| 1 Original Art | icle |
|----------------|------|
|----------------|------|

| 2 | Nitrogen fixing bacterial communities in invasive legume nodules and associated |
|----|--|
| 3 | soils are similar across introduced and native range populations in Australia |
| 4 | Running head: Bacterial communities of legumes in Australia |
| 5 | |
| 6 | KEYWORDS : Acacia; Australia; free-living nitrogen fixers; invasion; legumes; |
| 7 | mutualism; rhizobia |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Christina Birnbaum ^{1,3 *} , Andrew Bissett ² , Peter H. Thrall ² , Michelle R. |
| 10 | Leishman ¹ |
| 11 | |
| 12 | ¹ Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, NSW 2109, |
| 13 | Australia |
| 14 | |
| 15 | ² CSIRO Agriculture, GPO Box 1600, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia |
| 16 | |
| 17 | ³ Present address: School of Veterinary and Life Sciences, 90 South Street, Murdoch |
| 18 | University, Perth, Western Australia 6150, Australia |
| 19 | |
| 20 | *Christina Birnbaum |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Corresponding author's e-mail address: C.Birnbaum@murdoch.edu.au, |
| 23 | chbirnbaum@gmail.com |
| 24 | |

26 Word count=7459

28 ABSTRACT

29 Aim

| 30 | Understanding interactions between invasive legumes and soil biota in both native and |
|----|--|
| 31 | introduced ranges could assist in managing biological invasions. We analysed the |
| 32 | diversity of putative nitrogen fixing bacteria (i.e., nifH gene present) associated with |
| 33 | five invasive legumes, four Acacia spp. and a sister taxon Paraserianthes lophantha |
| 34 | in introduced and native range populations in Australia. We predicted that, because |
| 35 | these host species are widely distributed, they are likely to encounter different |
| 36 | nitrogen-fixing bacterial communities in soils and nodules across their introduced and |
| 37 | native ranges. |
| 38 | |
| 39 | Location |
| 40 | Australia. |
| 41 | |
| 42 | Methods |
| 43 | NifH genes were amplified from rhizosphere soils collected from beneath each species |
| 44 | (multiple populations) within their native and introduced range and directly from |
| 45 | nodules collected from plants previously grown in the glasshouse using field-collected |
| 46 | soil as inoculum. NifH gene sequences from soils and nodules were 454 |
| 47 | pyrosequenced and assigned to taxonomic groups based on <i>nifH</i> consensus taxonomy. |
| | |

Results

We found no difference in the NFB community of soils or nodules between native and
introduced ranges across the five species, suggesting that these legumes encounter
similar NFB communities in soils across Australia. *Bradyrhizobium* was the most
abundant rhizobial genus present in both soils and nodules. *Bradyrhizobium* species
found in nodules were significantly different across the ranges for *A. longifolia*.
Main conclusions

57 The results indicate that these invasive legumes have similar nitrogen fixing bacterial 58 communities in their rhizosphere and nodules across Australia, with the exception of 59 A. longifolia. This species has diverse Bradyrhizobium genotypes in its nodules 60 suggesting that A. longifolia may be a more generalist host compared to the other four 61 legumes. Thus it is unlikely that the invasive success of these legumes is constrained 62 by the absence of suitable bacterial symbionts in soil. Better knowledge of legume-63 soil interactions could facilitate more informed and effective management of invasive 64 legumes in their introduced ranges in Australia and elsewhere. 65

66

67 Introduction

68 Soil microbes are increasingly acknowledged to play significant roles in invasion 69 outcomes for many plant species (Inderjit & Cahill, 2015; Vestergård et al., 2015). 70 For example, it has been suggested that the spread of plant invaders may depend on 71 the successful establishment of key mutualisms in new ranges (Simberloff & Von 72 Holle, 1999; Richardson et al., 2000). This may be particularly true for plants which 73 have specific inter-dependencies with symbiotic mutualists such as legumes and 74 nitrogen-fixing bacteria. 75 Woody legumes, especially Acacia species, are considered to be some of the worst 76 invaders globally (Richardson & Rejmánek, 2011). The invasion success of acacias 77 has been largely credited to their extensive use globally in agro-forestry and 78 horticulture (Griffin et al., 2011). This widespread use of acacias opened a path to the 79 colonization of novel communities beyond their natural range and has resulted in 80 significant impacts on invaded ecosystems via induced changes to soil chemistry and 81 microbial assemblages (Marchante et al., 2008; Le Maitre et al., 2011). 82 Generally, it has been proposed that invaders can be either constrained by mutualists 83 in the absence of suitable partners (Parker, 2001) or benefit from newly acquired 84 symbionts in novel ranges (Marler et al., 1999; Parker et al., 2007). There is certainly 85 evidence that lack of compatible key soil mutualists serves as a constraint for 86 successful establishment in the novel range for some species (Díez, 2005; Nuñez et 87 al., 2009; Dickie et al., 2010). Legumes have been reported to rely extensively on 88 mutualisms (e.g., rhizobia, mycorrhizal fungi) to successfully colonize and establish 89 in novel areas (Sprent & Parsons, 2000; Parker, 2001). Absence or low densities of 90 compatible rhizobia have been shown to limit range expansion and fitness of some

4

91 legume species (Stanton-Geddes & Anderson, 2011), including invasive Australian
92 acacias in New Zealand (Wandrag *et al.*, 2013).

93 Broad symbiotic promiscuity and ability to nodulate at low rhizobial abundance have 94 been described as significant advantages for invading legumes (Parker, 2001; Perez-95 Fernanndez & Lamont, 2003; Rodríguez-Echeverria et al., 2011). Previous studies 96 have shown that some invasive woody legumes are able to readily nodulate (Lafay & 97 Burdon, 2006) and associate with novel bacterial communities in their exotic ranges 98 (Marsudi et al., 1999; Amrani et al., 2010; Callaway et al., 2011; Ndlovu et al., 99 2013). 100 Despite the evidence for promiscuity for some host species, there are also reports 101 showing that some invasive legumes in their invasive range have specificity towards

102 rhizobia from their native range (Chen *et al.*, 2005). Such results were reported for *A*.

103 longifolia in Portugal which was found to associate with rhizobial communities that

104 were very similar to those from *A. longifolia*'s native range in south-east Australia

105 (Rodríguez-Echeverria, 2010). Thus, there appears to be considerable variation

106 between legume hosts and their symbiotic associations across introduced and native

107 ranges.

108 There is evidence to suggest that invasive acacias (sensu Richardson *et al.* 2011)

109 could be more promiscuous, i.e., they are able to associate with more diverse rhizobia,

110 than non-invasive acacias (Klock et al., 2015). However, there is also evidence to

111 suggest that some acacias (e.g., A. cyclops, A. pycnantha) are able to non-specifically

112 nodulate with both fast- and slow-growing rhizobia in their novel ranges (Marsudi et

113 al., 1999; Mohamed et al., 2000; Lafay & Burdon, 2006; Ndlovu et al., 2013). Thus,

114 quantification of the role of mutualists, such as rhizobia, in determining invasion

success could enhance our understanding of species' invasion potential more

116 generally.

117 In addition to known rhizobia, legume nodules harbour many other endophytes 118 (Hoque et al., 2011; De Meyer et al., 2015), whose role within plants is largely 119 unknown. Some authors have suggested that endophytes constitute an important 120 component of the nodule bacterial community (Velázquez et al., 2013) and perform 121 important functions such as assisting the host plant in pathogen control (El-Tarabily et 122 al., 2010) and growth promotion (Ibáñez et al., 2009). To better predict the role of 123 bacterial communities in plant invasions, we need to understand the diversity and 124 function of nodule endophytes. 125 The primary aim of this study was to determine whether invasive Australian legumes 126 [i.e., A. cyclops, A. longifolia, A. melanoxylon, A. saligna and Paraserianthes 127 *lophantha* (hereafter collectively termed legumes)] encounter and associate with 128 different nitrogen-fixing bacterial (NFB) communities in soils and nodules in their 129 introduced range compared to native Australian range. We hypothesized that, because 130 these host species are widely distributed and considered invasive, they are likely to 131 accumulate different NFB nodule communities across introduced and native range 132 populations.

133

134 Materials and methods

135 Study species

136 Four Acacia species (A. cyclops A.Cunn. ex G.Don, A. saligna (Labill.) H.L. Wendl,

137 A. longifolia (Andrews) Willd. and A. melanoxylon R.Br.), and a close relative, P.

138 *lophantha* (Willd.) I.C. Nielsen, were chosen as host species. Acacia cyclops, A.

139 saligna and P. lophantha are native to Western Australia, but have been introduced to

the eastern Australian states (New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia) where they have naturalised and become invasive. *Acacia longifolia* and *A. melanoxylon* are native to south-east Australia, but have been introduced to and become invasive in Western Australia.

144

145 Study sites and soil sampling

146 To characterise the diversity of organisms putatively capable of N fixation and 147 associated with our study species, we collected soil samples in December 2009 within 148 the native and introduced ranges of each of the five species, across south-eastern and 149 south-western Australia (Fig. 1 and details in Supporting Information Appendix S1). 150 For each species, with the exception of A. melanoxylon for which we only had four 151 populations in its introduced range, we sampled soil under five trees from each of five 152 populations within each range [5 species x 2 ranges (native and introduced) x 5 153 populations]. Thus, we sampled five populations in the native and introduced ranges 154 (n=5) for most species except A. melanoxylon (n=5 to 4, respectively). A total of 1000 155 g of soil was collected beneath each tree, as close to roots as possible, at a depth of 156 10-15 cm and then bulked for each population. Soils were kept in a cooler in the field before being stored at 4°C. Soils were subsampled for genetic analysis within a week. 157 158 Soils were sieved to 2 mm to remove leaves and other coarse material and to 159 homogenise samples. Soil subsamples were placed in sterilized falcon tubes and 160 stored in the freezer at -20°C until further analysis. All sampling and processing 161 equipment, including sieves, were sterilized with 90% ethanol between populations. 162

163 Nodule collection

164 To assess putative nitrogen fixer diversity in nodules we extracted DNA from 165 nodules, collected from plant roots as part of a previous glasshouse experiment 166 designed to evaluate the role of soil microbial communities (predominantly rhizobia) 167 in determining cross-continental invasion success of the same five woody legume 168 species used in this study (see Birnbaum et al. 2012 for details). Depending on seed 169 availability, we had for A. cyclops n=4 (native) and n=3 (introduced), for A. longifolia 170 n=3 and n=4, for A. melanoxylon n=3 and n=2, for A. saligna n=2 and n=3 and for P. 171 *lophantha* n=2 and n=3 populations, respectively. The plants in that experiment were 172 grown in field collected soils and assessed for growth in both introduced and native 173 range soils. From each plant 2-5 nodules were collected and surface sterilized with 174 90% ethanol and distilled water before being stored at -20°C in a plastic jar filled with 175 silica beads and cotton wool (Somasegaran, 1994). Nodules from 10 replicate plants 176 from each of 29 population/range soil combinations were pooled for DNA extraction. 177 Nodules (0.05 g) were crushed in liquid nitrogen to create a homogenised sample for 178 DNA extraction.

179

180 Molecular analysis

181 DNA from 49 soil and 29 nodule samples was isolated using a PowerSoil and

182 PowerPlant DNA isolation kit, respectively, following the manufacturer's protocol

183 (MO Bio Laboratories, Inc. Carlsbad, CA). NifH was amplified from soils and nodule

184 DNA using a nested PCR with the internal primer pair *nifH* 1 (5'-TGY GAYCCN

185 AAR GCN GA-3') and nifH 2 (5'-ADN GCC ATC ATY TCN C-3') (Zehr &

186 McReynolds, 1989) and the external primers *nifH* 3 (5'-ATR TTR TTN GCN GCR

187 TA-3') and nifH 4 (5'-TTY TAY GGN AAR GGN GG-3'). DNA was amplified

188 using the HotStarTaq Plus Master Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) for PCR under

| 189 | following conditions: 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 |
|-----|---|
| 190 | seconds; 60°C for 40 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute; and a final elongation step at |
| 191 | 72°C for 5 minutes (Dowd et al., 2008). Second PCR step was performed for 454 |
| 192 | amplicon sequencing under the same conditions and primer set described above. |
| 193 | Following second PCR, all amplicon products from different samples were mixed in |
| 194 | equal volumes, and purified using Agencourt Ampure beads (Agencourt Bioscience |
| 195 | Corporation, MA, USA) (Dowd et al., 2008). DNA fragments' size and concentration |
| 196 | were measured by using DNA chips under a Bio-Rad Experion Automated |
| 197 | Electrophoresis Station (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA) and a TBS-380 |
| 198 | Fluorometer (Turner Biosystems, CA, USA) before sequencing commenced using |
| 199 | bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP) to determine the |
| 200 | bacterial communities present according to the protocol in Dowd et al. (2008). More |
| 201 | details for methods used in the molecular analysis for PCR amplifications and |
| 202 | sequencing that were performed by the Research and Testing Laboratory (Lubbock, |
| 203 | Texas, USA) can be found in protocols described in Smith et al. (2010) and Dowd et |
| 204 | al. (2008). |
| | |

205

206 **Bioinformatic analyses**

207 Downstream sequence analyses were performed on *nifH* sequences from nodules and 208 soils using MOTHUR 1.22.0 (Schloss *et al.*, 2009) following the adapted sequence 209 quality-control pipeline analysis described in detail in Schloss *et al.* (2011), until 210 chimeric sequences were removed. Sequences were included in subsequent analyses 211 only if they carried the correct primer sequence and were \geq 150 bp and \leq 400 bp long. 212 Following the pipeline analysis, sequences were blasted with a BLAST e-value > 213 0.001 against an existing *nifH* database that has 16 989 *nifH* sequences (Gaby & 214 Buckley, 2011). Only the sequences that returned a blast hit of sequence identity \geq 215 90% were kept and used for further downstream analysis. Approximately 13% of 216 sequences did not match any existing sequence in the database and thus were removed 217 from further analysis. Remaining sequences were checked for chimeras and a further 218 2% were removed from the dataset. After checking for chimeric sequences nodule and 219 soil nifH sequences were split into separate groups (nodules and soils) and pre-220 clustered at 1% within each group. Following pre-clustering, nodule and soil 221 sequences were classified using *nifH* consensus taxonomy (Gaby & Buckley, 2011) 222 with the consensus confidence threshold of 51%. Furthermore, OTUs (Operational 223 Taxonomic Unit) containing only a single sequence (i.e. singletons) were omitted 224 from the analysis as they are likely to result from pyrosequencing errors (Tedersoo et 225 al., 2010). The resultant OTU x sample matrix was binary transformed to 226 presence/absence and used for subsequent analyses to identify differences in NFB at 227 genus level. Additionally, to further explore the diversity of the dominant genus 228 known to symbiotically fix N₂ and nodulate with legumes (*Bradyrhizobium*) 229 sequences belonging to this genus were clustered at 97% sequence identity and 230 resultant OTU's analysed separately.

231

232 Statistical analyses

Principal coordinates analysis (PCA) based on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix was
carried out on presence/absence transformed data generated from the OTU species
matrix for soil and nodule NFB data separately. This allowed visual inspection to
identify differences between introduced and native range populations for all host
species. We used binary data to circumvent potential problems associated with

inferring abundances from amplion data and those associated with using proportional
data (relative abundance) (Amend *et al.*, 2010).

240 Upon inspection of PCA results, permutational multivariate analysis of variance 241 (Permanova) (Anderson, 2001) was used (9999 permutations) to test for differences in 242 microbial community composition among species, range (native versus introduced) 243 and location (south-east versus south-west) as well as their interactions. This analysis 244 was done separately for soil and nodule matrices. 245 Mantel tests (Mantel, 1967; Mantel & Valand, 1970) were performed to compare soil 246 and nodule dissimilarity matrices in order to determine if there was a correlation 247 between soil and nodule community composition. Additionally, the relative 248 abundance of NFB OTUs in soil and nodule data was calculated for each host species 249 and population. To estimate richness across host ranges, we used rarefaction analysis 250 to produce taxon accumulation curves using 1000 randomizations without replacement. To estimate diversity among ranges, we calculated Shannon diversity 251 252 index. This metric allowed us to measure the OTU richness in a population of plants,

and compare the overall richness in populations between native and introduced rangesper species.

255 PCA analysis and ordination, Mantel test and Shannon diversity were performed in

the R 3.1.1 programming language (R Core Team, 2015) using 'vegan' (Oksanen et

- 257 *al.*, 2011) and 'BiodiversityR' (Kindt & Coe, 2005) packages. Permanova analysis
- 258 was performed in PRIMER 6. Rarefaction analysis was conducted in MOTHUR 1.22.0.

259

260 Results

261 Nitrogen fixing bacterial communities in soils

262 A total of 119 NFB genera (from 358,349 sequences) were identified from soil 263 collected from the rhizospheres of the studied species, across both native and 264 introduced ranges. Of these, 24 genera (13.5% or 48,543 sequences) had no known 265 bacterial classification. Seven genera (13.3% or 47,779 sequences) are known to nodulate and form symbiotic relationships with legumes (Weir, 2016). Of these seven 266 267 NFB genera, an overwhelming number of sequences (i.e. 94% or 45,095) belonged to 268 Bradyrhizobium. The majority of detected taxa in soil, i.e. 112 NFB genera (including 269 the unclassified sequences), belonged to genera not known to be rhizobial symbionts. 270 The overall Permanova model for soil NFB community composition at genus level 271 revealed a significant interaction between native range soils and host species, 272 suggesting that soil NFB composition is driven by larger geographic-scale variation 273 between the NFB communities of south-eastern and south-western Australia (Table 274 1). 275 Rarefaction analysis showed soil NFB taxon accumulation curves reached asymptotes 276 in all cases, indicating that sampling effort captured the majority of NFB diversity in 277 these samples (Fig. 2). Overall bacterial OTU richness at genus level was not 278 significantly different in soils across either host species or ranges (Appendix S1). 279 Pairwise analyses between A. cyclops and the other four host species [i.e., species x 280 location (south-east versus south-west)] showed that soil NFB composition at the 281 genus level for A. cyclops differed significantly from those of A. melanoxylon, A. 282 saligna and P. lophantha (Table 2). Overall, no differences in soil NFB composition 283 were detected within host species across their introduced and native ranges (Appendix 284 S2 Figure S1), suggesting that soil NFB composition is similar across these 285 geographically disjunct areas for these species, at least at the genus level.

The four most common and abundant soil bacterial genera (see Fig. 3) identified from
 nifH sequences belonged to organisms that are not known to be legume symbionts.

Among the most common soil taxa that are classified as rhizobia (i.e., nitrogen fixing

and nodulating bacteria) (Weir, 2016) found from *nifH* sequences (Fig. 3), there were

seven OTUs belonging to the following genera: Aminobacter, Azorhizobium,

291 Bradyrhizobium, Burkholderia, Ensifer, Methylobacterium and Phyllobacterium.

292 *Aminobacter* is not listed in the Weir (2012) database as rhizobia. However there is

293 one report showing this genus could contain legume-nodulating members, and it is

- 294 closely related to another known legume-nodulating genus *Mesorhizobium* (Maynaud
- *et al.*, 2012).

296 Because *Bradyrhizobium* was the most abundant confirmed rhizobial genus, we

subsequently analysed whether there was variation within this genus across ranges,

298 locations and host species. Results revealed that host species and location indeed had

a significant effect on *Bradyrhizobium* composition in the soil (Table 1), which was

300 driven by pair-wise differences between A. cyclops and the other four host species

301 (Table 3a). Differences in *Bradyrhizobium* composition across introduced and native

302 ranges was close to significant (α =0.05) only for one host species (A. longifolia, $t_{1,8}$ =

303 1.41, P = 0.082) out of the five studied legumes.

304

305 Nitrogen fixing bacterial communities in nodules

306 At genus level, a total of 29 genera (261,438 sequences) were classified as NFB

307 originating from legume nodules. Of these, six genera (38.9% or 101,952 sequences)

- 308 had no known bacterial classification. Five genera (34.7% or 90,906 sequences) were
- 309 previously known to nodulate and form symbiotic relationships with legumes:
- 310 Aminobacter, Azorhizobium, Bradyrhizobium, Ensifer and Phyllobacterium (Weir,

311 2016). Burkholderia and Methylobacterium were found in the soils, but were not

detected in the nodules. Of these five NFB genera, an overwhelming number of

313 sequences (i.e., 31% or 82,165) belonged to Aminobacter. Bradyrhizobium was the

second most common known rhizobial genus with 7,234 sequences (2.7 %). Similar to

soils, the majority of detected taxa in nodules (i.e., 24 genera, including the

316 unclassified sequences) belonged to genera not known as rhizobia (65.2% or 170,532

317 sequences).

318 The overall Permanova model for nodule NFB community composition did not reveal 319 any variation in NFB composition across host species and ranges at the genus level 320 suggesting that, broadly speaking, these five legumes contained similar pools of NFB 321 taxa in their nodules across introduced and native ranges (Table 1, Appendix S2 322 Figure S2). Rarefaction analysis showed that nodule NFB taxon accumulation curves 323 reached asymptotes in all cases, indicating that sampling effort captured the majority 324 of NFB taxa in these samples (Fig. 4). Overall bacterial OTU richness was not 325 significantly different across host species or ranges at the genus level (Appendix S1). 326 The five most abundant genera in nodules were similar to the five most abundant NFB 327 in soils (Fig. 5). Furthermore, all the taxa found in nodules were also found in soils,

and we found a significant correlation between the two matrices (i.e., nodule and soil

329 matrices) using the Pearson's product-moment correlation with 999 permutations

330 (Mantel statistic r = 0.2347, P = 0.026).

331 Similarly to soils, *Bradyrhizobium* was the most abundant confirmed rhizobial genus

in the nodules. Permanova analysis of variation within *Bradyrhizobium* revealed that

333 species and location interaction had a significant effect on nodule *Bradyrhizobium*

spp. composition (Table 1), which was driven by pair-wise differences between *A*.

335 *cyclops* and the other four host species (Table 3b). Additionally, *Bradyrhizobium* spp.

composition across introduced and native ranges was significantly different for one host species *A. longifolia* ($t_{1.5} = 2.12$, P = 0.024) and close to significance for *P*.

338 lophantha (
$$t_{1,3} = 2.09, P = 0.058$$
).

339

340 **Discussion**

341 Nitrogen fixing bacterial communities in soils

342 Overall, at genus level, we found that host species by range had a significant

343 interactive effect on soil NFB composition. Pairwise analyses between all hosts and

344 ranges (native versus introduced) revealed that this effect was driven solely by one

345 host, A. cyclops, whose soil NFB communities predominantly from the introduced

346 ranges (i.e., Yorke Peninsula in South Australia) were significantly different from

347 those of A. melanoxylon (native range, south-east), A. saligna (native range, south-

348 west) and *P. lophantha* (native range, south-west). Notably, in our previous study, we

349 found that A. cyclops' soil fungal communities were also different from those of the

350 other four host species as well as between its own introduced and native range

351 populations (Birnbaum et al., 2014). Thus it is plausible that A. cyclops has inherently

different soil microbial communities in its rhizosphere compared to the other four hostspecies.

354 We are not aware of other studies describing soil fungal or NFB communities of *A*.

355 *cyclops*. Limited published evidence on *A. cyclops* and its rhizobial communities from

nodules suggests that this species is non-specifically nodulated by both fast- and slow

357 growing rhizobia in its introduced range in Libya (Mohamed *et al.*, 2000). Estimates

358 of rhizobial abundance from introduced and native range soils in Australia have also

shown no differences between ranges (Birnbaum *et al.*, 2012) suggesting that A.

cyclops is not constrained by the absence of rhizobia and nodulates with diverse
rhizobia which may have contributed to its invasion success.

362 In terms of known nitrogen fixing and nodulating bacteria, we found three genera 363 (e.g. Bradyrhizobium, Ensifer (formerly Sinorhizobium) and Azorhizobium) to be 364 among the abundant groups in our soil samples. *Bradyrhizobium* has been frequently 365 reported to be one of the most common rhizobial genera in the nodules of Acacia spp. 366 in Australia (Stepkowski et al., 2012), particularly in the south-eastern (Burdon et al., 367 1999; Lafay & Burdon, 2001) and south-western (Marsudi et al., 1999) regions, as 368 well as in introduced ranges in Portugal (Crisostomo et al., 2013) and South Africa 369 (Ndlovu *et al.*, 2013). Thus, it is not surprising that it was found in the soils of all 370 species across introduced and native populations. Ensifer, on the other hand, was 371 found only in two western natives' (i.e., A. cyclops and P. lophantha) introduced 372 populations in the south-east, and was absent from native and introduced population 373 soils of A. longifolia and A. melanoxylon. Both Bradyrhizobium and Ensifer co-374 occurred only in one introduced A. cyclops population, both in soils and nodules. 375 Although less common than *Bradyrhizobium*, *Ensifer* has been previously found in 376 the nodules of some Acacia spp. in south-eastern Australia (Hoque et al., 2011) as 377 well as in introduced ranges in several African countries (Räsänen et al., 2001; 378 Amrani et al., 2010). Azorhizobium was the least common known rhizobial taxa found 379 in the soils of these legumes, and it has been linked more often to other leguminous 380 host species than acacias (Dreyfus et al., 1988; Boivin et al., 1997). 381 In general, we found no statistically significant differences in soil NFB communities 382 across introduced and native ranges within the five target plant species, suggesting 383 that these legumes encounter similar NFB communities in soils across the Australian 384 continent. Thus, it is unlikely that the absence of compatible bacterial communities

16

385 has constrained these species' naturalisation and invasion success in novel

386 environments across Australia. This is further evidenced by our previous findings that

387 most of these invasive legumes perform (based on biomass data) equally well in both

- introduced and native range soils (Birnbaum & Leishman, 2013).
- 389

390 Nitrogen fixing bacterial communities in nodules

Overall, across our studied host species, we found no differences in NFB communities 391 392 at the genus level in nodules or between native and introduced ranges. In accordance 393 with the results from our analyses of associated soil communities, Bradyrhizobium 394 was the most common known rhizobial genus occurring in all species' introduced and 395 native range nodules, although considerably less Bradyrhizobium was detected from 396 A. cyclops nodules across both ranges. Ensifer was detected in the nodules of only two 397 A. cyclops populations and one A. saligna population. All of these were harvested 398 from plants grown in introduced range soils. Both Bradyrhizobium and Ensifer co-399 occurred only in one introduced A. cyclops population. Notably, Aminobacter, which 400 is not listed as a known rhizobia genus (Weir, 2016), was the most common bacterial 401 genus found in nodules, especially in A. cyclops introduced range populations. 402 Although Aminobacter is not listed as rhizobial, there is at least one report from 403 Europe (France) suggesting that some species from this genus may contain legume 404 nodulating members (Maynaud et al., 2012). Considering the prevalence of 405 Aminobacter in legume soils and nodules based on our study, it is plausible that 406 bacteria from this genus may be forming a symbiotic N-fixing relationship in 407 Australia as well – this warrants further investigations. Within Bradyrhizobium, analysis revealed that host species by location had a 408 409 significant interactive effect on *Bradyrhizobium* composition. This was largely driven

410 by differences in nodule communities of A. cyclops from south-western Australia 411 which varied considerably from the eastern native host species. Notably, NFB 412 composition among the western natives' (A. cyclops, A. saligna and P. lophantha) 413 nodules in their native range did not differ significantly, suggesting that these three host species have similar Bradyrhizobium composition in their nodules in south-west 414 415 Australia. This is highly likely as these species, especially A. cyclops and A. saligna, 416 often co-occur on dunes. 417 Within host species, our results showed that *Bradyrhizobium* spp. composition was 418 significantly different in introduced compared to native range sites for only one host, 419 A. longifolia. This suggests that A. longifolia may be associating with new 420 Bradyrhizobium species in its introduced range in south-west Australia. Our previous 421 results (Birnbaum et al., 2012) showed that rhizobial abundance was very similar 422 across the ranges. However, we also found that A. longifolia grew significantly better 423 in introduced range soils (Birnbaum et al., 2012) suggesting that NFB may have been 424 a contributing factor. Nevertheless, whether these *Bradyrhizobium* species in the 425 introduced range are more effective at N-fixation, potentially facilitating the invasion 426 success of A. longifolia, remains to be confirmed as we did not re-authenticate 427 rhizobial strains to assess host-specificity or strain efficacy (Howieson et al., 1995; 428 Barrett et al., 2015).

429

430 Endophytes not known as rhizobia

431 In this study, we found that nitrogen fixing (*nifH* gene present) endophytes not

432 previously known to nodulate legumes comprised a large proportion of the OTU pool

433 in both the soils and nodules of our five study species. These organisms appeared

434 consistently in all nodules, and although they were identified consistently in soils,

435 they are not generally observed as the most abundant soil organisms when "universal" 436 (e.g., 16S rRNA) surveys are conducted. Their presence in nodules does not, 437 therefore, appear to be random. Although it is possible these organisms came to 438 dominate pots inoculated with legume soils and so are an artefact, this seems unlikely. 439 High diversity of bacterial endophytes, including those containing *nifH* genes, in 440 legume nodules has been previously reported also from Belgium (De Meyer et al., 2015), China (Deng et al., 2011), Tunisia (Zakhia et al., 2006), Ethiopia (Aserse et 441 442 al., 2013) and Australia (Hoque et al., 2011). Although their diversity and importance 443 in nodules is less well described and understood compared to rhizobia, some authors 444 have suggested that non-rhizobial endophytes are an important component of root 445 nodules (Velázquez et al., 2013) and assist the host plant in stress tolerance (Andrews 446 et al., 2010), pathogen control (El-Tarabily et al., 2010) and growth promotion 447 (Ibáñez et al., 2009). 448 De Meyer and colleagues (2015) suggested that plants may select for specific 449 endophytes from the environment (e.g., rhizosphere) as they found strong clustering 450 of endophytic bacteria in native legumes' nodules from Belgium. In this study, we 451 found some evidence for this in the Australian context, although only for one host 452 species, A. cyclops. For instance, in the nodules of A. cyclops grown in soil from its 453 introduced range, we found that *Ensifer* co-occurred with *Halomonas*, 454 Amorphomonas, Azospirillum, Aminobacter, Rhodoblastus and the most dominant 455 unclassified genus (except in soil for one site in the introduced range which was 456 dominated by Aminobacter). 457 However, in A. cyclops nodules collected from plants grown in native range soils, the 458 same grouping occurred, but without *Ensifer*. This suggests that A. cyclops is possibly

459 forming novel interactions with rhizobia in its introduced range. Remarkably, in some

460 instances bacteria not known to act as rhizobia were the predominant components of 461 nodules. For example, nodules of A. cyclops and A. saligna from one native range 462 population contained a large amount of Rhodoblastus sp. bacteria which for both host 463 species co-occurred with an almost identical subset of other bacteria such as 464 Aminobacter, Xanthomonas and two unclassified groups, suggesting plausible 465 clustering in the nodules. Why we were unable to detect known rhizobial genera in 466 these plants and why these bacteria, which are not known as rhizobia, are so dominant 467 in these nodules remains to be studied. Notably, the plants from which the nodules 468 were collected in an experiment described elsewhere (see Birnbaum et al., 2012) 469 appeared healthy and were likely receiving the nitrogen required for growth, 470 suggesting these endophytes may be indirectly participating in N supply to host plant. 471 Future culturing and trapping studies may further elucidate this. Overall though, our 472 results highlight that culture based methods capture only a fraction of "true diversity" 473 of bacterial communities in nodules.

474 We present a comprehensive analysis of NFB from nodules and soils of five invasive 475 legumes in Australia. However, the results from this study should be interpreted with 476 some caution for several reasons: 1) In this study, NFB refers to putatively nitrogen 477 fixing bacterial communities. We acknowledge that there is a whole suite of genes 478 responsible for biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) and presence of one gene (in this 479 case *nifH*) provides partial evidence for BNF, but does not necessarily confirm it; 2) 480 The detection of *nifH* gene did not confirm that BNF was actively occurring during 481 sampling. It rather suggests the presence of organisms potentially involved in BNF; 3) 482 The presence of diazotrophic non-nodulating bacterial lineages (e.g. Xanthomonas) in nodules suggests that these may simply be opportunistic bacteria that are thriving in 483 484 the nutrient rich nodule environment rather than being true endosymbionts (Dudeja et

485 al., 2012); 4) The use of nifH to infer bacterial identity is not perfect, especially for 486 the taxonomy of *Bradyrhizobium*, because the *nifH* phylogenetic signal is not 487 congruent with the 16S rDNA information on which the taxonomy is based (Haukka 488 et al., 1998). Furthermore, gene transfer for nitrogen fixation and nodulation genes 489 often occurs between bacteria, which further complicates identity inference from 490 single genes (Laguerre et al., 2001). Lastly, our results are somewhat limited as we 491 only had available information on bacterial communities from populations of each 492 species and range (i.e. OTU pool) and not from individual trees because we binned 493 samples per population. Having data from individual trees within population would 494 have increased the resolution of our study and provided more in-depth analysis of Nfixing bacterial communities associated with each legume. Furthermore, our 495 496 preference to transform data to presence/absence may have limited the interpretation 497 power of our results. More comprehensive results could have been found using 498 different sample methods that emphasize community comparisons with sequence 499 abundances and not OTU pools and presence/absence data. 500 In conclusion, our results reveal that NFB communities across the Australian 501 continent are homogenous and predominantly contain Bradyrhziobium as the main 502 genus known to be rhizobial (i.e., nitrogen fixing and nodule forming) in both soils 503 and nodules, as previously reported (Liesack & Stackebrandt, 1992; Lafay & Burdon, 504 2001; Stepkowski *et al.*, 2012). Our findings also show that although these legumes 505 encounter similar NFB in soils and nodules at the genus level, differences between 506 ranges are apparent on a finer taxonomic scale for some host species, i.e. A. cyclops 507 and A. longifolia. This suggests that how a host is characterised depends on the 508 taxonomic level studied for microbial symbionts. Future research should identify 509 whether there are specific NFB species that contribute disproportionately more to A.

510 longifolia growth and performance and thus its invasion success in Australia. 511 Furthermore, future research should use a variety of methodological techniques and 512 data analysis tools to improve our understanding of invasive legume-rhizobia 513 associations. Individual tree based data and reliable bacterial abundance data is 514 needed to better understand the small scale bacterial diversity; we were able to 515 provide a snapshot of the N-fixers diversity associated with legumes in Australia. 516 Importantly, we also describe a high number of putatively non-nodulating bacteria in 517 the nodules. Given their consistent appearance, we suggest that they potentially play 518 important, not yet understood, roles as plant symbionts and require further 519 investigation. These endosymbionts contain *nif* genes, are likely to interact with 520 known rhizobial genera and may directly and indirectly influence plant growth, 521 nodulation, disease suppression and the colonization and invasion success of these 522 legumes – this clearly warrants further investigations. 523

524 Acknowledgements

525 We thank Carla Harris and Paweł Waryszak for extensive help in the field and 526 acknowledge Luke Barrett for helpful discussions on the statistical analysis. We are 527 grateful to Niels Brouwers for help with the map. We also thank three anonymous 528 referees for constructive comments that improved the manuscript. This work was 529 funded by Macquarie University Research Excellence Scholarship to CB and by an 530 Australian Research Council Discovery grant (DP0879494) to ML. 531 532 REFERENCES 533 Amend, A.S., Seifert, K.A. & Bruns, T.D. (2010) Quantifying microbial communities 534 535 with 454 pyrosequencing: does read abundance count? *Molecular Ecology*, 19, 5555-5565. 536

| 537 | Amrani, S., Noureddine, NE., Bhatnagar, T., Argandoña, M., Nieto, J.J. & Vargas, |
|------------|--|
| 538 | C. (2010) Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of rhizobia associated |
| 539 | with Acacia saligna (Labill.) Wendl. in nurseries from Algeria. Systematic and |
| 540 | Applied Microbiology, 33 , 44-51. |
| 541 | Anderson, M.J. (2001) A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of |
| 542 | variance. Austral Ecology, 26, 32-46. |
| 543 | Andrews, M., Hodge, S. & Raven, J.A. (2010) Positive plant microbial interactions. |
| 544 | Annals of Applied Biology, 157 , 317-320. |
| 545 | Aserse, A.A., Räsänen, L.A., Aseffa, F., Hailemariam, A. & Lindström, K. (2013) |
| 546 | Diversity of sporadic symbionts and nonsymbiotic endophytic bacteria |
| 547 | isolated from nodules of woody, shrub, and food legumes in Ethiopia. Applied |
| 548 | Microbiology and Biotechnology, 97, 10117-10134. |
| 549 | Barrett, L.G., Bever, J.D., Bissett, A. & Thrall, P.H. (2015) Partner diversity and |
| 550 | identity impacts on plant productivity in Acacia–rhizobial interactions. |
| 551 | <i>Journal of Ecology</i> , 103 , 130-142. |
| 552 | Birnbaum, C. & Leishman, M.R. (2013) Plant-soil feedbacks do not explain invasion |
| 553 | success of Acacia species in introduced range populations in Australia. |
| 554 | Biological Invasions, 15, 2609-2625. |
| 555 | Birnbaum, C., Barrett, L.G., Thrall, P.H. & Leishman, M.R. (2012) Mutualisms are |
| 556 | not constraining cross-continental invasion success of Acacia species in |
| 557 | Australia. Diversity and Distributions, 18, 962-976. |
| 558 | Birnbaum, C., Bissett, A., Thrall, P.H. & Leishman, M.R. (2014) Invasive legumes |
| 559 | encounter similar soil fungal communities in their non-native and native |
| 560 | ranges in Australia. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 76, 210-217. |
| 561 | Boivin, C., Ndoye, I., Lortet, G., Ndiaye, A., De Lajudie, P. & Dreyfus, B. (1997) The |
| 562 | Sesbania root symbionts Sinorhizobium saheli and S. teranga bv. sesbaniae |
| 563 | can form stem nodules on Sesbania rostrata, although they are less adapted to |
| 564 | stem nodulation than Azorhizobium caulinodans. Applied and Environmental |
| 565 | <i>Microbiology</i> , 63 , 1040-1047. |
| 566 | Burdon, J.J., Gibson, A.H., Searle, S.D., Woods, M.J. & Brockwell, J. (1999) |
| 567 | Variation in the effectiveness of symbiotic associations between native |
| 568 | rhizobia and temperate Australian Acacia: within-species interactions. Journal |
| 569 | of Applied Ecology, 36 , 398-408. |
| 570 | Callaway, R.M., Bedmar, E.J., Reinhart, K.O., Silvan, C.G. & Klironomos, J. (2011) |
| 571 | Effects of soil biota from different ranges on <i>Robinia</i> invasion: acquiring |
| 572 | mutualists and escaping pathogens. <i>Ecology</i> , 92 , 1027-1035. |
| 573 | Chen, W., James, E., Chou, J., Sheu, S., Yang, S. & Sprent, J. (2005) β-Rhizobia from |
| 574 | <i>Mimosa pigra</i> , a newly discovered invasive plant in Taiwan. <i>New Phytologist</i> , |
| 575 | |
| 5/6 | Crisostomo, J.A., Rodriguez-Echeverria, S. & Freitas, H. (2013) Co-introduction of |
| 5// | exotic rnizobia to the rnizosphere of the invasive legume Acacia saligna, an intercenting state A_{c} is A_{c} if E_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} in A_{c} is A_{c} in A |
| 5/8 | Intercontinental study. Applied Soil Ecology, 64 , 118-120. |
| 590 | De Meyer, S.E., De Beul, K., Vekenian, B. & Wineins, A. (2013) A large diversity of |
| 501 | Soil Diology and Diochemistry 92 , 1, 11 |
| 501 | Dong 7 S. Theo I E. Kong 7 V. Vong W.O. Lindström K. Wong E.T. & Woi |
| 582 | G H (2011) Diversity of endephytic bacteria within nodules of the |
| JOJ 581 | (2011) Diversity of endopnytic bacteria within hoatiles of the Sphaerophysa salsula in different regions of Looss Plateau in China |
| 585 | Dickie IA Bolstridge N Cooper IA & Poltzer DA (2010) Co invesion by |
| 586 | Pinus and its mycorrhizal fungi New Phytologist 197 A75 A8A |
| 500 | 1 mus and its myconmizal funct. New 1 mytologist, 107 , 475 - 407 . |

| 587 | Díez, J. (2005) Invasion biology of Australian ectomycorrhizal fungi introduced with |
|-----|---|
| 588 | eucalypt plantations into the Iberian Peninsula. <i>Biological Invasions</i> , 7, 3-15. |
| 589 | Dowd, S., Wolcott, R., Sun, Y., McKeehan, T., Smith, E. & Rhoads, D. (2008) |
| 590 | Polymicrobial nature of chronic diabetic foot ulcer biofilm infections |
| 591 | determined using bacterial tag encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing |
| 592 | (bTEFAP). <i>PLoS One</i> , 3 , e3326. |
| 593 | Dreyfus, B., Garcia, JL. & Gillis, M. (1988) Characterization of Azorhizobium |
| 594 | caulinodans gen. nov., sp. nov., a stem-nodulating nitrogen-fixing bacterium |
| 595 | isolated from Sesbania rostrata. International Journal of Systematic |
| 596 | Bacteriology, 38 , 89-98. |
| 597 | Dudeia, S., Giri, R., Saini, R., Suneia-Madan, P. & Kothe, E. (2012) Interaction of |
| 598 | endophytic microbes with legumes. Journal of Basic Microbiology, 52 , 248. |
| 599 | El-Tarabily, K.A., Hardy, G.E.S.J. & Sivasithamparam, K. (2010) Performance of |
| 600 | three endophytic actinomycetes in relation to plant growth promotion and |
| 601 | biological control of <i>Pythium aphanidermatum</i> , a pathogen of cucumber under |
| 602 | commercial field production conditions in the United Arab Emirates |
| 603 | European Journal of Plant Pathology 128 527-539 |
| 604 | Gaby J.C. & Buckley, D.H. (2011) A global census of nitrogenase diversity |
| 605 | Environmental Microbiology. 13 , 1790-1799. |
| 606 | Griffin, A.R., Midgley, S.J., Bush, D., Cunningham, P.J. & Rinaudo, A.T. (2011) |
| 607 | Global uses of Australian acacias – recent trends and future prospects. |
| 608 | Diversity and Distributions, 17 , 837-847 |
| 609 | Haukka, K., Lindstrom, K. & Young, J.P.W. (1998) Three phylogenetic groups of |
| 610 | nodA and nifH genes in Sinorhizobium and Mesorhizobium isolates from |
| 611 | leguminous trees growing in Africa and Latin America. Applied and |
| 612 | Environmental Microbiology. 64 , 419-426. |
| 613 | Hoque, M.S., Broadhurst, L.M. & Thrall, P.H. (2011) Genetic characterisation of root |
| 614 | nodule bacteria associated with <i>Acacia salicina</i> and <i>A. stenophylla</i> |
| 615 | (<i>Mimosaceae</i>) across southeastern Australia. International Journal of |
| 616 | Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 61 , 299-309. |
| 617 | Howieson, J., Loi, A. & Carr, S. (1995) <i>Biserrula pelecinus</i> La legume pasture |
| 618 | species with potential for acid, duplex soils which is nodulated by unique root- |
| 619 | nodule bacteria. Crop and Pasture Science, 46 , 997-1009. |
| 620 | Ibáñez, F., Angelini, J., Taurian, T., Tonelli, M.L. & Fabra, A. (2009) Endophytic |
| 621 | occupation of peanut root nodules by opportunistic Gammaproteobacteria. |
| 622 | Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 32 , 49-55. |
| 623 | Inderiit & Cahill, J.F. (2015) Linkages of plant–soil feedbacks and underlying |
| 624 | invasion mechanisms. AoB plants, 7, ply022. |
| 625 | Kindt, R. & Coe, R. (2005) Tree diversity analysis. A manual and software for |
| 626 | common statistical methods for ecological and biodiversity studies. In. World |
| 627 | Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Nairobi. |
| 628 | Klock, M.M., Barrett, L.G., Thrall, P.H. & Harms, K.E. (2015) Host promiscuity in |
| 629 | symbiont associations can influence exotic legume establishment and |
| 630 | colonization of novel ranges. <i>Diversity and Distributions</i> , 21 , 1193-1203. |
| 631 | Lafay, B. & Burdon, J.J. (2001) Small-subunit rRNA genotyping of rhizobia |
| 632 | nodulating Australian Acacia spp. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, |
| 633 | 67 , 396-402. |
| 634 | Lafay, B. & Burdon, J.J. (2006) Molecular diversity of rhizobia nodulating the |
| 635 | invasive legume Cytisus scoparius in Australia. Journal of Applied |
| 636 | Microbiology, 100, 1228-1238. |
| | |

637 Laguerre, G., Nour, S.M., Macheret, V., Sanjuan, J., Drouin, P. & Amarger, N. (2001) Classification of rhizobia based on nodC and nifH gene analysis reveals a 638 639 close phylogenetic relationship among *Phaseolus vulgaris* symbionts. 640 Microbiology, 147, 981-993. Le Maitre, D.C., Gaertner, M., Marchante, E., Ens, E.-J., Holmes, P.M., Pauchard, A., 641 642 O'Farrell, P.J., Rogers, A.M., Blanchard, R., Blignaut, J. & Richardson, D.M. 643 (2011) Impacts of invasive Australian acacias: implications for management 644 and restoration. Diversity and Distributions, 17, 1015-1029. Liesack, W. & Stackebrandt, E. (1992) Occurrence of novel groups of the domain 645 646 Bacteria as revealed by analysis of genetic material isolated from an 647 Australian terrestrial environment. Journal of Bacteriology, 174, 5072-5078. 648 Mantel, N. (1967) The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression 649 approach. Cancer Research, 27, 209-220. Mantel, N. & Valand, R.S. (1970) A technique of nonparametric multivariate analysis. 650 651 Biometrics, 26, 547-558. Marchante, E., Kjøller, A., Struwe, S. & Freitas, H. (2008) Soil microbial activity in 652 653 dune ecosystems in Portugal invaded by Acacia logifolia. Plant invasions: Human Perception. Ecological Impacts and Management (ed. by B. Tokarska-654 Guzik, Brock, J.H., Bundu, G., Child, L., Daehler, C.C., Pyšek, P), pp. 249-655 656 259. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden. The Netherlands. 657 Marler, M.J., Zabinski, C.A. & Callaway, R.M. (1999) Mycorrhizae indirectly enhance competitive effects of an invasive forb on a native bunchgrass 658 659 *Ecology*, **80**, 1180-1186. 660 Marsudi, N.D.S., Glenn, A.R. & Dilworth, M.J. (1999) Identification and 661 characterization of fast- and slow-growing root nodule bacteria from South-662 Western Australian soils able to nodulate Acacia saligna. Soil Biology and 663 Biochemistry, 31, 1229-1238. Maynaud, G., Willems, A., Soussou, S., Vidal, C., Mauré, L., Moulin, L., Cleyet-664 665 Marel, J.-C. & Brunel, B. (2012) Molecular and phenotypic characterization of strains nodulating Anthyllis vulneraria in mine tailings, and proposal of 666 Aminobacter anthyllidis sp. nov., the first definition of Aminobacter as 667 legume-nodulating bacteria. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 35, 65-72. 668 669 Mohamed, S.H., Smouni, A., Neyra, M., Kharchaf, D. & Filali-Maltouf, A. (2000) Phenotypic characteristics of root-nodulating bacteria isolated from Acacia 670 spp. grown in Libya. Plant and Soil, 224, 171-183. 671 672 Ndlovu, J., Richardson, D.M., Wilson, J.R.U. & Le Roux, J.J. (2013) Co-invasion of 673 South African ecosystems by an Australian legume and its rhizobial 674 symbionts. Journal of Biogeography, 40, 1240-1251. 675 Nuñez, M.A., Horton, T.R. & Simberloff, D. (2009) Lack of belowground mutualisms 676 hinders Pinaceae invasions. Ecology, 90, 2352-2359. Oksanen, J., F. G. Blanchet, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, P. R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara, G. L. 677 Simpson, P. Solymos, M. H. H. Stevens & Wagner, H. (2011) vegan: 678 679 Community Ecology Package. R package. version 2.0-2 http://CRAN.R-680 project.org/package=vegan. Parker, M., Wurtz, A. & Paynter, Q. (2007) Nodule symbiosis of invasive Mimosa 681 pigra in Australia and in ancestral habitats: a comparative analysis. Biological 682 Invasions, 9, 127-138. 683 684 Parker, M.A. (2001) Mutualism as a constraint on invasion success for legumes and 685 rhizobia. Diversity and Distributions, 7, 125-136.

686 Perez-Fernanndez, M.A. & Lamont, B.B. (2003) Nodulation and performance of exotic and native legumes in Australian soils. Australian Journal of Botany, 687 **51**, 543-553. 688 689 R Core Team (2015) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R 690 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-691 project.org/. 692 Räsänen, L.A., Sprent, J.I. & Lindström, K. (2001) Symbiotic properties of 693 sinorhizobia isolated from Acacia and Prosopis nodules in Sudan and Senegal. 694 Plant and Soil, 235, 193-210. 695 Richardson, D.M. & Rejmánek, M. (2011) Trees and shrubs as invasive alien species 696 - a global review. *Diversity and Distributions*, **17**, 788-809. 697 Richardson, D.M., Allsopp, N., D'Antonio, C.M., Milton, S.J. & Rejmanek, M. (2000) 698 Plant invasions - the role of mutualisms. *Biological Reviews*, 75, 65-93. 699 Rodríguez-Echeverria, S. (2010) Rhizobial hitchhikers from Down Under: invasional 700 meltdown in a plant-bacteria mutualism? Journal of Biogeography, 37, 1611-701 1622. 702 Rodríguez-Echeverria, S., Le Roux, J.J., Crisóstomo, J.A. & Ndlovu, J. (2011) Jack-703 of-all-trades and master of many? How does associated rhizobial diversity 704 influence the colonization success of Australian Acacia species? Diversity and 705 Distributions, 17, 946-957. 706 Schloss, P.D., Gevers, D. & Westcott, S.L. (2011) Reducing the Effects of PCR 707 Amplification and Sequencing Artifacts on 16S rRNA-Based Studies. PLoS 708 ONE, 6, 1-14. 709 Schloss, P.D., Westcott, S.L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J.R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E.B., Lesniewski, R.A., Oakley, B.B., Parks, D.H., Robinson, C.J., Sahl, J.W., Stres, 710 711 B., Thallinger, G.G., Van Horn, D.J. & Weber, C.F. (2009) Introducing 712 mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported Software 713 for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. Applied and 714 Environmental Microbiology, 75, 7537-7541. 715 Simberloff, D. & Von Holle, B. (1999) Positive interactions of nonindigenous 716 species: invasional meltdown? *Biological Invasions*, 1, 21-32. 717 Smith, D.M., Snow, D.E., Rees, E., Zischkau, A.M., Hanson, J.D., Wolcott, R., Sun, 718 Y., White, J., Kumar, S. & Dowd, S. (2010) Evaluation of the bacterial 719 diversity of Pressure ulcers using bTEFAP pyrosequencing. BMC Medical 720 Genomics, 3 721 Somasegaran, P., Hoben, H. J. (1994) Handbook for rhizobia: methods in legume-722 Rhizobium technology. Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 723 Sprent, J.I. & Parsons, R. (2000) Nitrogen fixation in legume and non-legume trees. 724 Field Crop Research, 65, 183-196. 725 Stanton-Geddes, J. & Anderson, C. (2011) Does a facultative mutualism limit species 726 range expansion? Oecologia, 167, 149-155. 727 Stepkowski, T., Watkin, E., McInnes, A., Gurda, D., Gracz, J. & Steenkamp, E.T. 728 (2012) Distinct Bradyrhizbium communities nodulate legumes native to 729 temperate and tropical monsoon Australia. Molecular Phylogenetics and 730 Evolution, 63, 265-277. Tedersoo, L., Nilsson, R.H., Abarenkov, K., Jairus, T., Sadam, A., Saar, I., Bahram, 731 M., Bechem, E., Chuyong, G. & Kõljalg, U. (2010) 454 Pyrosequencing and 732 733 Sanger sequencing of tropical mycorrhizal fungi provide similar results but 734 reveal substantial methodological biases. New Phytologist, 188, 291-301.

| 735 | Velázquez, E., Martínez-Hidalgo, P., Carro, L., Alonso, P., Peix, A., Trujillo, M., |
|------------|--|
| 736 | Martínez-Molina, E., Rodelas-González, M. & González-López, J. (2013) |
| 737 | Nodular endophytes: an untapped diversity. <i>Beneficial Plant-Microbial</i> |
| /38 | Interactions: Ecology and Applications (ed. by J.GL. M. Belen Rodelas |
| 739 | Gonzalez), pp. 214-235. Taylor and Francis Group, Florida. |
| 740 | vestergard, M., Kølin, K. & Ekelund, F. (2013) Above-belowground interactions |
| 741 | Wondreg E M. Shoppard A. Dungen B D. & Hulma D E. (2012) Reduced |
| 742 773 | availability of rhizobia limits the performance but not invasiveness of |
| 744 | introduced Acacia <i>Journal of Ecology</i> 101 1103–1113 |
| 745 | Weir B S (2016) The current taxonomy of rhizohia NZ Rhizohia website |
| 746 | http://www.rhizobia.co.nz/taxonomy/rhizobia.Last updated: X Jan. 2016 |
| 747 | [Accessed on 20th of Jan, 2016]. In: |
| 748 | Zakhia, F., Jeder, H., Willems, A., Gillis, M., Drevfus, B. & De Lajudie, P. (2006) |
| 749 | Diverse bacteria associated with root nodules of spontaneous legumes in |
| 750 | Tunisia and first report for nifH-like gene within the genera Microbacterium |
| 751 | and Starkeya. <i>Microbial ecology</i> , 51 , 375-393. |
| 752 | Zehr, J.P. & McReynolds, L.A. (1989) Use of degenerate oligonucleotides for |
| 753 | amplification of the nifH gene from the marine cyanobacterium |
| 754 | Trichodesmium thiebautii. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 55, |
| 755 | 2522-2526. |
| 756 | |
| 757 | |
| 758 | Supporting Information |
| 759 | Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article: |
| 760 | |
| 761 | Appendix S1 Details of hosts and obtained sequence reads for amplified <i>nifH</i> gene. |
| 762 | Appendix S2 PCA plots for soil and nodule NFB. |
| 763 | |
| 764 | Biosketch |
| 765 | Christina Birnbaum is a post-doc at Murdoch University, Western Australia. She is |
| 766 | broadly interested in plant-soil interactions and plant ecology. She began this |
| 767 | study as part of her doctoral dissertation at Macquarie University, New South |
| 768 | Wales, Australia. |
| 769 | Author contributions: All authors conceived the ideas; C.B. collected the data; C.B. |
| 770 | and A.B. analysed the data; all authors contributed to writing the manuscript. |

771

772 Editor: Hanno Schaefer

773

774 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Map showing the 49 sites where seed and soil samples were collected for

native and non-native populations of four Acacia species and Paraserianthes

lophantha in south-east and south-west Australia.

778 Figure 2. Rarefaction accumulation curves along the number of sequences obtained

from each species' introduced and native range as detected in 49 soil samples. Upper

and lower rows (L-R, in alphabetic order) show bacterial species accumulation curves

for the three western Australian native host species – a) Acacia cyclops, b) Acacia

saligna and c) Paraserianthes lophantha and for the two eastern Australian native

host species – d) Acacia longifolia and e) Acacia melanoxylon, respectively. Grey

and black lines indicate populations from native and introduced range, respectively.

785 Curves are presented up to minimum of 474 sequences. For a total number of

786 sequences see Appendix S1.

787



communities detected in 49 soil samples. Host species names in bold indicate

populations that nodules were collected from after a glasshouse experiment using field

collected soil as inoculum. Asterisk on bacterial species names indicates groups that

792 were also found in nodules. OTUs that had $\leq 1\%$ abundance score were not included

in the heatmap. Acacia cyclops (A. cyc), Acacia saligna (A. sal), Paraserianthes

194 lophantha (P. lop), Acacia longifolia (A. lon) and Acacia melanoxylon (A. mel).

795

| 796 | Figure 4. Rarefaction accumulation curves along the number of sequences obtained |
|-----|---|
| 797 | from each species' introduced and native range as detected in 29 nodule samples. |
| 798 | Upper and lower rows (L-R, in alphabetic order) show bacterial species accumulation |
| 799 | curves for the three western Australian native host species – a) Acacia cyclops, b) |
| 800 | Acacia saligna and c) Paraserianthes lophantha and for the two eastern Australian |
| 801 | native host species – d) Acacia longifolia and e) Acacia melanoxylon, respectively. |
| 802 | Grey and black lines indicate populations from native and introduced range, |
| 803 | respectively. Error bars represent standard error. Curves are presented up to minimum |
| 804 | of 1142 sequences. For a total number of sequences see Appendix S1. |
| 805 | |
| 806 | Figure 5. Heatmap with relative abundance data for nitrogen fixing bacterial |
| 807 | communities in Australia detected in 29 nodule samples. OTUs that had $\leq 1\%$ |
| 808 | abundance score were not included in the heatmap. N – native range, NN – non-native |
| 809 | range. |
| 810 | |
| 811 | |
| 812 | |
| 813 | |





Number of sequences

Figure 2.

| No. Sp | . F | zei ≅ 6 Genus (Family) | <pre>wuclassified (unclassified) * Voothomono (Voothomono)</pre> | * unclassified (Leptothrix) | * Rhodoblastus (Bradyrhizobiaceae) * Aminobacter (Phvllobacteriaceae) | * Pseudacidavorax (Comamonadaceae) | * unclassified (<i>Rubrivivax</i>) | Azospirillim (Khodospirilaceae) * Bradvinhizohium (Bradvinhizohiaceae) | * Amorphomonas (Rhizobiaceae) | Halomonas (Halomonadaceae) | Geobacter (Geobacteraceae) | Pelobacter (Pelobacteraceae) unclassified (unclassified) | Mvxococcaceae (Bradvrhzobiaceae) | unclassified (Optiutaceae) | Allochromatium (Chromatiaceae) | Azohydromonas (Alcaligenaceae) | Methylocystis (Methylocystaceae) | Methanocaldococcus (Methanocaldococcaceae) | * Alcaligenes (Alcaligenaceae) * Novembinachium (Schingenaceae) | Novospriniguaini (Sprinigunoriadaceae) Dolemmones (Comemonadaceae) | * Ensifer (Rhizobiaceae) | Azorhizobium (Xanthobacteraceae) | * Agromyces (Microbacteriaceae) | Rhodospirillum (Rhodospirillaceae) | Desulturomonas (Desulturomonadaceae) | Knodopseudomoras (bradymizobiaceae)) Meximponirillum /Dhodosnirillecond) | wagnetospinium (minute) Svntrophobacter (Svnthropobacteraceae) | Candidatus Desulforudis (Peptococcaceae) | Acinetobacter (Moraxellaceae) | Geoalkalibacter (Geobacteriaceae) | Delftia (Comamonadaceae) | Xanthobacter (Xanthobacteraceae) | Spincomonas (Sphincomonadaceae) | Alkalilimnicola (Ectothiorhodospiraceae) | Methylocella (Beijerinckiaceae) | Phaeospirillum (Rhodospirillaceae) | Desulfatibacillium (Desulfobacteriaceae) | Methanopyrus (Methanopyraceae) | Sultitobacter (Rhodobacteriaceae) | unclassified (unclassified) | unclassified (Ideonella) | Marichromatium (Chromatiaceae) | Erwina (Enterobacteriaceae) | unciassimed (unciassimed) Phyllobacterium (Phyllobacteriaceae) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2 A. 3 A. | cyc cyc cyc | NN NN | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | í | l | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 A. 5 A. 6 A. | cyc cyc cyc | NN NN N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 A. 8 A. | cyc cyc | N N | | | | h | | | h | | | | | | | | h | i | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 A. 10 A. | сус сус | N N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 A. 12 A. | sal sal | NN NN | | | | | | | | | | | | ł | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | |
| 13 A. 14 A. 15 A. | sal sal | NN NN | | | | | í | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 A. 17 A. | sal sal | N N | | | | | | | ľ | | | | | Ē | | | | | ī | | í. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ī | |
| 18 A. 19 A. | sal sal | N N | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | ľ | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| 20 A. 21 P. | sai lop lon | N NN NN | | | | | t | | | | | 1 | ŕ | | | | | | | | | Ċ | | | | ľ | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 P. 24 P. | lop lop | NN NN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 <i>P</i> . 26 P . | lop lop | NN N | | | | | _ | | | | | _ | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 P. 28 P. | lop lop | N N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ì | | | | | | | | | | | l | ١. | j, | Ľ | | | | | | | Ľ | | | |
| 29 P. 30 P. | lop lop lop | N N | | | _ | | | | Ē | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 A. 32 A. 33 A. | lon lon | NN | | | | 1 | | | ć | | | 1 | | | | | ł | | | | | | | | | ŝ | ć | | | | | | | | | | | ł | ł | | | | | |
| 34 A. 35 A. | lon Ion | NN NN | | | | | | | 1 | | | ï | ł | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| 36 A. 37 A. | lon Ion | N N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 A. 39 <i>A</i> . | lon lon | N N | | | | | | | | | l | | | | | | | | l | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 09 | % 10% | | | |
| 40 A. 41 A. | non mel | N NN NN | | | | | | 1 | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 21 31 | L-209 L-309 | % % ~ | ŀ | |
| 43 A . 44 <i>A</i> . | mel mel | NN NN | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | ï | 1 | | | | | ł | ć | | | | | | | | | | h | | | | | | | | | 41 41 | L-50% | 6 6 | | |
| 45 A. 46 A. | mel mel | N N | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | í | | | | | | | | 1 | | | ľ | | | | | | | | | 6 ⁻ | 1-70 1-80 | % % | ŀ | |
| 47 A. 48 A. | mel mel | N N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 91 | 1-10 | 0% | | |
| 49 A. | mel | Ν | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 3.



Number of sequences

Figure 4.



Figure 5.

Journal of Biogeography

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Nitrogen fixing bacterial communities in invasive legume nodules and associated soils are similar across introduced and native range populations in Australia

Christina Birnbaum, Andrew Bissett, Peter H. Thrall, Michelle R. Leishman

Appendix S1. Details of hosts and obtained sequence reads for amplified *nifH* gene from native and non-native soils (49) collected across south-east and south-west Australia and from nodules (29) harvested after a glasshouse experiment using field collected soil inoculum for four *Acacia* species and *Paraseranthes lophantha*. Number of OTUs (richness based on rarefaction) and Shannon diversity index are also presented for each species for native and introduced ranges (mean (±SE)).

| No. | Host plant species, populations | Location | Obtained see reads | quence | State | Location | Number | of OTUs | Shannon diversity index | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | | | Soils Nodules | | | | Soils | Nodules | Soils | Nodules | | |
| | A. cyclops | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Native | South-west | 23744 | 5993 | WA | Ravensthorpe | 18.4 (±1.07) | 8.75 (±0.75) | 3.57 (±0.25) | 2.52 (±0.22) | | |
| 2. | | South-west | 2815 | 3014 | WA | Bremer Bay | | | | | | |
| 3. | | South-west | 13151 | NA | WA | D`Entrecasteaux NP | | | | | | |

| 4. | | South-west | 9614 | 5415 | WA | William Bay | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------|------------|-------|-------|-----|----------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 5. | | South-west | 8181 | 3427 | WA | Coogee | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Introduced | South-east | 1847 | NA | SA | Yorke peninsula | 13.2 (±1.32) | 11 (±0.57) | 3.10 (±0.06) | 3.12 (±0.11) | | | | |
| 7. | | South-east | 3545 | 60108 | SA | Yorke peninsula | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | | South-east | 2585 | 3940 | SA | Yorke peninsula | | | | | | | | |
| 9. | | South-east | 1220 | NA | SA | McLaren Vale | | | | | | | | |
| 10. | | South-east | 1714 | 35470 | SA | Victor harbour | | | | | | | | |
| , | A. saligna | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. | Native | South-west | 2102 | NA | WA | Wickepin | 23.2 (±2.51) | 10 (±0) | 4.02 (±0.17) | 3.31 (±0.31) | | | | |
| 12. | | South-west | 20858 | NA | WA | Mordalup | | | | | | | | |
| 13. | | South-west | 13685 | NA | WA | Yonderup | | | | | | | | |
| 14. | | South-west | 14975 | 23304 | WA | Toodyay | | | | | | | | |
| 15. | | South-west | 12950 | 7590 | WA | Perth | | | | | | | | |
| 16. | Introduced | South-east | 5620 | NA | NSW | Tailem Bend | 14.6 (±1.32) | 9 (±0.58) | 3.52 (±0.42) | 2.73 (±0.53) | | | | |
| 17. | | South-east | 1751 | 1162 | VIC | Portland-Nelson Rd | | | | | | | | |
| 18. | | South-east | 7643 | 24660 | VIC | Surf coast hwy | | | | | | | | |
| 19. | | South-east | 5284 | 23890 | SA | Mornington peninsula | | | | | | | | |

| 20. | | South-east | 3120 | NA | SA | Bega | | | | |
|-----|---------------|------------|-------|------|-----|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | P. lophantha | | | | | | | | | |
| 21. | Native | South-west | 6664 | 3918 | WA | Mt. Frankland NP | 20.6 (±2.66) | 9 (±1) | 4.63 (±0.21) | 3.01 (±0.07) |
| 22. | | South-west | 6417 | NA | WA | Pemberton | | | | |
| 23. | | South-west | 5654 | 4194 | WA | Gingilup Swamps NR | | | | |
| 24. | | South-west | 17530 | NA | WA | Serpentine NP | | | | |
| 25. | | South-west | 12694 | NA | WA | Armadale | | | | |
| 26. | Introduced | South-east | 3196 | 6361 | VIC | Port Fairy | 18.8 (±2.56) | 11.7 (±0.67) | 3.97 (±0.24) | 3.22 (±0.17) |
| 27. | | South-east | 2494 | NA | VIC | Surf coast hwy | | | | |
| 28. | | South-east | 1477 | 2639 | VIC | Toora | | | | |
| 29. | | South-east | 4311 | 2884 | NSW | Eden | | | | |
| 30. | | South-east | 7825 | NA | NSW | Scarborough | | | | |
| | A. longifolia | | | | | | | | | |
| 31. | Native | South-east | 2250 | 4211 | VIC | Portland-Nelson Rd | 12.4 (±2.82) | 10.3 (±0.67) | 3.12 (±0.78) | 3.14 (±0.25) |
| 32. | | South-east | 42735 | 3078 | VIC | Cape Otway | | | | |
| 33. | | South-east | 15169 | 2626 | VIC | Wilsons Promontory | | | | |
| 34. | | South-east | 3258 | NA | NSW | Croajingalong NP | | | | |

| 35. | | South-east | 5991 | NA | NSW | Lake Tabourie | | | | |
|-----|----------------|------------|-------|-------|-----|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| 36. | Introduced | South-west | 5821 | NA | WA | Lake Powell NR | 15.0 (±3.11) | 8.5 (±1.19) | 3.52 (±0.37) | 3.11 (±0.10) |
| 37. | | South-west | 12113 | 4231 | WA | Mt Barker | | | | |
| 38. | | South-west | 25388 | 6319 | WA | Gracetown | | | | |
| 39. | | South-west | 16953 | 6161 | WA | Watkins Road NR | | | | |
| 40. | | South-west | 18867 | 5466 | WA | Gidgegannup | | | | |
| | A. melanoxylon | | | | | | | | | |
| 41. | Native | South-east | 7644 | NA | SA | Mt Lofty Summit | 18.6 (±1.93) | 9.3 (±0.88) | 4.31 (±0.31) | 3.56 (±0.14) |
| 42. | | South-east | 73689 | 9153 | VIC | Port Fairy | | | | |
| 43. | | South-east | 5330 | 8753 | VIC | Apollo Bay | | | | |
| 44. | | South-east | 6351 | 6850 | VIC | Toora | | | | |
| 45. | | South-east | 1754 | NA | NSW | South East Forest NP | | | | |
| 46. | Introduced | South-west | 6797 | 11780 | WA | Albany | 17.6 (±2.95) | 11 (±0) | 3.77 (±0.12) | 2.71 (±0.41) |
| 47. | | South-west | 2339 | 2305 | WA | Elleker | | | | |
| 48. | | South-west | 3576 | NA | WA | Quinninup | | | | |
| 49. | | South-west | 2755 | NA | WA | Perth | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix S2 PCA plots for soil and nodule nitrogen fixing bacterial communities based on *nifH* amplification from soils and nodules associated with four invasive *Acacia* species and *Paraserianthes lophantha* in Australia. Open and closed symbols represent native and non-native populations, respectively.

Figure S1. PCA plots for soil nitrogen fixing bacterial communities based on extracted DNA from soils associated with four *Acacia* species and *Paraserianthes lophantha*. Open and closed symbols represent native and non-native populations, respectively.

Figure S2. PCA plots for nodule nitrogen fixing bacterial communities based on extracted DNA from soils associated with four *Acacia* species and *Paraserianthes lophantha*. Open and closed symbols represent native and non-native populations, respectively.





