

The International  
**JOURNAL**  
*of*  
TECHNOLOGY,  
KNOWLEDGE  
& SOCIETY

Volume 5, Number 1

Contemporary Society, Technology and  
Sustainability

Abdallah M. Hasna

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGY, KNOWLEDGE AND SOCIETY  
<http://www.Technology-Journal.com>

First published in 2009 in Melbourne, Australia by Common Ground Publishing Pty Ltd  
[www.CommonGroundPublishing.com](http://www.CommonGroundPublishing.com).

© 2009 (individual papers), the author(s)  
© 2009 (selection and editorial matter) Common Ground

Authors are responsible for the accuracy of citations, quotations, diagrams, tables and maps.

All rights reserved. Apart from fair use for the purposes of study, research, criticism or review as permitted under the Copyright Act (Australia), no part of this work may be reproduced without written permission from the publisher. For permissions and other inquiries, please contact  
<[cg-support@commongroundpublishing.com](mailto:cg-support@commongroundpublishing.com)>.

ISSN: 1832-3669  
Publisher Site: <http://www.Technology-Journal.com>

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGY, KNOWLEDGE AND SOCIETY is peer-reviewed, supported by rigorous processes of criterion-referenced article ranking and qualitative commentary, ensuring that only intellectual work of the greatest substance and highest significance is published.

Typeset in Common Ground Markup Language using CGCreator multichannel typesetting system  
<http://www.commongroundpublishing.com/software/>

# Contemporary Society, Technology and Sustainability

Abdallah M. Hasna, University of Southern Queensland, Queensland, Australia

*Abstract: The notion of a sociotechnical system is still developing and evidence can be viewed in a series of recent articles that has appeared over the last few years. With titles like Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Technology Analysis, and Strategic Technology Management, and, similarly, Technology/Knowledge Society and Sustainability are all buzzword terminology in a rapidly moving field, migrating from the scientific periphery to mainstream culture of a technology driven society. With the advent of global turmoil and the financial reins of 2008 credit crunch, the topic of sustainability and contemporary society is ever more concerning, as for years we as a society and our economy have been driven by two key measures of success profit and loss statements and our quarterly earnings. This article attempts to provide a brief assessment of a sizable body of literature, it also aims to provide a deeper understanding of the relationship between contemporary society, technology in sustainability from an engineering perspective. It was found that contemporary society is profoundly reliant on technology, and for sustainability to be considered we call for a new legacy, not a continuation of the past.*

Keywords: Sustainability, Contemporary Society, Technology, Engineering

## Introduction

**E**XACERBATED BY MUCH publicity, globalization, climate change, sustainability have motivated numerous conferences and symposiums particularly in the science-techno world, whereas scientist and engineers alike have questioned their respective roles towards the evolution of knowledge society since, culture, technology and engineering are all intertwined. As a result the study of technology and society is vital in sustainability considerations. As many of the current trajectories of development are not sustainable, for example of the large realm of innovation possibilities, only a few are selected and used as the basis for further development. The selection has to do with economics (costs and prices) but also with expectations and institutions in the widest possible sense. Traditionally society has favoured innovations that fit existing regimes, with limited consideration for environmental risk. However today, environmental problems pose immediate threats and resource use poses a long-term problem..

Today's society is immersed in technology; Science and Technology are among the most potent forces transforming human life on earth in the new millennium Grove (1980) Beyler (2003) McGinn R, (2005), Gudmund,(2006). According to Bolter (1984), some technologies occupy a special place in their age. The clock and the steam engine in Western Europe in the 17th and 19th centuries, respectively, not only changed the world in a material sense, but they also provided new ways by which people viewed and understood both their physical and metaphysical worlds. Clockwork was the model of the universe showing the movements of heavenly bodies; the steam engine became the metaphor for the universe in the 19th cen-

ture. We begin this discussion by noting that the 21st century has seen extraordinary advancements in the world technologies whose antecedents are more than 100 years in the making (Webster, 2002). Smith, et al, 2005; smith 2003, 2004, 2005 studied critical analysis of environmental policy processes; and research into relationships between technology and sustainable development whilst Bas van Vliet et al, 2005 looked at the Infrastructure of Consumption, i.e. the role of providers and consumer, Shove (2003, 2007,) investigated sociology changes in the evolution of consumption and technology. Viewing the literature from an evolutionary perspective let us visit the work of Voss and Kemp, (2005); Rip (2004); Rotmans (2004); Rotmans et al (2001); Kemp (1994,1997,1998,2000); Kemp et al (1998); Geels and Kemp (2006,2007); Geels, et al ., 2008, Schot, and Geels, (2007,2008) ; Rip and Kemp (1998) ; Hoogma et al , (2002) which highlight that there is a vital need for redirection of development involving system change.

## Discussion

From the moment our ancestors started to settle down and build cities, we have had to find solutions to the problems that success brings. “For the past 10,000 years, problem solving has produced increasing complexity in human societies Tainter, (2006). In order to appreciate the ways contemporary society and technology interrelate, we need to visit the rudiments modern culture. The social shaping of technology (SST) revealed that cultural and social groups influenced technological change and innovation in any given environment (MacKenzie and Wajcman, 1985). This broad thematic spectrum in society that points to a high degree of penetration of new technology Heesen (2004), which has fostered innovation, knowledge, mobility, transparency, and globalisation. Consequently this has formed the impetus to this new culture of technology. Similarly the access to enhanced and instant modes of communication has produced a new dynamic of social movements and given rise to new kinds of social identities Castells, (1996). The impact of these new technologies on modern society has been undoubtedly beneficial but some might argue the contrary. Collectively globalization and technology form undividable inseparable bond, in particular communication technology. Hence technology attributed change in contemporary society is a phenomenon that perhaps has influenced our perceptions and expectations. Whether Technology attributed change is evolutionary or devolutionary, science, technology and society are an undividable trio, resulting in daily life being itself an intermingled affair with technology. For example the following are some terms that have emerged in recent times as a result of technology attributed change are “Global village”, “technocrats”, “information society”, “information age”, and “knowledge society” these are a few of an apophenia of change, nonetheless all these terms indirectly imply a dependability on electricity. Finally, Forester (1987); Sladovich (1991); Cassedy and Grossman (1998); Harvey and Chrisman, (1998); Michael (2000); Jasanoff (2004); Ito et al (2005), studies has posited that technology and society are inseparable, and form an inseparable elements in a complex sociotechnical system. Thus, the determinants of contemporary society are based on historical continuity of development, with technology being the characteristics that differentiate it. Similarly research in the field of science and technology had gathered evidence that science is not separate from society and that it does not discover uncontested ‘truths’ that are then translated into policies. Rather, we have to assume a co-production of scientific claims, political decisions and social order, this goes against the traditional view that science and society are separate and that sound knowledge

influences public policy in a rather linear fashion, Grundmann, (2007). “No idea is more provocative in controversies about technology and society than the notion that technical things have political qualities” Winner, (1986); Pool, (1997). “Science and technology (are) important. But scientists also need to understand that economic prosperity and defence probably rank higher.” Australian Politician, (January, 2001), Parsons (2001), the words above illustrate dramatically the gulf between science and politics. What the quote actually tells us is that this Australian politician not only believes that science and technology are divorced from economic prosperity and defence issues, he or she believes they are in competition with other critical priorities in the field of public policy making. According to Grundmann (2007) research in the field of science and technology studies has gathered much evidence that science is not separate from society and that it does not discover uncontested ‘truths’ that are then translated into policies. Rather, we have to assume a co-production of scientific claims, political decisions and social order (Latour, 1987; Jasanoff and Wynne, 1998; Jasanoff, 2004).

Tainter, (2006) problem solving has produced increasing complexity in human societies. Society is becoming even more dependent on engineering and technology (Chisholm, 2003). Western industrial civilisation has become bigger and more complex than any before it by exploiting new sources of energy, notably coal and oil, but these are limited MacKenzie, (2008). Western civilizations in the late twentieth century are dependent on a continuous, reliable supply of energy. Most of the time, users are oblivious to its source, they just switch the power on or fill up the car (Leggett and Finlay, 2001). To some a digital society implies growing dependence on networked ICT’s, with more people using the internet, cell phones, digital video, digital music, and PC’s (Yoo, 2006). Whether the focus is technology, economic, or societal, technology will have profound effects on natural resources. For instance the digital society implies growing reliance on electricity in supporting 21st century socioeconomic development, but there is little agreement on what these effects imply for the use of electricity (Baer et al, 2002). As by tradition these matters posit a heavier reliance on natural resources and hence require inclusion in the sustainability debate.

As the world braces itself for a sustainable viewpoint i.e. the new, low-carb economy, changing energy consumption by reverting to renewable alternatives to curb emissions, The notion of development and progress in present-day society together with sustainable principles are complex and can only be contextually described with a given sets of beliefs i.e. a value system, Dovers, (1990) Pennycook (1999), Ecimovc (2007), Salingaros and Masden (2008). To understand the nature of acceptance, rejection, and distortion of any value system clarification of the system’s values and functionality is required. Williams and Edge (1996) reported technologies are ‘socially shaped’ leaves open many important questions about the character and influence of the shaping forces. In seeking to grasp the complexity of the socio-economic processes involved in technological innovation, SST has been forced to go beyond simplistic forms of social determinism which, like technological determinism, see technology as reflecting a single rationality - for example an economic imperative, or the political imperative. For example a critique has been made of the dominant neo-classical tradition of economic analysis, with its assumptions that technologies will emerge readily in response to market demands (Coombs et al 1987), consequently radiating technological dependence and, economic dependence that illuminate sustainability in a society.

So why is sustainability important? Because its very absence is uncertainty, we as humans want belief that the world will last indefinitely. Therefore the concept of sustainability had

assumed a central place in global warming and climate change discussions, particularly in political policy making, Chukwumerije (2006) Houghton, (2007), to explain our existence and perhaps our future's road map. Since Climate change is a global environmental problem that needs global cooperation for its solution Abraham et al (2003) Luterbacher and Sprinz (2007). Coincidentally Western Governments and institutions alike wrestle with the notion of climate change protocols, regimes and emission reduction targets for numerous reasons (young and levy,1999). Traditionally inventors used technology to solve problems Altshuller (1994), in addressing technology and society concerns one need to visit the concept of new technology, does it create its own needs? For example is it more likely to create other problems downstream/upstream by the suggested solution? Hence it is quintessential for the scientific community and policy makers to consider the societal and cultural impression of technology. After all sustainability is not defined in territorial modes, on the contrary we beg to ask the question "must sustainability work for all of Humanity?" if so must we consider the "universalizability of sustainability" For instance the Drought is climate change currently experienced in Australia, CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>/SO<sub>x</sub>, Ozone depletion, were all indiscriminate and know no geographical or national boundaries, similarly so are natural disasters as witnessed in the Asian tsunami of 2005. Thus, several factors have been identified to make international cooperation more likely: greater scientific consensus; increased public concern; burden sharing between nations; short term political benefits; and the existence of previous, related multilateral agreements (Hahn and Richards, 1989) as quoted in Grundmann (2007). Hence to arrive at a universal orthodoxy of sustainability is crucial to attain symmetry in the global warming debate. Thus to question the concept of humans as a family is a valid proposition in sustainability discussion, consequently the most biologically successful species on planet Earth, struggles with its fellow members, how do our primal instincts about competition and territoriality relate to sustainability and human intuition for survival and progress?

## Conclusion

One of the perplexing dilemmas confronting contemporary society today is resolving the imbalance that exists, for example the World Health Organization (WHO, 2005) estimated that over one billion people were overweight globally, equally the U.N. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO,2008) reported on 923 million people suffering from hunger. Therefore how can we as engineers in the western world understand the meaning of sustainability ? given that our profession contributes to wealth generation which seems to be a contributor to the imbalances. For example the Western world suffers from overweight and obesity a symptom of overconsumption, on the other side hunger and malnutrition dominates a significant portion of the world's population a consequence of under consumption? this raises the fundamental essence of humanity as family? Is humanity a family? This is a new reality on the ground, this reality presents contemporary society with an intractable problem of achieving sustainability and addressing the imbalance, together with global warming worries an estrangement of colossal portions, therefore sustainability definition in contemporary society must include justice for basic human needs. With the aid of analogy or metaphors, sustainability in contemporary society is a process that resembles human values a "libra" a balance, scale, of generational issues. This description is remanet of a mechanical system at equilibrium, where all the forces (or normative factors) acting on it are balanced; the forces in sustainability inquiry are social, economic, environmental and technological. This article

has raised some issues to direct further research in context-aware understanding of sustainability. Finally this article has demonstrated two subjects; that in order to examine the connectedness between sustainability, society and technology, the contextual implications of technology on cultural development or deterioration of technology in contemporary society needs to be considered. Also by framing “technology” in the not purely technical lens we have raised awareness of “contemporary society and technology” in sustainability contemporary society is an expansion from the traditional guard and sustainability requires a change of legacy for reducing our dependence on technology.

## References

- Abraham, Evans, and Marburger, (2003), Energy Secretary Abraham, Commerce Secretary Evans, and White House Science Adviser Marburger released a 10-Year comprehensive Strategic Plan for the U.S. Climate Change, the Whitehouse.
- Altshuller, G (1994), *And Suddenly the Inventor Appeared*, translated by Lev Shulyak, Worcester, MA: Technical Innovation Center
- Baer W S., Hassell S, Vollaard B A., (2002), *Electricity Requirements for a Digital Society*, Chapter One: introduction, information and communications technologies and energy in a digital society, RAND Science and Technology.
- Bas van Vliet, H. Chappells ,E. Shove, 2005, *Infrastructures of Consumption*, London: Earthscan.
- Beyler RH (2003), *The demon of technology, mass society, and atomic physics in West Germany, 1945–1957*, *History and Technology*, VOL. 19(3), pp. 227–239, Taylor and Francis,
- Bolter, J. D. (1984), *Turing’s man: Western culture in the computer age*, pp. 32, London: Duckworth.
- Cassedy E.S., Grossman P.Z., (1998), *Introduction to Energy: Resources, Technology, and Society*, Cambridge University Press.
- Castells M., (1996), *State and Society, the Information Age: Economy, Society, and Culture*, Blackwell Publishers.
- Chisholm, (2003) *Critical Factors Relating to the Future Sustainability of Engineering Education*, *Global J. of Engng. Educ.*, Vol.7, No.1.
- Chukwumerije O, (2006) *Global environmental sustainability: Intragenerational equity and conceptions of justice in multilateral environmental regimes*, *Geoforum*, Volume 37, Issue 5, September , pp. 725-738, Elsevier. Contributor Sheila Jasanoff, Published by Routledge,
- Coombs, R, Saviotti, P, Walsh, V (1987), *Economics and Technological Change* Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Dovers S R. , (1990), *Sustainability in context: An Australian perspective*, *Environmental Management*, Volume 14, Number 3 / May, , Springer New York.
- Ecimovc T, (2007), *The Sustainable (Development) Future of Mankind*, Ansted University, Malaysia *Expectations for Science: Survey of Federal and State Politicians (Preliminary results)*. (Sydney: Market Attitude Research Services, January 2001).
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), *The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2008, High food prices and food security – threats and opportunities*, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy ,December.
- Forester T,(1987),*High-tech Society: The Story of the Information Technology Revolution*, MIT Press.
- Geels, F. , Green, K. (eds.). *System Innovation and the Transition to Sustainability: Theory, Evidence and Policy*, Edgar Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 137-167.
- Geels, F.W. and Kemp, R., (2007) ‘Dynamics in socio-technical systems: Typology of change processes and contrasting case studies’, *Technology in Society*
- Geels, F.W. and Kemp, R., 2006, ‘Transitions, transformations and reproduction: Dynamics of socio-technical systems’, M. McKelvey and M. Holmén (eds.), *Flexibility and Stability in Economic Transformation*, New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 227-256

- Geels, F.W. and Kemp, R., 2007, 'Dynamics in socio-technical systems: Typology of change processes and contrasting case studies', *Technology in Society*, 29(4), 441-455
- Geels, F.W. and Schot, J.W., 2007, 'Comment on 'Techno therapy or nurtured niches?' by Hommels et al. [Res. Policy 36(7) (2007)]', *Research Policy*, 36(7), 1100-1101
- Geels, F.W. and Schot, J.W., 2007, 'Typology of sociotechnical transition pathways', *Research Policy*, 36(3), 399-417
- Geels, F.W., 2007, 'Analysing the breakthrough of rock 'n' roll (1930-1970): Multi-regime interaction and reconfiguration in the multi-level perspective', *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 74(8), 1411-1431
- Geels, F.W., Hekkert, M. and Jacobsson, S., 2008, 'The micro-dynamics of sustainable innovation journeys: Editorial', *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 20(5), 521-536
- Grove J. W., (1980), *Science as technology: Aspects of a potent myth*, Minerva, Volume 18, Number 2 / June, Springer Netherlands.
- Grundmann R,( 2007), climate change and knowledge politics, *Environmental Politics*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 414 – 432, June
- Gudmund H, (2006), *the virtual university Models & lessons from Messages Case Studies* Edited by Susan D'Antoni The new century: societal paradoxes and major trends UNESCO.
- Hahn, R., Richards, K. (1989) 'The internationalisation of environmental regulation', *Harvard International Law Journal* 30(2), pp.421–46.
- Harvey F, N Chrisman, (1998), *Boundary objects and the social construction of GIS, technology Environment and Planning*, volume 30, pages 1683-1694
- Heesen J., (2004), *Universalisation, Totality and ICT, or: Are there any reasons for demanding ICT-free areas?* *International Journal of Information Ethics*, Vol. 2 /11
- Hoogma, Remco, Rene Kemp, Johan Schot, Benhard Truffer. 2002. *Experimenting for Sustainable Transport. The Approach of Strategic Niche Management*. E&FN Spon Publishers.
- Houghton J,(2007) *Global Warming, Climate Change and Sustainability, Challenge to Scientists, Policy Makers and Christians*, The John Ray Initiative, Connecting environment, science and Christianity Briefing Paper 14,
- Ito, M., D. Okabe, M. Matsuda (ed.), (2005), *Personal, Portable, Pedestrian, Mobile Phones in Japanese Life*, MIT press, August.
- Jasanoff S, (2004), *States of Knowledge: The Co-Production of Science and Social Order*
- Jasanoff, S. (ed.) (2004) *States of Knowledge: The Co-production of Science and Social Order* (London: Routledge).
- Jasanoff, S., Wynne, B. (1998) 'Science and decision making', in S. Rayner & E. Malone eds.), *Human Choice and Climate Change Volume 1: The Societal Framework*, pp. 1–88 (Columbus, OH: Battelle Press).
- Johan Schot and Arie Rip, 'The Past and Future of Constructive Technology Assessment', *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 54, 1997, 2-3, 251-268.
- Johan Schot, Remco Hoogma and Boelie Elzen, 'Strategies for Shifting Technological Systems. The Case of the Automobile System', *Futures*, 26, 1994, 1060-1076
- Kemp, R. (1994). *Technology and the Transition to Environmental Sustainability. The Problem of Technological Regime Shifts*. *Futures*, vol. 26, pp. 1023-46
- Kemp, R. (1997). *Environmental Policy and Technical Change. A Comparison of the Technological Impact of Policy Instruments*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Kemp, R. (2000). *Technology and Environmental Policy — Innovation effects of past policies and suggestions for improvement*, OECD proceedings *Innovation and the Environment*, OECD, Paris, pp. 35-61.
- Kemp, R. , Rotmans, J. (2004). *Managing the Transition to Sustainable Mobility*. In Elzen, B., Kemp, R. , Schot, J. , Hoogma, R. (1998). *Regime Shifts to Sustainability through Processes of Niche Formation. The Approach of Strategic Niche Management*. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, vol. 10, pp. 175-195.



- Kemp, René, Arie Rip, and Johan Schot. 2001. "Constructing Transition Paths Through the Management of Niches." Pp. 269-299 in R. Garud & P. Karnøe, eds., *Path Dependence and Creation*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Latour, B. (1987) *Science in Action: How to Follow Scientists and Engineers through Society* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).
- Leggett M. , Finlay M. (2001) *Science, story, and image: a new approach to crossing the communication barrier posed by scientific jargon*, Public Understand. Sci. institute of physics publishing, 10 157–171.
- Luterbacher U, Sprinz D, 2007 *The Evolving Climate Change Regime*, International Conference on Climate Change Sponsored by the Swiss Federal Office of the Environment, the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, and the Graduate Institute of International Studies, Geneva. 18-20 January
- MacKenzie D. Wajzman J. (1985), *The Social Shaping of Technology: or How the Refrigerator Got its Hum*, Open University Press, Buckingham,; D. MacKenzie and J. Wajzman (eds), *The Social Shaping of Technology*, 2nd edition, Open University Press, Buckingham/
- MacKenzie D., (2008), *Why the demise of civilisation may be inevitable*, New Scientist magazine, 02 April, issue 2650, page 32-35
- McGinn R, (2005) *STS 101Q: Science, Technology, And Society*, course notes, Stanford university.
- Michael M, (2000) *Reconnecting Culture, Technology and Nature: From Society to Heterogeneity*, Routledge.
- Parsons W, (2001) *Scientists and politicians: the need to communicate* Public Understand. Sci. 10, 303–314.
- Pennycook A, (1999) *Development, culture and language: ethical concerns in a postcolonial world, the fourth conference on language and development* , October, 13-15. Published by the Asian Institute of Technology. Edited by Shaw J, Lubelska D and Noullet M. *Partnership and interaction*.
- Pool, R, (1997), *Beyond Engineering: How Society Shapes Technology*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rip, A. (2004) *Technological Innovation in Context*. In: (*Social Shaping of Technology*). Capital Normal University Press, Beijing, pp. 135-148.
- Rip, A. , Kemp R. (1998) 'Technological Change', in S. Rayner & E.L. Malone (eds), *Human choice and climate change*, OH Battell press, Volume 2 chapter 6, pp.327-99.
- Rip, Arie, and Rene Kemp. 1998. "Technological Change." Pp. 327-399 in S. Rayner and E.L. Malone, eds., *Human Choice and Climate Change*, Vol. II, Columbus: Batelle Press.
- Rotmans, J., Kemp, R. , van Asselt, M.B.A. (2001). *More Evolution than Revolution*. *Transition Management in Public Policy*. Foresight, vol. 3, pp. 15-31.
- Salingeros N, A., Masden K,G., (2008) *Intelligence-Based Design: A Sustainable Foundation For Worldwide Architectural Education*, *International Journal of Architectural Research*, Volume 2 - Issue 1 - March 2008 – pp.129-188
- Schot, J.W. and Geels, F.W., 2007, 'Niches in evolutionary theories of technical change: A critical survey of the literature', *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 17(5), 605-622
- Schot, J.W. and Geels, F.W., 2008, 'Strategic niche management and sustainable innovation journeys: Theory, findings, research agenda and policy', *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 20(5), 537-554
- Shove E. 2003, *Comfort, Cleanliness and Convenience*, Oxford: Berg.
- Shove E., M.Watson, M. Hand , J. Ingram (2007) *The Design of Everyday Life* Oxford: Berg.
- Sladovich H E., Editor, 1991, *Engineering as a Social Enterprise*,; National Academy of Engineering, NATIONAL ACADEMY PRESS, Washington, D.C.
- Smith, A. (2004), 'Alternative technology niches and sustainable development', *Innovation: Management, Policy and Practice*, 6: 2: 220-235.

- Smith, A. (2005), 'The Alternative Technology Movement: An Analysis of its Framing and Negotiation of Technology Development', *Human Ecology Review*, 12: 2: 106-119
- Smith, A., (2003), 'Transforming technological regimes for sustainable development', *Science and Public Policy*, 2003, Vol. 30, No. 2, 127-135.
- Smith, A., Stirling, A. & Berkhout, F. (2005), 'The governance of sustainable sociotechnical transitions', *Research Policy*, 34: 1491-1510
- Tainter J. A., (2006), *Archaeology of Overshoot and Collapse*, *Annual Review of Anthropology*, vol. 35, pp. 59-74.
- Voss, J.-P., Kemp, R. (2005): *Reflexive Governance for Sustainable Development*. 11th Annual International Sustainable Development Research Conference: 6-8 June 2005, Helsinki
- Webster F., (2002), *Theories of the Information Society*, 2nd edition, New York: Routledge.
- Williams R, Edge D, (1996), *The Social Shaping Of Technology*, *Research Policy* Vol. 25, pp. 856-899
- Winner, L. (1986), *Do Artifacts Have Politics? The whale and the reactor: a search for limits in an age of high technology*. Chicago, University of Chicago Press, pp. 19-39
- Yoo S.-H., (2006) *The causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in the ASEAN countries*, *Energy Policy*, Volume 34, Issue 18, December, P 3573-3582
- Young O R., Levy M, (1999), *The Effectiveness of International Environmental Regimes*, *Causal Connections*, MIT Press.

## About the Author

### *Abdallah M. Hasna*

A. M. Hasna holds PhD in manufacturing from Swinburne University of Technology, Graduate Certificate in Higher Education (Deakin), Graduate Certificate in Management, (SUT) BE Chemical (RMIT). He has 10 years' experience as process engineer, held senior positions in the chemical and process industry, worked on water flocculation systems in the mining industry, plantation timber moulding, fuel cell power generation for air independent propulsion with DSTO, sacrificial corrosion protection for the green river project, paperboard manufacturing, and developed a microwave corrugator with Visy Industries. Currently a Senior lecturer affiliated with the Department of Sustainability, Central Queensland University.

## **EDITORS**

**Bill Cope**, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA.

**Mary Kalantzis**, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA.

## **EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD**

**Darin Barney**, McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

**Marcus Breen**, Northeastern University, Boston, USA.

**G.K. Chadha**, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Dehli, India.

**Simon Cooper**, Monash University, Australia.

**Bill Dutton**, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.

**Amareswar Galla**, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia;  
Pacific Asia Observatory for Cultural Diversity in Human Development,  
Sydney, Australia.

**David Hakken**, University of Indiana, Bloomington, USA.

**Rom Harré**, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C., USA;  
London School of Economics, London, UK.

**Michele Knobel**, Montclair State University, Montclair, USA.

**Karim Gherab Martín**, Harvard University, Cambridge, USA;  
Bibliotecas Digitales, Madrid, Spain.

**Jeannette Shaffer**, Edtech Leaders, Virginia, USA.

**Ravi S. Sharma**, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.

**Robin Stanton**, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.

**Telle Whitney**, Anita Borg Institute for Women and Technology, Palo Alto, USA.

## THE UNIVERSITY PRESS JOURNALS



Creates a space for dialogue on innovative theories and practices in the arts, and their inter-relationships with society.

ISSN: 1833-1866

<http://www.Arts-Journal.com>



Explores the past, present and future of books, publishing, libraries, information, literacy and learning in the information society.

ISSN: 1447-9567

<http://www.Book-Journal.com>



Examines the meaning and purpose of 'design' while also speaking in grounded ways about the task of design and the use of designed artefacts and processes.

ISSN: 1833-1874

<http://www.Design-Journal.com>



Provides a forum for discussion and builds a body of knowledge on the forms and dynamics of difference and diversity.

ISSN: 1447-9583

<http://www.Diversity-Journal.com>



Maps and interprets new trends and patterns in globalisation.

ISSN 1835-4432

<http://www.GlobalStudiesJournal.com>



Discusses the role of the humanities in contemplating the future and the human, in an era otherwise dominated by scientific, technical and economic rationalisms.

ISSN: 1447-9559

<http://www.Humanities-Journal.com>



Sets out to foster inquiry, invite dialogue and build a body of knowledge on the nature and future of learning.

ISSN: 1447-9540

<http://www.Learning-Journal.com>



Creates a space for discussion of the nature and future of organisations, in all their forms and manifestations.

ISSN: 1447-9575

<http://www.Management-Journal.com>



Addresses the key question: How can the institution of the museum become more inclusive?

ISSN 1835-2014

<http://www.Museum-Journal.com>



Discusses disciplinary and interdisciplinary approaches to knowledge creation within and across the various social sciences and between the social, natural and applied sciences.

ISSN: 1833-1882

<http://www.Socialsciences-Journal.com>



Draws from the various fields and perspectives through which we can address fundamental questions of sustainability.

ISSN: 1832-2077

<http://www.Sustainability-Journal.com>



Focuses on a range of critically important themes in the various fields that address the complex and subtle relationships between technology, knowledge and society.

ISSN: 1832-3669

<http://www.Technology-Journal.com>



Investigates the affordances for learning in the digital media, in school and throughout everyday life.

ISSN 1835-2030

<http://www.UlJournal.com>



Explores the meaning and purpose of the academy in times of striking social transformation.

ISSN 1835-2030

<http://www.Universities-Journal.com>

**FOR SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT**

[subscriptions@commonground.com.au](mailto:subscriptions@commonground.com.au)