Presentations based on this dissertation include the following:

Western Academy of Management

Bilodeau, V, Soar, J, & Cater-Steel, A, 2010, *Intelligent Parking Technologies - Do the Benefits Outweigh the Costs?*, Kailua-Kona, Hawaii, March 24 to March 28, 2010.

Administrative Sciences Association of Canada

Bilodeau, V, Soar, J, 2008, *Intelligent Parking Technology Adoption by the Parking Industry*, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, May 24 to May 27, 2008.

Intelligent Parking Technology Adoption

A Dissertation submitted by

Victor P. Bilodeau

B.Sc. (Biochemistry), B.Ed. (Sciences), MBA (Technology Commercialization)

In partial fulfilment of the award of

Doctor of Business Administration
Faculty of Business, University of Southern Queensland

July 2010

Abstract

Inefficient parking practices have costs associated with them that extend well beyond lost profits and frustrated customers. This dissertation focuses on why parking providers appear hesitant in adopting Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT) that could help benefit all stakeholders. More specifically, this dissertation analyses the following question:

Why do parking providers appear reluctant to adopt intelligent parking technologies?

Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT) potentially offers drivers many benefits including faster parking times, more convenient payment options and safer parking lots. For parking providers, benefits of IPT implementation might include higher profits realized through increased business process efficiencies such as automated payment collection. Society could potentially benefit from IPT through primary effects such as reduced traffic congestion, greenhouse gas emissions and driver frustration levels. It may also benefit through secondary effects where, for example, a government uses profits realized through increased efficiencies and spends it on things that will benefit the community such as better roads and more green spaces.

We define Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT) as technologies that are managed by a parking service provider and add value by adapting themselves to whatever a parking situation may be. Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT) includes those technologies that offer a unique functionality such as giving customers the ability to pay for parking using their cellular telephone (m-commerce), automatically directs them to empty parking spaces or automates payment via smart cards.

The parking providers investigated include businesses, schools, and governments. We consider technologies currently available to them as well market willingness to adopt them.

The Delphi technique is used and consists of interviewing independent content experts such as parking services managers within various organizations. We also interview one senior level manager working for a company that licenses or sells Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT). Questions formulated from these interviews are then brought forward and

used in surveying drivers. Data is collected from the surveys and then analysed and interpreted through discussion with the independent content experts initially interviewed so that they may corroborate or disapprove the findings. Their interpretations of the data are also considered in the study, as this research is primarily qualitative in nature.

The driver survey included questions that explored the perceived value drivers might get from different parking technologies currently available to parking providers. Findings from the driver surveys clearly show that drivers are more than willing to adopt Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT).

In every case, the majority of drivers indicated that they would get at least some value from the specific parking technologies presented. This varied from a low of 60.9 percent of respondents indicating they would get value from a robotic parking system to a high of 94.7 percent indicating they would receive value from a system that would direct them to empty parking spaces.

Over 66 percent of drivers also stated that they would be willing to pay more for a parking space if Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT) added value for them.

The senior manager from the parking technology company was not at all surprised by the driver survey results. Parking providers, on the other hand, seemed somewhat surprised by the survey findings and the follow-up interviews where these findings were discussed seemed to initiate a state of reflection for them.

Keywords: Parking Technology, Adoption, Diffusion,

Certification of Dissertation

conclusions reported in this diss where otherwise acknowledged.	ental work, results, analyses, software and ertation are entirely my own effort, except I also certify that the work is original and ed for any other award, except where
Signature of Candidate	Date
ENDORSEMENT	
Signature of Supervisor/s	Date

Acknowledgements

I would like to give a sincere thank you to Dr. Jeffrey Soar and Dr. Aileen Cater-Steel for their sincere support, patience, encouragement and guidance. I am also grateful for the contributions of Dr. Ronel Erwee and all staff at USQ I have been in contact with. They have all worked as an empathetic and cohesive unit to make my experience at USQ a positive one.

The administration at the MacEwan School of Business – Grant MacEwan University also deserve recognition for not only giving me a stable teaching/research position that I truly enjoy, but also for their genuine encouragement and support for me pursuing this doctorate degree.

My peers deserve special thanks for their support. They have consistently answered my questions in a straightforward and honest way. I appreciate this very much.

Special thanks go out to those I interviewed and surveyed. Your time to help advance this research has not gone unnoticed. I only hope that your participation in this research is someday awarded with a realization that you helped make the world a better place or at least that someday soon you will be able to find your parking space quicker.

And last, but not least, I thank my family. My wife Lori and two children Christiane and Daniel deserve special mention for their unwavering support and belief in me. That is appreciated more than they will ever know.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Certification of Dissertation	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Appendices	xi
List of Tables	. xii
List of Figures	xiv
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background and Significance of the Research	1
1.2 Research problem, research issues and contributions	3
1.2.1.1 Customer Factors Affecting IPT Adoption by the Parking Industr	y6
1.2.1.2 Parking Provider Factors Affecting IPT Adoption by the Parking	
Industry 6	
1.2.1.3 Technological Factors Affecting IPT Adoption by the Parking	
Industry 7	
1.2.1.4 Other Factors Affecting IPT Adoption by the Parking Industry	7
1.3 Justification for the research	9
1.3.1 Importance of parking technology research	9
1.3.2 Gaps in literature	9
1.3.3 Benefits of outcomes	11
1.4 Brief Overview of Research Methodology	. 12
1.5 Outline of this dissertation	14
1.6 Key Definitions and Terminology	16
1.7 Delimitations of scope and key assumptions, with their	
iuctifications	10

	1.8	Sui	mmary	19
2	Lľ	TER	ATURE REVIEW AND RESEARCH ISSUES	20
	2.1	Int	troduction	20
	2.2		rent theories and classification models	
	2.	.2.1	Historical Development	
	2.	.2.2	Parent Theory	
		2.2.2	·	
		2.2.2		
	2.3	Res	esearch problem theory	
	2.	.3.1	Theoretical Frameworks	
		.3.2	Relationships	
		.3.3	Propositions	
		.3.4	Constructs	
	2.	2.3.4		
		2.3.4		-
		Indu	ustry 44	8
		2.3.4	·	g
		Indu	ustry 44	
		2.3.4	4.4 Other Factors Affecting IPT by the Parking Industry	44
	2.	.3.5	Research issues	45
		2.3.5	5.1 Customer Factors Affecting IPT Adoption by the Parking Inc	dustry 46
		2.3.5	5.2 Parking Provider Factors Affecting IPT Adoption by the Par	king
		Indu	ustry 47	
		2.3.5	5.3 Technological Factors Affecting IPT Adoption by the Parking	g
		Indu	ustry 49	
		2.3.5		
	2.4	Sui	mmary	51
3	AN	NALY	YTICAL FRAMEWORK	54
	3.1	Int	troduction	54
	3.2	Int	terviews: Refinement of the Research Focus	54
	3.	.2.1	Interview Design and Preparation	55
	3.	.2.2	Data Analysis	57

	3.3	Ide	entification of Relevant Determinants	57
	3.4	Pr	esentation of Analytical Model	59
	3.5	Su	mmary	64
4	RE	SEA	ARCH METHODOLOGY	66
	4.1		roduction	
	4.2		stification for the research paradigm and methodology	
	4.	2.1	Ontology	
	4.	2.2	Epistemology	67
	4.	2.3	Methodology	
	4.	2.4	Validity	
	4.	2.5	Role of prior theory	71
	4.3	Jus	stification of the Delphi Method	71
	4.4	Pr	esenting the sample	72
	4.5	Da	ta collection procedures	74
	4.	5.1	Sources of Data	74
	4.	5.2	General Data Collection Protocol	75
		4.5.	2.1 Interviews	75
		4.5.	2.2 Surveys	76
	4.	5.3	Data Collection Instruments	76
	4.6	Da	ta processing and analysis	76
	4.	6.1	Industry Expert Interviews (Qualitative Data)	76
	4.	6.2	Assumptions	77
	4.	6.3	Limitations	77
	4.	6.4	Ethical considerations and clearance	78
	4.7	Su	mmary	78
5	AN	IALY	YSIS OF DATA	79
	5.1	Int	roduction	79
	5.2	Su	bjects	80
	5.3	Ini	tial Interviews	80
	54	Dr	iver Surveys	82

5.	.4.1	Question categories, research issues and related previous	
re	esear	ch	32
5.5	Ana	alysis of Survey Data (Quantitative)	38
5.	.5.1	Introduction	38
5.	.5.2	Survey Results and Findings	39
5.	.5.3	Driver Attitudes towards Intelligent Parking Technology (IPT)	
		101	
	5.5.3	2.1 Driver willingness to pay extra for specific parking technologies. 1	01
5.6	Ana	alysis of Interview Data (Qualitative)1	13
5.	.6.1	Introduction1	13
5.	.6.2	Summary of Qualitative Data1	14
	5.6.2	2.1 Summary of Data from Content Experts (Parking Providers)1	14
	5.6.2	2.2 Summary of Data from Senior Level Manager for Parking	
	Tech	nology Company1	14
5.	.6.3	Results and Findings for Research Issue 1 1	15
5.	.6.4	Results and Findings for Research Issue 2 1	17
5.	.6.5	Results and Findings for Research Issue 3 1	17
5.	.6.6	Results and Findings for Research Issue 4 1	18
5.	.6.7	Results and Findings for Research Issue 5 1	18
5.	.6.8	Results and Findings for Research Issue 6 1	19
5.	.6.9	Results and Findings for Research Issue 7 1	19
5.	.6.10	Results and Findings for Research Issue 81	20
5.	.6.11	Results and Findings for Research Issue 9 12	20
5.	.6.12	Results and Findings for Research Issue 101	21
5.	.6.13	Results and Findings for Research Issue 111	22
5.7	Sur	nmary12	23
6 CC	ONCL	USIONS AND IMPLICATIONS 12	24
6.1	Int	roduction12	24
6.2	Cro	oss-Method Synthesis12	26
6.	.2.1	Research Issue 1: What can intelligent parking technology offer	to
tł	וף כווג	stomer?	2.7

	6.2.2	Research Issue 2: Are parking customers willing to adopt	
	intellig	gent parking technology?	128
	6.2.3	Research Issue 3: What can intelligent parking technology off	er
	to the	parking providers?	128
	6.2.4	Research Issue 4: Are parking providers willing to license	
	intellig	gent technology? And why?	130
	6.2.5	Research Issue 5: How might future technological advances	
	render	r newly implemented parking technology obsolete?	130
	6.2.6	Research Issue 6: What intelligent technologies are available	and
	access	ible by the majority of parking customers?	131
	6.2.7	Research Issue 7: What are suitable technology interfaces for	
	custon	ners and parking provider employees?	132
	6.2.8	Research Issue 8: How will intelligent parking technology	
	integra	ate with the various parking methods available to drivers?	132
	6.2.9	Research Issue 9: Who really makes the decisions to adopt ne	w
	techno	ologies for the parking provider?	133
	6.2.10	Research Issue 10: What motivates a parking provider to fol	low
	throug	gh on adoption of a new parking technology?	134
	6.2.11	Research Issue 11: What are the real and perceived	
	relatio	nships between technology companies, parking providers and	
	custon	ners?	135
5.	3 Co	nclusions about the Research Problem	137
5.	4 Im	plications for Theory	139
5.	5 Im	plications for Management Practice	141
	6.5.1	Parking Providers	141
	6.5.2	Parking Technology Companies	141
	6.5.3	Reflection and learning	141
5.	6 Lin	nitations of the Research	142
	6.6.1	Interviewee Selection	142
	6.6.2	Quality of Qualitative Data	142
	6.6.3	Consistency of Qualitative Data	143
	6.6.4	Quality of Quantitative Driver Survey Data	144

6.6.5 Consistency of Quantitative Driver Survey Data144
6.7 Implications for Methodology145
6.8 Directions for Future Research145
7 GLOSSARY 147
8 REFERENCES148
Appendix A - Consent form168
Appendix B - Interview Guide for Parking Providers 170
Appendix C - Interview Guide - Parking Technology Company 171
Appendix D - IPT Driver Survey172
Appendix E - IPT Driver Survey Results178
Appendix F - IPT Driver Survey Results (Graphical Representation)
Appendix G – Summary of Data from Content Expert Interviews200
Appendix H - Summary of Data from Parking Technology Company
204

List of Appendices

Appendix A - Consent form	168
APPENDIX B - INTERVIEW GUIDE FOR PARKING PROVIDERS	170
Appendix C - Interview Guide - Parking Technology Company	171
Appendix D - IPT Driver Survey	172
Appendix E - IPT Driver Survey Results	178
Appendix F - IPT Driver Survey Results (Graphical Representation)	189
Appendix G – Summary of Data from Content Expert Interviews	200
APPENDIX H – SIIMMARY OF DATA FROM PARKING TECHNOLOGY COMPANY	204

List of Tables

Table 1.1 – Adoption Models
Table 1.2 – Diffusion Models
Table 2.1 - Adoption Models25
Table 2.2 - Diffusion Models27
Table 2.3 - More Recent Research & Models30
Table 2.4 – Construct and Research Issue Relationships45
Table 5.1 - Categories for types and purpose of survey questions to ask
DRIVERS81
Table 5.2 - Driver survey questions as they relate to category, research
ISSUES AND PREVIOUS RESEARCH83
Table 5.4 – Chi-Square Test for Relatedness of Fit Between Willingness to
PAY FOR IPT AND VALUE RECEIVED FROM PAYMENT BY CELLULAR TELEPHONE
Table 5.5 – Chi-Square Test for Relatedness of Fit Between Willingness to
PAY FOR IPT AND VALUE RECEIVED FROM SYSTEM THAT DIRECTS DRIVER TO
EMPTY PARKING SPACES
Table 5.6 - Chi-Square Test for Relatedness of Fit Between Willingness to
PAY FOR IPT AND VALUE RECEIVED FROM SMART CARDS FOR USE IN SPECIFIC
PARKING LOTS105
Table 5.7 – Chi-Square Test for Relatedness of Fit Between Willingness to
PAY FOR IPT AND VALUE RECEIVED FROM WIRELESS TRANSMITTERS THAT IMPOSE
CHARGES WHEN VEHICLE TRAVELS THROUGH A GATE107
Table 5.8 – Chi-Square Test for Relatedness of Fit Between Willingness to
PAY FOR IPT AND VALUE RECEIVED FROM ROBOTIC PARKING SYSTEM 109
Table 5.9 - Chi-Square Test for Relatedness of Fit Between Willingness to
PAY FOR IPT AND VALUE RECEIVED FROM INTERNET ENABLED METERS THAT
VERIFY AND ACTIVATE AN ACCOUNT WHEN A DRIVER WAVES A KEY FOB IN FRONT
OF THE METER111
Table 5.10 – Summary of Hypothesis Testing112

Table 6.1 - Value offered by IPT's that facilitate payment for a parking	SPACE
	127
TABLE 6.2 - VALUE OFFERED BY OTHER IPT'S	127
TABLE 6.3 – DRIVER WILLINGNESS TO PAY MORE FOR IPT THAT ADDS VALUE	133

List of Figures

FIGURE 1.1 - OUTLINE OF THE STUDY14
FIGURE 2.1 - ANALYTICAL STRUCTURE OF THE LITERATURE REVIEW23
FIGURE 2.2 – DIFFUSION OF INNOVATION
FIGURE 2.3 - VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF PARKING TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES USING
DRIVERS TO "PULL" THEIR PRODUCTS THROUGH PARKING PROVIDERS40
Figure 2.4 – Visual representation of parking technology companies "pushing"
THEIR PRODUCTS ON PARKING PROVIDERS40
FIGURE 2.5 – VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF A PARKING TECHNOLOGY COMPANY FORMING
ALLIANCE WITH EXISTING SUPPLIER OF PARKING EQUIPMENT IN ORDER TO GAIN
ACCESS TO PARKING PROVIDERS41
FIGURE 2.6 – VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK
Figure 3.1 – Steps Taken During Initial Interviews With Parking Providers. 56
FIGURE 3.2 – FILTERS TO IPT ADOPTION
FIGURE 3.3 – REVISED VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK
FIGURE 4.1 - PARTIES INVOLVED IN ADOPTION OF INTELLIGENT PARKING TECHNOLOGIES
68
FIGURE 4.2 - SUMMARY OF PRIMARY DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE
Figure 6.1 - Parties involved in adoption of intelligent parking technologies
136