

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in Online

1	Article Title	The potential of information and communication technologies to support ageing and independent living	
2	Article Sub- Title		
3	Article Copyright - Year	Institut Télécom and Springer-Verlag 2010 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
4	Journal Name	annals of telecommunications - annales des télécommunications	
5		Family Name	Soar
6		Particle	
7		Given Name	Jeffrey
8		Suffix	
9	Corresponding Author	Organization	Faculty of Business, University of Southern Queensland
10		Division	Collaboration for Ageing and Aged-Care Informatics Research, School of Information Systems
11		Address	Toowoomba , QLD, Australia
12		e-mail	soar@usq.edu.au
13		Received	4 January 2010
14	Schedule	Revised	
15		Accepted	9 March 2010
16	Abstract	This paper discusses the potential of Information and Communications Technology to support ageing and independent living. It reports on the expected impact of ageing Baby Boomers, the environment for ageing, chronic illness and other challenges of ageing, developments in Smart Homes and Assistive Technologies and on the available research evidence of the benefits.	
17	Keywords separated by ' - '	Ageing - Technology - Healthcare	
18	Foot note information		

The potential of information and communication technologies to support ageing and independent living

Jeffrey Soar

Received: 4 January 2010 / Accepted: 9 March 2010
© Institut Télécom and Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract This paper discusses the potential of Information and Communications Technology to support ageing and independent living. It reports on the expected impact of ageing Baby Boomers, the environment for ageing, chronic illness and other challenges of ageing, developments in Smart Homes and Assistive Technologies and on the available research evidence of the benefits.

Keywords Ageing · Technology · Healthcare

1 Introduction

Ageing of populations is an issue of international significance that few would fail to be aware of. As [56] have graphically demonstrated, it is an issue that impacts almost all regions and countries. Ageing of populations is the result of a set of phenomena that are unprecedented in history and which are occurring simultaneously around the world, although more recently in developing countries. These include reduced family size, delayed age of mothers having their first child, innovations in healthcare particularly in medications, economic development, reductions in infectious diseases and longevity.

The sustainability of social and health services is a concern for most countries as needs for care and support will increase with the ageing of populations ([44], 5). By 2056, there will be a greater proportion of people aged

65 years and a lower proportion of people under the age of 15 years in Australia and similar changes in other countries. In 2007, people aged 65 years and over made up 13% of Australia's population. This proportion is projected to increase to between 23% and 25% in 2056 and to between 25% and 28% in 2101 [1–4]. There are similar demographic changes and predicted increases in the percentages of the elderly in the populations of most countries [21, 40].

There are many issues associated with ageing that societies will need to develop strategies for. These include the likely surge in demand for support of numbers of older people who may not be able to participate in the workforce or otherwise have financial independence; social inclusion; social isolation; a large increase in the numbers of people living alone; discrimination against older people which may limit access to employment, access to services and participation in society; increased prevalence of chronic illness due to larger numbers of older people; reduced availability of family carers; increased demands on health and aged care services; increased prevalence of chronic illness and dementia in particular; a shortage of workforce to meet growing demands; design of the built environment to better facilitate access and to allow older people to choose to remain in their own homes; shifting resources towards home and community-based care and support; design of signage and media to ensure access for larger numbers of older people with poorer eye-sight and reducing the potential for tension between generations.

Most of a person's lifetime consumption of health services occurs during the final years of life, and utilisation spirals upwards from around the age of 65 [12]. Older people account for around half of hospital beds days in Australia. Healthcare systems are already under pressure in most countries. Whether these are slip-ups in otherwise well-functioning systems or whether they reflect profound

J. Soar (✉)
Collaboration for Ageing and Aged-Care Informatics Research,
School of Information Systems, Faculty of Business,
University of Southern Queensland,
Toowoomba, QLD, Australia
e-mail: soar@usq.edu.au

dysfunction is debatable. The Australian Government’s Intergenerational Report indicates that much of the pressure in the growth of healthcare costs is due to medications and medical technologies rather than the pressures of ageing per se [15]. The first of the Baby Boomers (BBs) are now moving into their mid 60s, and this is likely to mark the beginning of an upward spiral in demand for healthcare and support services.

The healthcare reform agenda is likely to be accelerated to help countries plan for the impacts of ageing Baby Boomers. Around the world, there has been a shared agenda for reform for several decades [36]. This includes moving from an episodic focus to a more holistic approach; from fragmentation to better coordinated care; moving from end-stage intervention focus to more prevention; from an acute care focus to more public health and primary care and from provider-driven care to a more consumer focus [60]. A notable example of this is the current and previous attempts to introduce reforms to the health system in the USA. In Australia, the 2009 National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission report advocated for greater prevention, individual responsibility, e-health and use of technology [49].

The current generation of old people experienced the Great Depression and the Second World War. The War Generation (WG) grew up in a very different world to that which the BBs experienced. The life-experience of BB’s, at least in developed countries, has included sustained periods of economic prosperity and growth, social stability, employment opportunities and peace in those countries. The WG looked after their own parents when they became frail. They may have still lived in the same town or region as their parents. There was not the degree of social and geographic mobility that BBs and later generations experienced. There was not full employment for women, and there were greater expectations that they would be the main family carers for ageing parents. There will be huge differences in the retirement environment for Baby Boomers compared with that of the War Generation.

107 **2 Chronic illness and disability**

108 Chronic illness and disability increases with age. About one
 109 quarter (23%) of all people aged 65 years and over in
 110 Australia has a profound or severe core activity limitation,
 111 and chronic illnesses such as dementia, hypertension,
 112 asthma and diabetes are common conditions [9]. Associated
 113 with increasing life-expectancy is an increase in the
 114 incidence of chronic disease, and chronic illnesses are the
 115 leading causes of disability and mortality. Age-related
 116 decline is, however, reducing, and current and future
 117 generations of older people are healthier than previous
 118 generations. Rice and Fineman [54] found that the

prevalence of disability amongst the elderly in the USA is 119
 declining, and expenditures for their care are increasingly 120
 concentrated at the end of life rather than during extra years 121
 of relatively healthy life. Health care costs will undoubtedly 122
 increase as a result of the large numbers of Baby Boomers 123
 entering late life. 124

In the USA, about 80% of older adults have at least one 125
 chronic condition, and 50% have at least two [20]. These 126
 conditions can cause years of pain, loss of function and 127
 depression. More than 15 million Australians are directly 128
 affected by at least one chronic disease [9–11]. One-third of 129
 problems presented in primary care general practice are 130
 chronic in nature ([6]). It may also be the case that some 131
 elderly people are admitted to hospital because adequate 132
 facilities for their care are not available in the community 133
 ([46]). People who identify as having a disability account 134
 for almost 20% of the Australian population [1–4], and on 135
 average, people can expect to live with a disability for 136
 almost 20 years ([10], 210). 137

Dementia is of particular concern for the quality of life 138
 of individuals and their families as well as for the demands 139
 for support and care. More than 35 million people 140
 worldwide will have dementia in 2010 ([7], 2). This is a 141
 10% increase over previous global dementia prevalence 142
 reported in 2005 [31]. Alzheimer’s Disease International 143
 predicts that dementia prevalence will nearly double every 144
 20 years, to 65.7 million in 2030 and 115.4 million in 2050. 145

The Australian Health Ministers’ Council [8] developed 146
 a national strategic policy approach to chronic disease 147
 prevention and care. Better coordination of chronic illness 148
 care in community settings aided by technology can be 149
 expected to improve the effectiveness and safety of care for 150
 the frail aged population, in particular, and for people who 151
 generally need support [19]. 152

3 Technology and social change 153

Transformation of society through technology has been 154
 accelerated since the advent of information and communi- 155
 cation technology [34]. Technology provides many-fold 156
 increases in productivity, higher quality, greater conve- 157
 nience, lower costs and lower prices. It usually results in a 158
 range of changes including simplification of work processes 159
 and disintermediation as whole steps in the production 160
 process are eliminated; it also provides for both greater 161
 standardisation and individual customisation. Technology 162
 has transformed shipping and stevedoring with massive 163
 reductions in labour demand and huge increases in 164
 productivity [27]. 165

Technology has the potential to provide the elderly with 166
 better access to support, information, care and other 167
 services. Technology can also reduce travel often across 168

Q3

169 vast distances and sometimes just for routine checks which
 170 could be provided more safely and conveniently through
 171 telecare and telehealth systems. The opportunities for the
 172 support that smart homes and assistive technology can
 173 provide is evident in the rates of events such as falls,
 174 medication difficulties and social isolation. Technology can
 175 help to maintain social connections, provide access to
 176 information for self-care, enhance access to professional
 177 services, and it can also help to ensure people are safe,
 178 receiving needed support and participating in activities of
 179 daily living [61].

180 The Internet provides people with a flexible and
 181 personalised way to learn. It offers learning-on-demand
 Q4 182 opportunities and reduces learning cost ([72], 204). There is
 183 a lower level of use of Information and Communication
 184 Technologies by older people [43]. There is much to be
 185 done to provide seniors with better access to technology
 186 and information services.

187 **4 Coordinated care and self-management**

188 Coordination of care can be challenging in countries such
 189 as Australia with fragmentation of funding and payment
 190 systems, a mix of public and private funding and care
 191 provision and a complexity of reporting lines and account-
 Q5 192 ability ([28], 5). There is interest internationally in
 193 providing more health care for the aged and chronic ill in
 194 their homes [24], and this fragmentation can be a barrier.

195 People living with a long-term illness often develop
 196 knowledge and expertise about their condition and want to
 197 participate in making decisions about their own health care
 198 [71]. There is interest in self-management and how to best
 199 manage it [41, 48, 51]. Patients with effective self-
 200 management skills make better use of health care profes-
 201 sionals' time and have enhanced self-care [39]. The UK's
 202 Expert Patients Programme [50] is a lay-led self-
 203 management programme that has been specifically developed
 204 for people living with long-term conditions. It aims to support
 205 people to increase their confidence, improve their quality of
 206 life and help them to better manage their condition.

207 The World Health Organisation's framework for innova-
 208 tive care of chronic diseases recognises self-management for
 209 effective health care organisations [69]. Self-management
 210 needs to be guided to be most effective and to guard
 211 against patients being misinformed or influenced by the
 212 poor quality information that is also available through
 213 the Internet. Successful self-management is related to the
 214 engagement of health care professionals [39]. Technology
 215 offers the means to link consumers, family carers and
 216 professional carers to provide timely access to quality
 217 information and to enhance the patient-clinician partner-
 218 ship [23].

Healthcare consumers in most countries still have little 219
 access to or control over their own information [30]. There 220
 are particular challenges for older consumers in accessing 221
 and managing health information. In Australia, 44% of 222
 people over the age of 65 have never used the Internet [5]. 223

5 Ageing and technology 224

Assistive technology can facilitate the independence and 225
 well-being of people and contribute to preventative health 226
 models [45]. Assistive technology (AT) can be defined as 227
 'any item, piece of equipment, product or system that is 228
 used to increase, maintain or improve the functional 229
 capabilities of individuals and independence of people with 230
 cognitive, physical or communication difficulties' (UK 231
 Audit Commission 2004). Some common examples include 232
 mobility equipment, aids to daily living, personal alarm 233
 systems, communication devices, home modification, ac- 234
 cessible building design and computer access hardware and 235
 software [17, 35]. 236

There is recognition of the potential for technology to 237
 enhance the safety and independence of frail older people, 238
 enable access to quality care services and to extend their 239
 ability to remain in their own homes. Intelligent monitors 240
 can keep a continuous watch on older people's vital signs, 241
 activity patterns and their safety and security [61]. There is 242
 an increasing interest in telecare, telehealth, smart homes 243
 and assistive technologies [59]. The benefits include a 244
 reduction in the level of incidents of adverse events, 245
 providing support and new service interventions for 246
 conditions amongst the elderly such as chronic illness, 247
 falls, dementia, medication problems, wandering and social 248
 isolation [38]. Telehealth can be of particular value for 249
 isolated communities [66]. 250

5.1 Adoption and rejection of assistive technologies 251

High levels of assistive technology abandonment are well 252
 documented [57, 67]. Not only do they need to be user 253
 friendly (Livingstone, [60]), but other issues such as how 254
 they are viewed by users need to be addressed. Any stigma 255
 associated with disability can extend to assistive technology 256
 devices [52]. The design of the device itself and having a 257
 supportive social environment can positively be the 258
 acceptance of assistive technology [67]. 259

An important consideration is inappropriate selection of 260
 a product or mis-prescription. Mis-prescription of assistive 261
 technology can result from several factors including a lack 262
 of clinical assessment, lack of expert knowledge, 263
 equipment-centric rather than people-centric provision of 264
 options, limited range of options available and commercial 265
 bias. Wessels et al. [67] identifies factors associated with 266

267 acceptance which include the level of communication with
 268 a user, consideration of the user’s opinion, and the quality
 269 of ongoing instruction, training and maintenance. A
 270 reluctance to seek advice in the acquisition of assistive
 271 technology or more generally can be associated with a
 272 denial of disability [42].

273 People who acquire a disability can have a very different
 274 view of assistive technology compared to those born with
 275 disability [67]. Where the latter sees assistive technology as
 276 a means to attain new levels of independence and quality of
 277 life, people with acquired disabilities see the same devices
 278 as a reminder that function and quality of life has been lost.
 279 Similarly, people with slower-developing, progressive con-
 280 ditions more frequently tend to view technology as a
 281 reminder of their limitations [37].

282 **5.2 Large-scale rollouts**

283 Technology has the potential to provide access to care,
 284 social connectivity, improved quality of life and increased
 285 security for older people. There is now an increasing body
 286 of evidence from the experiences of large-scale provision of
 287 telecare and telehealth services into homes that demonstrate
 288 a range of benefits including reductions in hospital attend-
 289 ances and admissions, reductions in hospital length of stay
 290 and reduced nursing home stays.

291 There are reports of reductions in health service use
 292 associated with the availability of home telecare [16]. Tribal
 293 (2006) reported that AT projects in Scotland were considered
 294 to be helping people to continue living in their own homes
 295 and that users had become accustomed to the technology and
 296 appreciated the benefits it afforded them. Bowes and
 297 McColgan [18] found that the people with the technology
 298 had a lower requirement for hours of care, fewer admissions
 299 and fewer visits to GPs than a control group. Home telehealth
 300 for clients of the Veterans’ Health Administration in the USA
 301 [25] resulted in a 19% reduction in numbers of hospital
 302 admissions and a 25% reduction in numbers of hospital bed
 303 days. Clients reported a high level of satisfaction with the
 304 telecare/telehealth service with a satisfaction score of 86%.

305 There is some level of use of robotics including to assist
 306 stroke victims with limb movement [22] and to support
 307 eating, bathing, dressing and toileting [33]. Robotic pets
 308 have been shown to provide pleasure and interest to people
 309 with dementia [32]. In France, the Alcatel-Lucent research
 310 laboratory enhanced a robot dog as a personal carer [14].
 311 This has not been commercialised.

312 **6 Conclusion**

313 There is high incidence of adverse events that technology
 314 would assist with such as falls, memory loss, medication

problems, social isolation and others. There is a plethora of
 innovative smart home and assistive technology available,
 yet the level of adoption remains low indicating a need for
 quality research data on the benefits of assistive technolo-
 gies so as to assist governments, care funders and providers
 in developing policy so as to deploy resources to encourage
 adoption and realisation of benefits.

References

1. ABS (2004). 4446.0—disability, Australia, 2003. Australian
 Bureau of Statistics. <http://www.abs.gov.au/>. Accessed 10 Feb
 2009

2. ABS (2004). 4102.0—Australian social trends, 2004. Australian
 Bureau of Statistics. <http://www.abs.gov.au/>. Accessed 15 Feb
 2009

3. ABS (2005) Use of information technology by older people. In:
 1301.0—year book Australia, 2005. Australian Bureau of Statis-
 tics, Canberra

4. ABS (2008) Population projections, Australia, 2006–2101. Aus-
 tralian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra

5. ACMA (2009) Australia in the digital economy: report 2, online
 participation. Australian Communications and Media Authority.
<http://www.acma.gov.au/>. Accessed 3 Oct 2009

6. ACT GP Taskforce (2009) Issues and challenges for general
 practice and primary health care. A discussion paper. Canberra

7. ADI (2009) World Alzheimer’s report 2009. Alzheimers Disease
 International. www.alz.co.uk/. Accessed September 2009

8. AHMC (2005) Conference communiqué: national chronic disease
 strategy. Australian Health Ministers Council

9. AIHW (2006) Chronic disease and associated risk factors in
 Australia. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Canberra

10. AIHW (2006) Australia’s health 2006. Australian Institute of
 Health and Welfare, Canberra

11. AIHW (2006) Life expectancy and disability in Australia 1988 to
 2003. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Canberra

12. AIHW (2008) Australia’s health 2008. Australian Institute of
 Health and Welfare, Canberra

13. AIHW (2008) Disability in Australia: trends in prevalence,
 education, employment and community living. Australian Institute
 of Health and Welfare, Canberra

14. Alcatel-Lucent (2008) Corporate social responsibility report 2008.
 Alcatel-Lucent, Paris

15. Australian Government Treasury (2007) Intergenerational report
 2007. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra

16. Barlow J, Singh D, Bayer S, Curry R (2007) A systematic review
 of the benefits of home telecare for frail elderly people and those
 with long-term conditions. *J Telemed Telecare* 2007(13):172–179

17. Blake DJ, Bodine C (2002) An overview of assistive technology for
 persons with multiple sclerosis. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 39(2):299–312

18. Bowes AM, McColgan GM (2003) Evaluation of home comforts
 smart home technologies initiative. Final report to South Ayrshire
 Council. Cited in Tribal, FR, Walker G, Jarvis L (2006). Time to
 move? A literature review of housing for older people. Scottish
 Executive Social Research 2006, Edinburgh

19. Bowes AM, McColgan GM (2005) West Lothian interim report.
 West Lothian Council and the Department of Applied Social
 Science, University of Stirling

20. CDC (2009) Healthy aging: Improving and extending quality of
 life among older Americans. Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta

21. Cohen JE (2003) Human population: the next half century.
Science 302(5648):1172–1175

375 22. Cox, W (2005). Robot jacket to help stroke victims. <http://www.gorobotics.net/the-news/latest-news/robot-jacket-to-help-stroke-victims/>. Accessed 10 Oct 2009

376

377

378 23. Coye MJ, Haselkorn A, DeMello S (2009) Remote patient management: technology-enabled innovation and evolving business models for chronic disease care. *Health Aff* 28(1):126–135

379

380

381 24. CSCI (2006) Time to care? An overview of home care services for older people in England. Report published by Commission for Social Care Inspection, London, www.csci.org.uk, October 2006

382

383

384 25. Darkins A, Ryan P, Kobb R, Foster L, Edmonson E, Wakefield B, Lancaster AE (2008) Care coordination/home telehealth: the systematic implementation of health informatics, home telehealth, and disease management to support the care of veteran patients with chronic conditions. *Telemedicine e-Health* 10:1118–1126

385

386

387

388

Q8 389 26. De Jonge D, Rodger S (2006) Consumer-identified barriers and strategies for optimizing technology use in the workplace. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol* 1(1-2):79–88

390

391

392 27. Duras T (2007) Raging against the machine: unions and technological change in Australia 1978–1996. In: *Proceedings—labour traditions: the 10th national labour history conference*. University of Melbourne

393

394

395

396 28. Dwyer J, Eager K (2008) Options for reform of commonwealth and state governance responsibilities for the Australian health system. A paper commissioned by the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission

397

398

399

Q8 400 29. Essen A, Conrick M (2007) Visions and realities: developing 'smart' homes for seniors in Sweden. *eJHI* 2(1):e2

401

402

403 30. Eysenbach G, Jadad JR (2001) Evidence-based patient choice and consumer health informatics in the Internet age. *J Med Internet Res* 3(2):e19

404

405

406 31. Ferri CP, Prince M, Brayne C, Brodaty H, Fratiglioni L, Ganguli M, Scazufca M (2005) Global prevalence of dementia: a Delphi consensus study. *Lancet* 366(9503):2112–2117

407

408

409 32. Filan SI, Llewellyn-Jones RH (2006) Animal-assisted therapy for dementia: a review of the literature. *Int Psychogeriatr* 18(4):597–611

410

411 33. Forlizzi J, DiSalvo C, Gemperle F (2004) Assistive robotics and an ecology of elders living independently in their homes. *Hum-Comput Interact* 19:25–59

412

413

414 34. Friedman TL (2008) *The world is flat: a brief history of the twenty-first century*. Farrar, Strauss and Giroux, New York

415

416 35. Fuhrer MJ, Jutai JW, Scherer MJ, DeRuyte F (2003) A framework for the conceptual modelling of assistive technology device outcomes. *Disabil Rehabil* 25(22):1243–1251

417

418

419 36. Giaimo S (2002) *Markets and medicine: the politics of health care reform in Britain, Germany, and the United States*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI

420

421

422 37. Hocking C (1999) Function or feelings: factors in abandonment of assistive devices. *Technol Disabil* 11:3–11

423

424 38. Horner B, Soar J, Koch B (2009) Chapter 23: assistive technology: opportunities and implications, Gerontic nursing body of knowledge. In: Nay R, Garratt S (eds) *Older people: issues and innovations in care*, 3rd edn. Elsevier, Sydney

425

426

427 39. Jordan J, Osbourne R (2007) Chronic disease self-management education programs: challenges ahead. *MJA* 186(2):84–87

428

429

430 40. Kinsella K, Velkoff VA (2001) *An aging world: 2001—international population reports*. US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute On Aging and US Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, US Census Bureau

431

432

433 41. Kralik D, Koch T, Price K, Howard N (2004) Chronic illness self-management: taking action to create order. *J Clin Nursing* 13(2):259–267

434

435

436

437

438 42. Livneh H (2009) Denial of chronic illness and disability: part I. Theoretical, functional, and dynamic perspectives. *Rehabil Couns Bull* 52:225–236

439

440

441 43. Madden G, Savage S (2000) Some economic and social aspects of residential Internet use in Australia. *J Media Econ* 13(3):171–185

442

443 44. Marin B, Leichsenring K, Rodrigues R, Huber M (2009) Who cares? Care coordination and cooperation to enhance quality in elderly care in the European Union. European Centre for Social Welfare Policy and Research, Affiliated to the United Nations, Vienna, 24 August 2009

444

445

446 45. McCreadie C, Tinker A (2005) The acceptability of assistive technology to older people. *Ageing Soc* 25(1):91–110

447

448

449 46. Miller S (2003) *Unnecessary and avoidable hospital admissions for older people—a report to the Department of Health and Ageing*. COAWG, Canberra

450 Q8

451 47. National Health Priority Action Council (2006) *National chronic disease strategy*. Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, Canberra

452

453 48. Newman S, Steed L, Mulligan K (2004) Self-management interventions for chronic illness. *Lancet* 364(9444):1523–1537

454

455 49. NHHRC (2009) *A healthier future for all Australians: final report June 2009*. National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission, Australia

456

457 50. NHS (2007). *The expert patients programme*. Department of Health, Chief Medical Officer, National Health Service, UK

458

459 51. NIH (2000) *Self-management strategies across chronic diseases*. Bethesda, Maryland, USQ: National Institute of Health

460

461 52. Parette P, Scherer M (2004) Assistive technology use and stigma. *Educ Train Dev Disabil* 39(3):217–226

462

463 53. Queensland Health (2002) *Smart state 2020—a vision for the future*. Directions statement 6–11. Queensland Government, Australia

464

465 54. Rice D, Fineman N (2004) Economic implications of increased longevity in the United States. *Annu Rev Public Health* 25:457–473

466

467 55. Richards TF, Walker G, Jarvis L (2006) *Time to move? A literature review of housing for older people*. Scottish Executive Social Research. UK Audit Commission (2004). *Older people: Assistive technology-Independence and well-being No. 4*.

468

469 56. Rosling H, Rönnlund A, Rosling O (2004) New software brings statistics beyond the eye. In: *Statistics, knowledge and policy*. OECD World Forum on Key Indicators, Palermo, 10–13 November 2004, Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development

470 Q8

471 57. Scherer M (1997) The impact of assistive technology on the lives of people with disabilities. In: Gray DQ (ed) *Designing and using assistive technology. The human perspective*. Brookes Publishing Co, Baltimore

472

473 58. Scherer M (2002) The change in emphasis from people to person: introduction to the special issue on assistive technology. *Disabil Rehabil* 24(1–3):1–4

474

475 59. Soar J (2008) *Information management in modern healthcare organisations*. Proceedings IBIMA, Marrakesh

476

477 60. Soar J, Wang SY (2009) Information for guided chronic disease self-management in community settings. In: Sintchenko V, Croll P (Eds) *Frontiers of health informatics—redefining healthcare*, HIC 2009 proceedings. Health Informatics Society of Australia, Victoria

478

479 61. Soar J, Croll P, Toleman M, Cater-Steel A, Roberts D (2007) Assistive technologies for the frail elderly, chronic illness sufferers and people with disabilities—a case study of the development of a smart home. In: *ACIS 2007: proceedings of the 18th Australasian conference on information systems*. University of Southern Queensland, Queensland

480

481 62. Soar J, Yuginovich T, Whittaker F (2007) Reducing avoidable hospital admissions of the frail elderly using intelligent referrals. *eJHI* 2(1):e3

482

483 63. Soar J, Livingstone A, Wang SY (2009) A case study of an ambient living and wellness management health care model in

484

485

486

487

488

489 Q8

490

491 Q8

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

- 507 Australia. In: Mokhtari M, Khalil I, Bauchet J, Zhang D, Nuget C
 508 (eds) *Ambient assistive health and wellness management in the*
 509 *heart of the city*. Refereed proceedings of the 7th international
 510 conference on smart homes and health telematics (ICOST2009).
 511 Springer, New York
- Q8 512 64. Tierney WM, Overhage JM, McDonald CJ (1996) Computerizing
 513 guidelines: factors for success. *Proc AMIA Annu Fall Symp*
 514 1996:459–462
- Q8 515 65. Walker L (2008) Equipment funding schemes—blocking innova-
 516 tion? In: *Proceedings ARATA 2008*. Australian Rehabilitation and
 517 Assistive Technology Association
- 518 66. Weinert C, Cudney S, Hill WG (2008) Rural women, technology,
 519 and self-management of chronic illness. *Can J Nurs Res* 40
 520 (3):114–134
- 521 67. Wessels R, Dijkcs B, Soede M, Gelderblom GJ, De Witte L
 522 (2003) Non-use of provided assistive technology devices, a
 523 literature overview. *Technol Disabil* 15(4):231–238
 541
- 524 68. Whittaker F, Soar J (2006) An information model for coordination
 525 of referrals to community care. In: *ACIC proceedings, aged care*
 526 *informatics conference 2006*, 8–10 May 2006, Health Informatics
 527 Society of Australia, Hobart
- 528 69. WHO (2002) *Innovative care for chronic conditions: building*
 529 *blocks for action: Global report*. World Health Organisation,
 530 Geneva
- Q8 531 70. Wielandt TA (2000) Compliance with prescribed adaptive
 532 equipment: a literature review. *Br J Occup Ther* 63(2):65–75
- 533 71. Wilson J (1999) Acknowledging the expertise of patients and their
 534 organisations. *BMJ* 319(7212):771–774
- 535 72. Zhang D, Nunamaker J (2004) Powering e-learning in the new
 536 millennium: an overview of e-learning and enabling technology.
 537 *Inform Syst Front* 5(2):207–218
- 538 73. Zvarova J, Peleska J, Hanzlicek P, Zvara K (2002) Enhanced care
 539 of hypertensive patients using the Internet. *Med Inform Internet*
 540 Med 27(3):161–168

UNCORRECTED PROOF

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.

- Q1. Please check if “5” here is a citation.
- Q2. Please check affiliation and affiliation address if captured appropriately.
- Q3. Please check occurrence of “2” here. Please check if this is a citation.
- Q4. Please check occurrence of “204” here. Please check if this is a citation.
- Q5. Please check occurrence of “5” here. Please check if this is a citation.
- Q6. “can positively the acceptance of assistive technology” was changed to “can positively be the acceptance of assistive technology.” Please check.
- Q7. Please provide details for Tribal (2006).
- Q8. Following references are not cited in text:[CR13] [CR26] [CR29] [CR46] [CR52] [CR54] [CR56] [CR59] [CR60][CR64] [CR65] [CR68] [CR70][CR72]Please provide citations or delete them from the list.

UNCORRECTED PROOF