Welch's *t*-test to measure whether states with different degrees of humidity had significantly different As and F concentrations (we considered differences to be significant when p < 0.008333; this threshold corresponds to a significance of 0.05, Bonferroni-corrected for testing 6 hypothesis). The results, shown in Table 1, show a significant difference in only one comparison: fluoride concentrations between arid and humid states (p=0.004). That difference is relevant to this discussion because arid regions depend more on groundwater for drinking and irrigation, and are therefore at higher risk for exposure to fluoride.

The inverse correlation between aridity and As/F contamination can also be observed in a scatterplot of these contaminants against precipitation, Fig. 3. With a significance threshold of p=0.05 (Bonferroni-corrected to p=0.025 for two hypotheses), the Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) between contaminant and annual precipitation is negative for both substances and significant for fluoride (PCC=-0.45, p=0.0105), though not for arsenic (PCC=-0.34, p=0.0570).



Fig. 3. Relationship between annual precipitation and groundwater contamination with arsenic (top) and fluoride (bottom) in Mexican states. Precipitation correlates inversely with contamination.

Table 1

Average arsenic and fluoride concentrations of Mexican states, grouped according to their degree of aridity. Values for arsenic and fluoride are mean and (in parenthesis) standard deviation.

Category	Arsenic, μg/L	Fluoride, μg/L	States
Arid Precipitation <700 mm/yr Number of sites: 1341	12.32 (13.17)	1160 (726)	Aguascalientes, Baja California, Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Chihuahua, Durango, Guanajuato, Nuevo León, Querétaro, Sonora, Zacatecas
Intermediate Precipitation = 700–1000 mm/ yr Number of sites: 1437	4.25 (5.82)	573 (369)	Colima, Ciudad de México, Hidalgo, Jalisco, México, Michoacán, Morelos, San Luis Potosí, Sinaloa, Tamaulipas, Tlaxcala
Humid Precipitation >1000 mm Number of sites: 1173	3.02 (3.42)	361 (127)	Campeche, Chiapas, Guerrero, Nayarit, Oaxaca, Puebla, Quintana Roo, Tabasco, Veracruz, Yucatán

3.2. Cancer incidence related to arsenic

Arsenic distribution, the affected population, and the number of cancer cases that would result from a lifetime of exposure to this contaminant, are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2. It is interesting to note from Fig. 4 that regions with high concentration do not necessarily correspond to a higher exposure and vice versa. Altogether, about 8.81 million people in 7263 towns live within 5 km of a CONAGUA sampling site with >10 μ g/L of As, and an additional 13,070 lifetime cases of cancer are expected from this exposure. This estimate only accounts for the 3951 sites in the CONAGUA dataset, which is an underestimation of the real burden of exposure and disease for the country as a whole.

3.3. Population exposed to excess fluoride

We repeated the analysis above for F, adding data from all the towns within 5 km of a CONAGUA sampling site and averaging the F concentrations at those sites. We calculated how many people are exposed to a daily dosage above the reference value of 0.06 mg/(kg * day), considered to be the limit above which significant health effects begin (Fig. 5, Table 3). About 3.05 million people in 2726 towns live within 5 km of a sampling site that contained >1500 µg/L of F, and about 2.07 million people have an estimated exposure to F above 0.06 mg/(kg*day).



Fig. 4. Arsenic contamination and associated cancer burden. States are colored gray according to the number of additional lifetime cancer cases predicted from exposure to arsenic above $10 \mu g/L$. Red circles denote sampling sites with arsenic concentrations above $10 \mu g/L$. Red circles denote sampling sites with arsenic concentrations above the limit. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 2	
States ranked by their arsenic-associated lifetime cancer burden.	

Rank	State	Population exposed to As above 10 µg/L	Additional lifetime cancer cases caused by As
1	Durango	1,174,741	3381
2	Jalisco	619,058	2283
3	Sinaloa	1,166,453	1247
4	Chihuahua	1,626,153	1198
5	Zacatecas	391,704	912
6	Sonora	1,069,452	894
7	Guanajuato	618,884	854
8	Coahuila	712,095	722
9	Oaxaca	523,865	444
10	Hidalgo	32,923	204

4. Discussion

The provision of safe drinking water is one of the most pressing challenges that Mexico must overcome to meet the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, adopted by all United Nations Member States in 2015. An earlier work had found As and F in 170 municipalities in 23 of the 32 states in Mexico (Comisión de Hábitat Medio Ambiente y Sostenibilidad (CHMAS), 2018); the states with the greatest number of affected municipalities were Chihuahua (28), Zacatecas (27), Durango (25), San Luis Potosí (17), Jalisco (15) and Sonora (9). In total, 71.2% of the municipalities in the country were found to be affected by these contaminants.

The present study reinforces those earlier findings, and allows us to visually identify the areas around the country that are most affected. Our results match earlier estimates of the burden of disease associated with As in drinking water. Inorganic As is also present in food, which is believed to be responsible for 9129 to 119,176 addi-



Fig. 5. Fluoride contamination. States are colored according to the number of people exposed to fluoride above the safe daily dosage of 0.06 mg/(kg * day). Blue circles denote sampling sites with fluoride concentrations above the limit. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

 Table 3

 States ranked by their population exposed to excessive fluoride.

Rank	State	Population exposed to F above $0.06 \text{ mg/(kg * day)}$
1	San Luis Potosí	772,124
2	Durango	634,753
3	Zacatecas	165,624
4	Guanajuato	133,703
5	Jalisco	91,556
6	Michoacán	86,878
7	Chihuahua	81,933
8	Hidalgo	45,725
9	Sonora	22,428
10	Querétaro	18,861

tional cases of bladder cancer; 11,844 to 121,442 cases of lung cancer; and 10,729 to 110,015 cases of skin cancer worldwide (Limón-Pacheco et al., 2018; Oberoi et al., 2014).

Dependence on groundwater in these areas is expected to escalate in the near future due to increasing aquifer depletion, pollution of surface water sources, and water demand. Alleviating exposure to As and F requires treatment of both drinking water and the water used to irrigate food crops (Bhowmick et al., 2018). Contaminant removal systems can be either centralized or domestic, though centralized systems are the ideal option because it is easier to monitor their functionality and properly dispose of the contaminated waste they generate. Technologies for As and F removal include oxidation, coagulation-precipitation, electrocoagulation, adsorption, ion exchange, and membrane-based techniques such as reverse osmosis (Bazrafshan and Ownagh, 2012; Lourdes et al., 2000; Shams et al., 2010).

Education and community engagement are key factors for ensuring successful interventions. There is a need for community members to understand the risks of high As and F exposure as well as the sources of contamination. This include also, the information about the As contents in water for irrigation of crops that can uptake and translocate As to the edible parts, like rice (Althobiti et al., 2018; Santra et al., 2013). Despite challenges inherent to having a source of drinking water sources with variable content of toxic As and F, exposure to these elements has been significantly reduced through mitigation measures such as accessing alternative water sources and centralized and non-centralized water treatment processes and equipment (e.g. reverse osmosis, nanofiltration, among others) (Kimambo et al., 2019; Walker et al., 2008; World Health Organization (WHO), 2017).

5. Conclusion

As and F are major contaminants of drinking water that represent a significant challenge to public health around the world. In this study, we analyzed the results of one of the largest surveys of drinking water contamination in Mexico. We used measurements from 3951 sites around the country to plot the geographic distribution of F and As, and to estimate the population exposed to unhealthy levels of these substances. Our results confirm earlier surveys of this problem, highlighting the burden on the north-central arid states of Durango, San Luis Potosí, Chihuahua, Zacatecas, and Jalisco, in that order. Fluoride contamination is significantly correlated with aridity (Pearson correlation=-0.45, p=0.0105), and there is a significant difference in fluoride concentrations between arid and humid states (Welch's *t*-test, p=0.004).

About 56% of the country's population lives in a town within 5 km of one of our sampling sites (66 million people in 2010). From this total population, we estimated that 3.05 million people have an estimated exposure to F above the reference limit of 0.06 mg/(kg * day), 8.81 million people are exposed to >10 µg/L of As, and an additional

13,070 lifetime cases of cancer are expected from this As exposure. These numbers are an underestimate of the country's overall burden of disease, and don't account for the synergistic health effects of exposure to both contaminants. Nevertheless, they show the magnitude of the public health problem presented by As and F in Mexico.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

M.T. Alarcón Herrera: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing review & editing, Supervision, Project administration. Daniel A. Martin-Alarcon: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualization. Mélida Gutiérrez: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualization. Liliana Reynoso Cuevas: Writing - original draft. Alejandra Martín: Writing - original draft. Mario A. Olmos Márquez: Writing - original draft. Jochen Bundschuh: Writing - review & editing.

References

- Ahmad, A., Bhattacharya, P., 2019. Environmental arsenic in a changing world. Groundw. Sustain. Dev. 8, 169–171 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2018.11.001.
- Alarcón-Herrera, M.T., Bundschuh, J., Nath, B., Nicolli, H.B., Gutierrez, M., Reyes-Gomez, V.M., Nuñez, D., Martín-Dominguez, I.R., Sracek, O., 2013. Co-occurrence of arsenic and fluoride in groundwater of semi-arid regions in Latin America: Genesis, mobility and remediation. J. Hazard. Mater. 262, 960–969 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.08.005.
- Alfaro de la Torre, M.C., Armienta, M.A., Ortiz-Perez, M.D., 2018. Section 2.3: Concentraciones de Arsénico y Fluoruro en Agua Subterránea. In: Ledon, J.M., del Razo, L.M., Jimenez, M. (Eds.), Arsénico y Fluoruro En Agua, Riesgos y Perspectivas Desde La Sociedad Civil y La Academica En México. Gobernacion Mexico, Mexico, pp. 38–56.
- Ali, S., Fakhri, Y., Golbini, M., Kumar, S., Alinejad, A., 2019. Concentration of fluoride in groundwater of India: a systematic review, meta-analysis and risk assessment. Groundw. Sustain. Dev. 9, 100224https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2019. 100224.
- Althobiti, R.A., Sadiq, N.W., Beauchemin, D., 2018. Realistic risk assessment of arsenic in rice. Food Chem. 257, 230–236 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018. 03.015.
- Alvarez, M. del P., Carol, E., 2019. Geochemical occurrence of arsenic, vanadium and fluoride in groundwater of Patagonia, Argentina: sources and mobilization processes. J. S. Am. Earth Sci. 89, 1–9 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2018.10. 006.
- Alvarez-Ayuso, E., Querol, X., Ballesteros, J.C., Giménez, A., 2008. Risk minimisation of FGD gypsum leachates by incorporation of aluminium sulphate. Sci. Total Environ. 406, 69–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.08.010.
- Amalraj, A., Pius, A., 2013. Health risk from fluoride exposure of a population in selected areas of Tamil Nadu South India. Food Sci. Hum. Wellness 2, 75–86 https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2013.03.005.
- Armienta, M.A., Segovia, N., 2008. Arsenic and fluoride in the groundwater of Mexico. Environ. Geochem. Health 30, 345–353 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-008-9167-8.
- Bazrafshan, E., Ownagh, K.A., 2012. Application of electrocoagulation process using Iron and aluminum electrodes for fluoride removal from aqueous environment. E-Journal Chem 9, 2297–2308.
- Bhattacharya, P., Claesson, M., Bundschuh, J., Sracek, O., Fagerberg, J., Jacks, G., Martin, R.A., Storniolo, A.D.R., Thir, J.M., 2006. Distribution and mobility of arsenic in the Río Dulce alluvial aquifers in Santiago del Estero Province, Argentina. Sci. Total Environ. 358, 97–120 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.04.048.
- Bhattacharya, P., Lesafi, F., Filemon, R., Ligate, F., Ijumulana, J., 2016. Geogenic fluoride and arsenic contamination in the groundwater environments in Tanzania. Geophys. Res. Abstr. 18, 16677.
- Bhowmick, S., Pramanik, S., Singh, P., Mondal, P., Chatterjee, D., Nriagu, J., 2018. Arsenic in groundwater of West Bengal, India: a review of human health risks and assessment of possible intervention options. Sci. Total Environ. 612, 148–169 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.216.
- Biglari, H., Chavoshani, A., Javan, N., Hossein Mahvi, A., 2016. Geochemical study of groundwater conditions with special emphasis on fluoride concentration, Iran. Desalin. Water Treat. 57, 22392–22399 https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015. 1133324.
- Carrillo-Rivera, J.J., Cardona, A., Edmunds, W.M., 2002. Use of abstraction regime and knowledge of hydrogeological conditions to control high-fluoride concentra-

tion in abstracted groundwater: San Luis Potosi Basin, Mexico. J. Hydrol. 261, 24–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00566-2.

- Chakraborti, D., Rahman, M.M., Chatterjee, A., Das, D., Das, B., Nayak, B., Pal, A., Chowdhury, U.K., Ahmed, S., Biswas, B.K., Sengupta, M.K., Lodh, D., Samanta, G., Chakraborty, S., Roy, M.M., Dutta, R.N., Saha, K.C., Mukherjee, S.C., Pati, S., Kar, P.B., 2016. Fate of over 480 million inhabitants living in arsenic and fluoride endemic Indian districts: magnitude, health, socio-economic effects and mitigation approaches. J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol. 38, 33–45 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb. 2016.05.001.
- Chiprés, J.a., de la Calleja, A., Tellez, J.I., Jiménez, F., Cruz, C., Guerrero, E.G., Castro, J., Monroy, M.G., Salinas, J.C., 2009. Geochemistry of soils along a transect from Central Mexico to the Pacific Coast: a pilot study for continental-scale geochemical mapping. Appl. Geochem. 24, 1416–1428 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apgeochem.2009.04.012.
- Choi, A.L., Sun, G., Zhang, Y., Grandjean, P., 2012. Developmental fluoride neurotoxicity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ. Health Perspect. 120, 1362–1368 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104912.
- Comisión de Hábitat Medio Ambiente y Sostenibilidad (CHMAS), 2018. Inventario Nacional de Calidad del Agua (INCA). [WWW Document]. URL https://www. calidaddelagua.org.
- Comisión Nacional del Agua (CONAGUA), 2017. Estadísticas del Agua en México, Edición 2017, (Mexico City).
- Comisión Nacional del Agua (CONAGUA), n.d. Programa Nacional Hídrico (PNH) 2014–2018 [WWW Document]. URL https://www.gob.mx/conagua/acciones-yprogramas/programa-nacional-hidrico-pnh-2014-2018
- Crundwell, F.K., 2017. On the mechanism of the dissolution of quartz and silica in aqueous solutions. ACS Omega 2, 1116–1127 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega. 7b00019.
- Dehbandi, R., Abbasnejad, A., Karimi, Z., Herath, I., Bundschuh, J., 2019. Hydrogeochemical controls on arsenic mobility in an arid inland basin, Southeast of Iran: the role of alkaline conditions and salt water intrusion. Environ. Pollut. 910–922 https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.03.082.
- Donohue, J.M., Lipscomb, J.C., 2002. Health advisory values for drinking water contaminants and the methodology for determining acute exposure values. Sci. Total Environ. 288, 43–49.
- Duan, Q., Jiao, J., Chen, X., Wang, X., 2018. Association between water fluoride and the level of children's intelligence: a dose–response meta-analysis. Public Health https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2017.08.013.
- Ferrari, L., López-Martínez, M., Rosas-Elguera, J., 2002. Ignimbrite flare-up and deformation in the southern Sierra Madre Occidental, western Mexico: Implications for the late subduction history of the Farallon plate. Tectonics 21, https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2001tc001302, (17-1-17-24).
- Ferrari, L., Valencia-Moreno, M., Bryan, S., 2007. Magmatism and tectonics of the Sierra Madre Occidental and its relation with the evolution of the western margin of North America. Am. Spec. Pap. 422, 1–39 https://doi.org/10.1130/2007. 2422(01).
- Fewtrell, L., Bartram, J., 2001. Guidelines, Standards and Health: Assessment of Risk and Risk Management for Water-related Infectious Disease. IWA Publishing. GeoPandas [WWW Document], n.d. URL http://geopandas.org/index.html
- Gómez-Caminero, A., Howe, P.D., Hughes, M., Kenyon, E., Lewis, D.R., Moore, M., Ng, J., Aitio, A., Becking, G., 2001. Environmental Health Criteria 224: Arsenic and Arsenic Compouds. Geneva.
- Gómez-Tuena, A., Orozco-Esquivel, M.T., Ferrari, L., 2007. Igneous petrogenesis of the trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt. In: Spec. Pap. 422 Geol. México Celebr. Centen. Geol. Soc. México. vol. 2422, pp. 129–181. https://doi.org/10.1130/2007. 2422(05).
- Gutierrez, M., Alarcón-Herrera, M.T., Camacho, L.M., 2009. Geographical distribution of arsenic in sediments within the Rio Conchos Basin, Mexico. Environ. Geol. 57, 929–935 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-008-1371-4.
- Huspeni, J.R., Kesler, S.E., Ruiz, J., Tuta, Z., Sutter, J.F., Jones, L.M., 1984. Petrology and geochemistry of rhyolites associated with tin mineralization in northern Mexico. Econ. Geol. 79, 87–105 https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.79.1.87.
- Ilizaliturri, C.A., González-Mille, D., Pelallo, N.A., Domínguez, G., Mejía-saavedra, J., Dosal, A.T., Pérez-Maldonado, Iván, Batres, L., Díaz-Barriga, F., Espinosa-Reyes, G., 2009. Revisión de Las Metodologías Sobre Evaluación de Riesgos en Salud Para el Estudio de Comunidades Vulnerables en América Latina. Interciencia 34, 710–717.
- 2010. Localidades de la República Mexicana [WWW Document]. In: Instituto Nacional de Estadística Geografia e Informática (INEGI), Com. Nac. para el Conoc. y Uso la Biodivers http://www.conabio.gob.mx/informacion/gis/.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 2004. Summaries & evaluations: Arsenic in drinking-water (group 1) [WWW document]. In: Int. Agency Res. Cancer. IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risk to Humans vol. 84, p. 39 http://www. inchem.org/documents/iarc/vol84/84-01-arsenic.htmlAccessed 23 August 2019.
- Jiménez-Córdova, M.I., Sánchez-Peña, L.C., Barrera-Hernández, , González-Horta, C., Barbier, O.C., Del Razo, L.M., 2019. Fluoride exposure is associated with altered metabolism of arsenic in an adult Mexican population. Sci. Total Environ. 684, 621–628 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.356.

- Kimambo, V., Bhattacharya, P., Mtalo, F., Mtamba, J., Ahmad, A., 2019. Fluoride occurrence in groundwater systems at global scale and status of defluoridation – state of the art. Groundw. Sustain. Dev. 9, 100223 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2019. 100223.
- Kumar, M., Das, A., Das, N., Goswami, R., Singh, U.K., 2016. Co-occurrence perspective of arsenic and fluoride in the groundwater of Diphu, Assam, Northeastern India. Chemosphere 150, 227–238 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.02. 019.
- Limón-Pacheco, J.H., Jiménez-Córdova, M.I., Cárdenas-González, M., Sánchez Retana, I.M., Gonsebatt, M.E., Del Razo, L.M., 2018. Potential co-exposure to arsenic and fluoride and biomonitoring equivalents for Mexican children. Ann. Glob. Heal. 84, 257–273 https://doi.org/10.29024/aogh.913.
- Lourdes, M. De, Huerta, R., Domínguez, A.M., Soberanis, M.P., 2000. La electrocoagulación: una alternativa para el tratamiento de agua contaminada con arsénico. World Health, 1–4.
- Luigi [WWW document], n.d. URL https://luigi.readthedocs.io/en/stable/
- Mahlknecht, J., Horst, A., Hernández-Limón, G., Aravena, R., 2008. Groundwater geochemistry of the Chihuahua City region in the Rio Conchos Basin (northern Mexico) and implications for water resources management. Hydrol. Process. 22, 4736–4751. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7084 matplotlib, [WWW Document], n.d. URL matplotlib.org.
- matplotlib [WWW document], n.d. URL matplotlib.org
- Mohammadi, A.A., Yousefi, M., Yaseri, M., Jalilzadeh, M., Mahvi, A.H., 2017. Skeletal fluorosis in relation to drinking water in rural areas of West Azerbaijan, Iran. Sci. Rep. 7, 4–10. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17328-8.
- Mukherjee, A., Verma, S., Gupta, S., Henke, K.R., Bhattacharya, P., 2014. Influence of tectonics, sedimentation and aqueous flow cycles on the origin of global groundwater arsenic: paradigms from three continents. J. Hydrol. 518, 284–299 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.10.044.
- Mumtaz, N., Pandey, G., Labhasetwar, P.K., 2015. Global fluoride occurrence, available technologies for fluoride removal, and electrolytic defluoridation: a review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 2357–2389 https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10643389.2015.1025638.
- Navarro, O., González, J., Júnez-Ferreira, H.E., Bautista, C.F., Cardona, A., 2017. Correlation of arsenic and fluoride in the groundwater for human consumption in a semiarid region of Mexico. Procedia Eng 186, 333–340 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. proeng.2017.03.259.
- Nicolli, H.B., Bundschuh, J., García, J.W., Falcón, C.M., Jean, J.-S.S., 2010. Sources and controls for the mobility of arsenic in oxidizing groundwaters from loess-type sediments in arid/semi-arid dry climates - evidence from the Chaco-Pampean plain (Argentina). Water Res. 44, 5589–5604 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.09. 029.
- Oberoi, S., Barchowsky, A., Wu, F., 2014. The global burden of disease for skin, lung, and bladder cancer caused by arsenic in food. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. 23, 1187–1194 https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-1317.
- Ortiz-Pérez, D., Rodríguez-Martínez, M., Martínez, F., Borja-Aburto, V.H., Castelo, J., Grimaldo, J.I., De la Cruz, E., Carrizales, L., Díaz-Barriga, F., 2003. Fluoride-induced disruption of reproductive hormones in men. Environ. Res. 93, 20–30. https: //doi.org/10.1016/S0013-9351(03)00059-8.
- Ozsvath, D.L., 2009. Fluoride and environmental health: a review. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 8, 59–79 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-008-9136-9.
- Podgorski, J.E., Labhasetwar, P., Saha, D., Berg, M., Labhasetwar, P., Saha, D., Berg, M., 2018. Prediction Modeling and Mapping of Groundwater Fluoride Contamination Throughout India. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01679.
- Python Data Analysis Library (pandas) [WWW Document], n.d. URL https://pandas. pydata.org/
- Reyes-Gómez, V.M., Alarcón-Herrera, M.T., Gutiérrez, M., López, D.N., 2013. Fluoride and arsenic in an alluvial aquifer system in Chihuahua, Mexico: contaminant levels, potential sources, and co-occurrence. Water Air Soil Pollut. 224 (1433)https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1433-4.
- Reyes-Gómez, V.M., Alarcón-Herrera, M.T., Gutiérrez, M., López, D.N., 2015. Arsenic and fluoride variations in groundwater of an endorheic basin undergoing land-use changes. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 68, 292–304 https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00244-014-0082-y.
- Ruggieri, F., F.-T., J.L., Saavedra, J., G., D., Polanco, E., N., J.A., 2011. Southern Andes. Environ. Chem. 8, 236–247.
- Salgado-Bustamante, M., Ortiz-Pérez, M.D., Calderón-Aranda, E., Estrada-Capetillo, L., Niño-Moreno, P., González-Amaro, R., Portales-Pérez, D., 2010. Pattern of expression of apoptosis and inflammatory genes in humans exposed to arsenic and/or fluoride. Sci. Total Environ. 408, 760–767 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2009.11.016.
- Santra, S.C., Samal, A.C., Bhattacharya, P., Banerjee, S., Biswas, A., Majumdar, J., 2013. Arsenic in foodchain and community health risk: a study in Gangetic West Bengal. Procedia Environ. Sci. 18, 2–13 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2013.04. 002.
- Secretaría de Salubridad y Asistencia, 2000. Modificación a la Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-127-SSA1-1994, "Salud ambiental, agua para uso y consumo humano. Límites permisibles de calidad y tratamientos a que debe someterse el agua para su potabilización".

- Shakoor, M.B., Nawaz, R., Hussain, F., Raza, M., Ali, S., Rizwan, M., Oh, S.-E.E., Ahmad, S., 2017. Human health implications, risk assessment and remediation of as-contaminated water: a critical review. Sci. Total Environ. 601–602, 756–769. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.223.
- Shams, M., Nodehi, R.N., Dehghani, M.H., Younesian, M., Mahvi, A.H., 2010. Efficiency of granular ferric hydroxide (GFH) for removal of fluoride from water. Fluoride 43, 61–66.
- Smedley, P..L., Kinniburgh, D..G., 2002. A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters. Appl. Geochem. 17, 517–568. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00018-5.
- U.S. Public Health Service Recommendation for Fluoride Concentration in Drinking Water for the Prevention of Dental Caries, 2015. Public Health Reports (Washington, D.C.: 1974). SAGE Publicationshttps://doi.org/10.1177/ 003335491513000408.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2018. Demographic Yearbook 2017. New York.
- US Environmental Protection Agency, 2010. IRIS Chemical Assessment Survey on Arsenic.

- Verma, K.K., Singh, M., Verma, C.L., 2018. Fluoride in water: a risk assessment perspective. Asian J. Bot. 1, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.63019/ajb.v1i2.448.
- Walker, M., Seiler, R.L., Meinert, M., 2008. Effectiveness of household reverse-osmosis systems in a Western U.S. region with high arsenic in groundwater. Sci. Total Environ. 389, 245–252 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.08.061.
- Wasserman, G.A., Liu, X., Lolacono, N.J., Kline, J., Factor-Litvak, P., Van Geen, A., Mey, J.L., Levy, D., Abramson, R., Schwartz, A., Graziano, J.H., 2014. A cross-sectional study of well water arsenic and child IQ in Maine schoolchildren. Environ. Heal. A Glob. Access Sci. Source 13, https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-13-23.
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2010. Exposure to Arsenic: A Major Public Health Concern.
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2017. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality: Fourth Edition Incorporating the First Addendum.
- World Health Organization (WHO), the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), 2017. Progress on Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene: 2017. Who and UNICEF.