UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND

Harnessing Mutuality:

The Social Capital of Club Experience

A Framework for Examining Social Dynamics of Community Clubs

A dissertation submitted by

Mukesh Prasad

BA, PG Dip, MA (Development Studies)
The University of the South Pacific

for the award of

Doctor of Philosophy

Abstract

The study examines the relationship between club experience and the social capital of club patrons in order to address the simplistic view that community clubs are just liquor and gaming venues. It develops the Club Analytical Model to capture the multidimensional nature of the two constructs and to guide the interrogation and assessment of the relationship through correlation, regression, and thematic analysis. Based on the mixed methods design, data on club experience (patronisation of club facilities, participation in club services, and perception of club values) and social capital (structural interface, relational interface, and cognitive interface) was collected through a survey of club patrons, focus group discussions with club patrons and officials, and consultations with key club industry stakeholders. The findings were integrated using the conceptual tools of field, habitus, and capital, which enabled an in-depth exploration of the social world of community clubs. They reveal a strong and positive relationship between club experience and the social capital of club patrons, and this is generally evident in various club types and club activities. The relationship between club experience and the social capital of club patrons is moderated by admission status of club patrons and length of association with community clubs but not by gender, age, marital status, language, education, and employment of club patrons. The cohesive body of empirical evidence suggests that social dynamics go beyond participation in liquor and gaming services to harnessing social and psychological connections that exist between clubs and their patrons. The study makes significant conceptual and methodological advancements to the literature on mutuality by drawing attention to micro-processes and the pragmatic manner in which they can be studied in community clubs. Overall, the study has policy and practice implications for a range of stakeholders through the promotion of a better understanding of community clubs as a form of social enterprise that exist for the collective benefit of their members.

Certification

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, software, and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Signature of Candidate

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Date

Signature of Supervisor/s

Acknowledgements

No act of kindness, no matter how small, is ever wasted. Aesop (2012)

I cannot possibly count the number of people who have assisted me in completing this study. They include accountants, teachers, doctors, 'tradies', students, and even a retired army general; in fact, whoever was sharing my seat on the train to and from my place of work. A hello and a smile were all that it took to start a conversation, which inevitably led to 'so, what're you studying?' Their candid insights, often backed by their experience in community clubs, have proved invaluable in informing this research.

Another two groups I wish to thank shall also remain anonymous but out of necessity to maintain confidentiality. They are **club patrons** who completed my questionnaire and **club patrons**, **volunteers**, **employees**, **and directors** who participated in the focus group discussions. Thanks also to the eight **club managers** who facilitated data collection at their respective venues. I could not have done it without your support.

These insights and assistance would have not come to fruition without the expert guidance of my supervisors. I am indebted to **Dr. Malcolm Brown** who became my principal supervisor in the third year. Your feedback on the draft chapters and your trust in me to complete this 'journey' made all the difference. I am also profoundly grateful to my former principal supervisor, **Prof. Don McMillen**, for continuing as my associate supervisor. You guided my initial steps, which laid a strong foundation for this study. Both of you encouraged and supported me unreservedly.

Then, to those who responded to my distress calls for assistance, I can only say I will 'pass forward' your kindness. I am grateful to numerous **scholars** who provided copies of their research papers for my reference. In particular, I want to mention **Dr. Ben Spies-Butcher** for the email 'conversation' we had on the utility of social capital and **Dr. Virginia Simpson-Young** for the phone discussion on using social capital to study community clubs. To **Dr. Jim Higgins**, The Radical Statistician, for helping me to be able to finally read numbers, I must say that you have empowered me, for the sweaty palms and racing heart when confronted by numbers are in the past now. Special thanks goes to **Dr. Rachel King** from the Office of Research and Higher Degrees Statistical Consulting Unit at the University of Southern Queensland for assisting me to get a better grasp of my data, which, in turn, enabled me to better analyse and interpret my findings.

I must thank **Prof. Croz Walsh** and **Dr. Doug Munro** for casting their eyes over the dissertation. The former supervised my Masters thesis and the latter supervised my graduate research project and both have taught me essential research skills that are reflected in this dissertation. You showed me that research could be a fulfilling endeavour and I ended up making a career out it. "A teacher affects eternity...."

Given the seemingly endless demands of my full-time job, my colleagues at Clubs Queensland have been instrumental in supporting me. I want to thank **Penny Wilson**, former CEO, now CEO of RSL and Services Clubs Association (Old), for sharing 'over 25 years of industry knowledge' with me, and for the million acts of kindness that have helped me reach dazzling heights in my career and life. I also want to thank **Doug** Flockhart, current CEO, for the occasional but ardent encouragement; Ray Philips, relationships manager, for facilitating high level contacts with clubs; Rowan Todd, accountant, for explaining the logic of numbers with amazing clarity; and Amanda Hefez, psychologist, for highlighting cognitive processes that underpin members' activities in community clubs. Special thanks go to Rose Edgar, office manager, for being my mentor and 'sounding board'; and Damian Meed, former communication officer, for conducting my focus group discussions with a level of mastery that awed the participants. I fondly remember Elane Kruger and the late Ray Harris for challenging me to 'do something' with the knowledge I was acquiring, which set me on the path of this study. All of you have inspired me to make informed choices that kept me moving, slowly but steadily, towards the attainment of this goal.

Friends are indispensible. I am thankful to **Sashi Bharos** for our rich friendship. Your thoughts on your PhD inadvertently alerted me to the 'flaws' of my approach. To my long lost childhood friend, **Lal Singh:** thanks for 'appearing' at the right time and reminding me that I should have paid more attention in the year 12 research class! To **Roy** and **Roshni Prabho**, two strangers who became part of our lives in a strange land, the generosity of your spirits is reflected in the astute treatment of the research topic.

Nothing can replace the support of family. I must thank **Pranesh** and **Veena Nair** for bouncing off my ideas. In a strange twist of fate, you become my brother and sister-in-law by marriage but we share a broader relationship that links to our university days. I must give credit to my brother and sister-in-law, **Ashok** and **Aruna.** You symbolise hard work and perseverance and the possibilities that flow when one reflects and reaches out to others. I can only stand in awe of my wife, **Ravina**, and my two children, **Reshaan** and **Saaish**, who were born during my candidature, for they are constant reminders of the beauty of life itself. You take my breath away! Thank you, Lord, for the blessings of a loving family and a stable home, which have brought this dream within my reach.

I dedicate this dissertation to my mother. You did not live to see this day but your struggle to give me a life of love and security empowers my daily battles to be a better person. This project is another step - 'a giant step' - in that direction.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Certification	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Figures and Tables	xi
Abbreviations and Meaning of Key Terms	xii
Paramountcy of Members' Interests	XV
Chapter 1 – Overview: Probing Community Clubs	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Rationale and Motivation	1
1.2.1. Association with Community Clubs	1
1.2.2. 'Gaps' in Knowledge on Community Clubs	4
1.2.3. Researcher's Approach	7
1.3. Research Design, Outcomes, and Limitations	9
1.3.1. Key Variables: Club Experience and Social Capital	9
1.3.2. Research Model	11
1.3.3. Proposition and Research Questions	12
1.3.4. Data Collection and Analysis	14
1.3.5. Key Findings, Contributions to Knowledge, and Limitations	17
1.4. Organisation of the Dissertation	20
1.5. Summary	22
Chapter 2 – Club Experience and Social Capital in Mutuality	2 3
2.1. Introduction	
2.2. Mutuality in Associational Life	
2.2.1. Locating Mutuality	23
2.2.2. Attributes of Mutuality	26
2.3. Mutuality in Practice	28
2.3.1. Macrocosm of Mutuality	28
2.3.2. Microcosm of Mutuality	32
2.4. Mutuality and 'Gaps' in Knowledge on Community Clubs	37
2.5. Summary	41

Chapter 3 - Working with the Concept of Social Capital	42
3.1. Introduction	42
3.2. The Efficacy of Social Capital	42
3.2.1. Definition and Appeal	42
3.2.2. Inherent Complexities	44
3.2.3. Heuristic Deployment	47
3.3. Theoretical Roots of Social Capital	49
3.3.1. Principal Thinkers	49
3.3.2. From Theory to Practice	52
3.4. Bourdieu's Social Capital and Community Clubs	55
3.4.1. Rationale for Selection	55
3.4.2. Application to Community Clubs	56
3.5. Summary	58
Chapter 4 – Regulatory Framework of Community Clubs	59
4.1. Introduction	59
4.2. Working Definition of 'Community Clubs'	59
4.3. Operational Requirements of Community Clubs	62
4.3.1. Registration and Licensing	62
4.3.2. Entry Rules and Admission Status	64
4.3.3. Constitutional 'Contract' with Members	67
4.3.4. Community Contributions	68
4.3.5. Tax Concessions	70
4.4. Administration and Management of Community Clubs	72
4.5. Summary	75

Chapter 5 – Community Club Operation in Practice76		
5.1. Introduction.	76	
5.2. Historical Overview	76	
5.2.1. First Clubs	76	
5.2.2. Response to Community Needs	78	
5.2.3. Response to Regulatory Impasse	80	
5.2.4. Response to Machine Gaming	82	
5.2.5. The Present State	86	
5.3. Statistical Snapshot	87	
5.4. Club Industry Stakeholders	92	
5.4.1. Government Regulators	92	
5.4.2. Peak Industry Representative Bodies	94	
5.5. Summary	95	
Chanton (Clark Analytical Madal	0.0	
Chapter 6 – Club Analytical Model		
6.1. Introduction	96	
6.2. Philosophical Stance		
6.2.1. Nature of the Research Proposition	96	
6.2.2. Research Paradigm	97	
6.2.3. Mixed Methods Design	99	
6.3. Analytical Model	101	
6.3.1. Model		
6.3.2. Club Experience	104	
6.3.2.1. Patronisation of Club Facilities	105	
6.3.2.2. Participation in Club Services	106	
6.3.2.3. Perception of Club Values	108	
6.3.3. Social Capital	109	
6.3.3.1. Structural Interface	110	
6.3.3.2. Relational Interface	111	
6.3.3.3. Cognitive Interface	112	
6.3.4. Weighting Scheme	113	
6.3.4.1. Weighting Scheme of Indicators	116	
6.3.4.2. Weighting Scheme of Dimensions	118	
6.3.5. Dependability Checks	121	
6.4. Strengths and Weaknesses of the Approach	122	
6.5 Summary	124	

Chapter 7 – Data Collection, Analysis, and Integrity125		
7.1. Introduction	. 125	
7.2. Procedures for Data Collection	125	
7.2.1. Survey of Club Patrons	.126	
7.2.1.1. Development and Pilot Testing of the Survey Instrument		
7.2.1.2. Selection of a Representative Sample	127	
7.2.1.3. Administration of the Questionnaire	131	
7.2.2. Focus Group Discussions	.132	
7.2.3. Consultations with Key Club Industry Stakeholders	. 135	
7.3. Procedures for Data Analysis	136	
7.3.1. Statistical (Quantitative) Analysis	136	
7.3.2. Thematic (Qualitative) Analysis	144	
7.4. Procedures for Maintaining Data Integrity	.146	
7.4.1. Reliability and Validity	146	
7.4.2. Response and Respondent Errors	147	
7.4.3. Research and Researcher's Bias	148	
7.4.4. Ethical Considerations	148	
7.4.5. Confidentiality and Privacy	149	
7.5. Summary	. 150	
Chapter 8 – Linking Club Experience to Social Capital	151	
8.1. Introduction	. 151	
8.2. Research Data	151	
8.2.1. Survey of Club Patrons	151	
8.2.2. Focus Group Discussions	160	
8.2.3. Consultations with Key Club Industry Stakeholders	163	
8.3. Relationship Between Club Experience and Social Capital	.164	
8.3.1. Overall Correlation	164	
8.3.2. Correlation by Club Types	166	
8.3.3. Correlation by Club Activities	168	
8.4. Group Difference on Club Experience and Social Capital	170	
8.4.1. Overall Group Difference	171	
8.4.2. Group Difference by Socio-demographic Factors	173	
8.3.3. Group Difference by Length of Association with Community Clubs	175	
8.5. Summary	177	

Chapter 9 – Deconstructing Club Experience and Social Capital178		
9.1. Introduction	178	
9.2. The Field of Club Operation	178	
9.2.1. Social Reality	179	
9.2.2. Purpose and Engagement	181	
9.3. Habitus in Club Experience	183	
9.3.1. Shared Meaning	184	
9.3.2. Socialisation	186	
9.4. Social Capital as a Resource	188	
9.4.1. Social Interactions	188	
9.4.2. Social Networks	191	
9.5. Summary	194	
Chapter 10 – Conclusion: Looking Through a Window	195	
10.1. Introduction	195	
10.2. Scholarly Intervention	195	
10.2.1. Knowledge Equilibrium	195	
10.2.2. Research Questions	197	
10.2.3. The Concepts of Club Experience and Social Capital	198	
10.2.4. Club Analytical Model	199	
10.2.5. Data Collection	201	
10.2.6. Data Analysis	202	
10.3. Outcomes and Implications	203	
10.3.1. Key Findings	203	
10.3.2. Bourdieusian Interpretations	205	
10.3.3. Addressing the Simplistic View of Community Club	207	
10.3.4. Contributions to Knowledge and Scholarship on Mutuality	208	
10.4. Limitations of the Study and Further Research	211	
10.5. Summary	213	
Bibliography	214	

Appendices

A.	Historical Sentiments in the Formation of Community Clubs in Queensland	242
B.	Ode of Remembrance	243
C.	Dress Code Rationale for Community Clubs.	244
D.	Four Regions of Queensland.	245
E.	Weighting Scheme of Club Experience and Social Capital	246
F.	Survey Questionnaire	252
G.	Focus Group Information Sheet and Consent Form	259
H.	Focus Group Discussion Guide	261
I.	Stakeholder Consultation Guides	265
Ţ	Breakdown of Survey Respondents	275

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

1.	Research Model	11
2.	Organisational Structure of Community Clubs	73
3.	Club Analytical Model.	102
4.	Patronisation of Club Facilities.	105
5.	Participation in Club Services.	106
6.	Perception of Club Values.	108
7.	Structure Interface of Social Capital.	110
8.	Relational Interface of Social Capital.	. 111
9.	Cognitive Interface of Social Capital.	.112
10.	Weighting Scheme of Indicators.	.116
11.	Weighting Scheme of Dimensions.	119
12.	Admission Status and Length of Membership.	.153
13.	Number of Club Visits Per Fortnight.	.154
14.	Main Purpose for Visiting Clubs.	155
15.	Usual Duration of Club Visits.	156
16.	Main Company of Club Patrons	157
17.	Relationship Qualities of Club Patrons	158
18.	Role of Clubs in Patrons' Lives.	. 159
19.	Impact of Clubs on Patrons.	.160
20.	Major Themes of Focus Group Discussions	.161
21.	Top Five Key Words in Focus Group Discussions.	162
22.	Relationship Between Club Experience and Social Capital.	. 165
23.	Effect of Admission Status on Club Experience and Social Capital	. 172
24.	Effect of Socio-demographic Factors on Club Experience and Social Capital	. 174
25.	Effect of Length of Association on Club Experience and Social Capital	176

Tables

1.	Research Questions of the Study.	13
2.	Types of Club Patrons.	.65
3.	Primary and Associated Entities.	80
4.	Regional Profile of Community Clubs	88
5.	Venue Profile of Community Clubs.	89
6.	Profile of an 'Average' Community Club.	90
7.	Active Sporting and Recreation Hours Provided by Community Clubs	91
8.	Prominent Regulators of Community Clubs.	92
9.	Meaning and Measurement of Patronisation of Club Facilities	105
10.	Meaning and Measurement of Participation in Club Services	107
11.	Meaning and Measurement of Perception of Club Values.	108
12.	Meaning and Measurement of Structural Interface of Social Capital	110
13.	Meaning and Measurement of Relational Interface of Social Capital	112
14.	Meaning and Measurement of Cognitive Interface of Social Capital	113
15.	Example of Unipolar and Bipolar Dimension Scores Calculation	117
16.	Conversion of Cardinal Scores to Likert Scale Scores.	118
17.	Composite Scores Calculation.	120
18.	Example of a Composite Score Calculation.	120
19.	Sampling Procedures for the Survey of Club Patrons	128
20.	Ground Rules for Focus Group Discussions.	133
21.	Guiding Questions for Focus Group Discussions.	134
22.	Research Hypotheses	137
23.	Data Analysis Pathway.	140
24.	Effect Size Guide for Community Clubs	144
25.	Composition of Final Survey Respondents.	152
26.	Relationship Between Club Experience and Social Capital by Club Types	.167
27	Relationship Between Club Experience and Social Capital by Club Activities	169

Abbreviations and Meaning of Key Terms

Board or Management Committee	refers to the governing authority of community clubs. The term 'management committee' is used for a club that is registered as an incorporated association, while 'board' is used for a club that is registered as a company limited by guarantee. As corporate governance obligations of the management committee and the board are similar, the two terms are often used interchangeably. (See 'registration' below).
Club Activity	refers to various recreational pursuits available through community clubs. The study identifies eight club activities: gaming, bar, food, subclub, socialisation, music, greater good, and other (open category).
Club Experience	refers to the pursuit of common interests through the use of facilities and services provided by community clubs. Club experience has been measured in three ways for the purpose of the study: patronisation of club facilities, participation in club activities, and perception of club values.
Club Patrons	refers to people who visit community clubs for recreation. They can be members, guests of members, bona fide visitors, or other defined persons.
Club Type	refers to the orientation of community clubs, as per their main purpose. The study identifies eight club types: Returned Services Leagues Clubs (RSL Clubs), Surf Life Saving Supporters Clubs (SLSS Clubs), Bowls Clubs, Golf Clubs, Football Clubs, Multisports Clubs, Cultural Clubs, and Recreational Clubs. Recreational Clubs is an open category that includes clubs that cater for diverse interests such as workers interests and hobbies, which cannot be readily placed in the other club types.
Community Club	refers to an incorporated association or a company limited by guarantee, which holds liquor and gaming machine licences under Queensland laws. While a community club can exist informally and without a liquor or gaming machine licence, the emphasis on formal registration (see 'registration' below) and liquor and gaming machine licences are to ensure clubs in the sample of the study offer the full range of facilities and services referred to in the research questions. 'Clubs' and 'community clubs' are used interchangeably in the study.
Common Interest	refers to recreational interests such as sporting activities; social and leisure pursuits such as gaming; special interests such as fishing; professional identification such as workers' socialisation; and similar themes in club operation. Common interests represent collective interests of club patrons. Common interests determine recreational interests.
Constitution	refers to the governing rules of a community club, as approved by members and registered with the relevant government agency. The constitution outlines a range of matters such as member's rights and privileges. It is based on the Model Rules, which apply by default and set

Group Difference	minimum standards; hence, the constitution represents a customisation of the Model Rules to a club's specific operating parameters. 'Constitution', 'modal rules' and 'rules' are used interchangeably in the study. refers to a comparison of two or more groups within a factor, for instance, males and females for the factor of gender, or Year 12 or less and Post Year 12 for the factor of education. The study focuses on eight factors: admission status, gender, age, marital status, language, education, employment, and length of association with community clubs.
Key Club Industry Stakeholders	refers to regulatory and industry authorities that oversee the operation of community clubs. The two key club industry stakeholders, identified for the purpose of the study, are the Queensland Government's Office of Liquor and Gaming Regulation (OLGR) and the peak industry association and union of employers, Clubs Queensland (ClubsQld). The latter is the researcher's employer.
Laws	refers to four pieces of legislation that have the most impact on community clubs. They are the <i>Associations Incorporation Act 1981</i> (Qld), <i>Corporations Act 2001</i> (Cwlth), <i>Gaming Machine Act 1991</i> (Qld), and the <i>Liquor Act 1992</i> (Qld).
Local Community	refers to people who live within a 15 kilometre distance (by road) to a club. This distance requirement is a legislative restriction that deems (by default) any person whose usual place of residence is beyond 15 km from the club as a visitor. The 15 km 'rule' aligns with the requirements of community clubs to predominantly exist for the benefit of their members. Notwithstanding this, clubs can admit people, irrespective of where they reside, as temporary members but this class of membership expires after a set timeframe for which it is made available under the club constitution, thus reinforcing the paramountcy of members' rights and privileges.
Registration	refers to the legal framework of community clubs. A club can register as an incorporated association under the Associations Incorporation Act or as a company limited by guarantee under the Corporations Act. Registration gives a community club formal status as an entity that is separate from its members. Registration is a prerequisite for full liquor and gaming machine licences.
Social Capital	refers to resources in social interactions of club patrons such as trust, networks, and shared meanings that can be harnessed for a benefit or advantage. Social capital has been measured in three ways for the purpose of the study: structural interface, relational interface, and cognitive interface.
Social Dynamics	refers to the interactions that club patrons have with each other as they pursue and promote their common interests in community clubs.

Paramountcy of Members' Interests

No member shall be entitled to any benefit or advantage from the club that is not shared equally by every member thereof, provided however, that honoraria may be paid to members in appreciation of services, provided the same has been recommended by Board, and approved by resolution of the Club at the Annual General Meeting.*

Clause 38(e) of the Constitution & By-Laws of the Killarney Bowls Club (2010)

^{*}An example of a constitutional clause that establishes the paramountcy of members' interests over club operation. Many community clubs use the same or similar wordings to illustrate this overarching goal of their operation in their club rules or constitution. Sections 2.4, 4.3.1, 4.4, 5.2.4, 8.4.1, and 9.2.1 discuss this clause in respect to the present study.