TESS spots a mini- interior to a hot saturn in the TOI-2000 system

Lizhou Sha[®],^{1*} Andrew M. Vanderburg[®],² Chelsea X. Huang[®],³† David J. Armstrong[®],^{4,5} Rafael Brahm[®],^{6,7,8} Steven Giacalone[®],⁹ Mackenna L. Wood[®],¹⁰ Karen A. Collins[®],¹¹ Louise D. Nielsen[®],¹² Melissa J. Hobson[®],^{7,13} Carl Ziegler[®],¹⁴ Steve B. Howell[®],¹⁵ Pascal Torres-Miranda,^{7,16} Andrew W. Mann[®],¹⁰ George Zhou[®],³† Elisa Delgado-Mena[®],¹⁷ Felipe I. Rojas[®],¹⁶ Lyu Abe[®],¹⁸ Trifon Trifonov[®],^{13,19} Vardan Adibekyan[®],¹⁷ Sérgio G. Sousa[®],¹⁷ Sergio B. Fajardo-Acosta[®],²⁰ Tristan Guillot[®],¹⁸ Saburo Howard[®],¹⁸ Colin Littlefield[®],¹⁵ Faith Hawthorn[®],^{4,5} François-Xavier Schmider[®],¹⁸ Jan Eberhardt[®],¹³ Thiam-Guan Tan[®],²¹ Ares Osborn[®],^{4,5} Richard P. Schwarz[®],¹¹ Paul Strøm[®],⁴ Andrés Jordán[®],^{6,7,8} Gavin Wang[®],²² Thomas Henning[®],¹³ Bob Massey[®],²³ Nicholas Law[®],¹⁰ Chris Stockdale[®],²⁴ Elise Furlan[®],²⁵ Gregor Srdoc,²⁶ Peter J. Wheatley[®],^{4,5} David Barrado Navascués[®],²⁷ Jack J. Lissauer[®],¹⁵ Keivan G. Stassun[®],²⁸ George R. Ricker[®],² Roland K. Vanderspek[®],² David W. Latham[®],¹¹ Joshua N. Winn[®],²⁹ Sara Seager[®],^{2,30,31} Jon M. Jenkins[®],¹⁵ Thomas Barclay[®],^{32,33} Luke G. Bouma[®],³⁴‡ Jessie L. Christiansen[®],²⁵ Natalia Guerrero^{® 35} and Mark E. Rose^{® 15}

Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper

Accepted 2023 May 31. Received 2023 May 29; in original form 2022 September 23

ABSTRACT

Hot jupiters (P < 10 d, $M > 60 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$) are almost always found alone around their stars, but four out of hundreds known have inner companion planets. These rare companions allow us to constrain the hot jupiter's formation history by ruling out high-eccentricity tidal migration. Less is known about inner companions to hot Saturn-mass planets. We report here the discovery of the TOI-2000 system, which features a hot Saturn-mass planet with a smaller inner companion. The mini-neptune TOI-2000 b (2.70 ± 0.15 R_{\oplus}, 11.0 ± 2.4 M_{\oplus}) is in a 3.10-d orbit, and the hot saturn TOI-2000 c ($8.14^{+0.31}_{-0.30} R_{\oplus}$, $81.7^{+4.7}_{-4.6} M_{\oplus}$) is in a 9.13-d orbit. Both planets transit their host star TOI-2000 (TIC 371188886, V = 10.98, *TESS* magnitude = 10.36), a metal-rich ([Fe/H] = 0.439^{+0.041}_{-0.043}) G dwarf 173 pc away. *TESS* observed the two planets in sectors 9–11 and 36–38, and we followed up with ground-based photometry, spectroscopy, and speckle imaging. Radial velocities from CHIRON, FEROS, and HARPS allowed us to confirm both planets by direct mass measurement. In addition, we demonstrate constraining planetary and stellar parameters with MIST stellar evolutionary tracks through Hamiltonian Monte Carlo under the PYMC framework, achieving higher sampling efficiency and shorter run time compared to traditional Markov chain Monte Carlo. Having the brightest host star in the *V* band among similar systems, TOI-2000 b and c are superb candidates for atmospheric characterization by the *JWST*, which can potentially distinguish whether they formed together or TOI-2000 c swept along material during migration to form TOI-2000 b.

Key words: techniques: photometric – techniques: radial velocities – planets and satellites: detection – planets and satellites: formation – planets and satellites: gaseous planets – stars: individual: TOI-2000 (TIC 371188886).

1 INTRODUCTION

Hot gas giant planets (P < 10 d, $M > 60 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$; also known as hot jupiters) are rarely observed with an inner companion planet (Huang, Wu & Triaud 2016; Hord et al. 2021). This relative scarcity is consistent with the hypothesis that high-eccentricity migration (HEM) may be responsible for the formation of many, if not most, hot

* E-mail: lsha@wisc.edu
† ARC DECRA Fellow.
‡ 51 Pegasi b Postdoctoral Fellow.

gas giants (see Dawson & Johnson 2018, for a review). Under HEM, a hot gas giant first forms 'cold' at an orbital separation of several astronomical units and then enters into an orbit of high eccentricity by interacting with other planets in the system or another star (Rasio & Ford 1996; Weidenschilling & Marzari 1996; Wu & Murray 2003). Later, tidal interaction with the host star dissipates the hot gas giant's orbital energy and circularizes its orbit. This dynamically disruptive process would likely have eliminated any inner companions in the system (Mustill, Davies & Johansen 2015).

Two alternative mechanisms may explain how hot gas giants with inner companions formed. Under disc migration, tidal interactions

Table 1. Summary of p	photometric observations.
-----------------------	---------------------------

Facility & telescope	Date(s) (UT)	Planet	Transit coverage	Transit(s) detected?	No. images	Exp. time (s)	Filter	Used in joint model?
TESS camera 3	2019 Feb 28 – May 21	All	Full	Y	1612	1800	TESS	Y
TESS camera 3	2021 Mar 7 – May 26	All	Full	Y	111410	20	TESS	Y
LCOGT SSO 1 m	2021 Feb 10	с	Full	Y	129	30	В	Ν
LCOGT SSO 1 m	2021 Feb 10	с	Full	Y	128	30	Z_S	Y
PEST	2021 Apr 24	с	Full	Y	168	60	В	Ν
PEST	2021 Apr 24	с	Full	Y	167	60	$I_{\rm c}$	Ν
ASTEP 0.4 m	2021 May 3	с	Full	Y	427	70	$R_{\rm c}$	Y
LCOGT SSO 1 m	2021 Jan 18	b	Full	Ν	467	20	i'	Ν
LCOGT CTIO 1 m	2021 Feb 6	b	Full	Ν	515	20	i'	Ν
LCOGT SAAO 1 m	2021 Apr 27	b	Ingress	Ν	190	15	i'	Ν
LCOGT CTIO 1 m	2021 Dec 16	b	Full	Ν	305	15	i'	Ν
LCOGT SAAO 1 m	2022 Jan 7	b	Full	Ν	324	15	i'	Ν
LCOGT CTIO 0.4 m	2022 May 16	b	Full	Ν	133	100	i′	Ν

with the protoplanetary disc move the initially cold gas giant to its present location (Lin, Bodenheimer & Richardson 1996; see Baruteau et al. 2014 for a review), sweeping material along its meanmotion resonances (MMRs) to form inner companions (Raymond, Mandell & Sigurdsson 2006). The other alternative has the giant planet forming *in situ*, near its present location (Lee, Chiang & Ormel 2014; Batygin, Bodenheimer & Laughlin 2016; Boley, Granados Contreras & Gladman 2016; Lee & Chiang 2016; Poon, Nelson & Coleman 2021). Thus, measuring the occurrence rate of inner companions may quantify the fraction of hot gas giants that formed under these two mechanisms as opposed to under HEM.

Until recently, efforts to measure the occurrence rate of hot gas giants' inner companions were hampered by the lack of detections. Early studies looked for transit timing variations (TTVs) of known hot gas giants induced by possible companions near MMRs but found no significant TTVs of their targets (Steffen & Agol 2005; Miller-Ricci et al. 2008a, b; Gibson et al. 2009). After the launch of Kepler, Latham et al. (2011) analysed 117 transiting multiplanet systems that have at least one hot planet candidate (P < 10 d) and concluded that only 4^{+9}_{-2} percent have giant planets bigger than Neptune, a rate considerably lower than the 31^{+4}_{-3} per cent of 405 hot planet candidates analysed in total that are bigger than Neptune. Steffen et al. (2012) additionally searched for TTV signals in Kepler light curves of hot jupiters and ruled out the existence of all but the least massive $(M \leq 1 M_{\oplus})$ companions near MMRs. Using data from the full Kepler mission, Huang et al. (2016) found no inner companions to 45 hot jupiters in their sample, but found that half or more of 27 warm jupiters ($10 d \le P < 200 d$) searched had small coplanar nearby companions. More recently, Hord et al. (2021) found no additional transiting planets in the light curves of 184 confirmed hot jupiters from the first year of observations by the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015).

Nevertheless, *Kepler* and *TESS* have detected a handful of inner companions to hot gas giants. Out of the almost 500 transiting hot gas giants published in the literature, four are known to have inner companions: WASP-47 b (Hellier et al. 2012; Becker et al. 2015; Vanderburg et al. 2017; Bryant & Bayliss 2022), Kepler-730 b (Zhu, Dai & Masuda 2018; Cañas et al. 2019), TOI-1130 c (Huang et al. 2020c; Korth et al. 2023), and WASP-132 b (Hellier et al. 2017; Hord et al. 2022). These exceptional planets may be important for understanding the formation of hot gas giant systems, so it is natural to ask if they share a formation history that is distinct from hot gas

giants without inner companions. Currently, however, the sample of systems with companions is too small and relatively uncharacterized to answer that question.

Adding to this growing, but still small, family, we report the discovery of the TOI-2000 system, which hosts the smallest hot gas giant known to have an interior planet. We found the system through a systematic multiplanet search of the full-frame image (FFI) light curves of all hot gas giants observed by TESS during its 2-yr prime mission. We conducted a series of ground-based followup photometry, spectroscopy, and speckle imaging (Section 2). By constructing a joint model of transit light curves, radial velocities, and broad-band photometry, we derive the planetary masses and other physical parameters of the TOI-2000 system and demonstrate a way to interpolate MIST stellar evolutionary tracks when using Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC) for parameter fitting (Section 3). We then argue for the confirmation of the inner mini-neptune (TOI-2000b) and the outer hot saturn (TOI-2000c) by carefully considering and rejecting alternative explanations for the two planets' signals (Section 4). Finally, we compare the two planets to those in similar planetary systems, point out they might show TTVs, and explore future observations that may constrain how they formed, ending with a note on why interpolating MIST tracks under HMC is generally preferred over traditional Markov chain Monte Carlo (Section 5).

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1 Photometry

We present space and ground-based photometry of TOI-2000 in this section. Table 1 summarizes the observations, and Fig. 1 shows the light curves.

2.1.1 TESS photometry

TESS observed TOI-2000 in camera 3 during years 1 and 3 of its mission. In year 1, TOI-2000 was observed in the FFIs at a 30-min cadence during sectors 9–11 (UT 2019 February 28–May 21). The MIT Quick Look Pipeline (QLP; Huang et al. 2020a, b) detected the outer planet as a 9.13-d transit signal with a depth of 0.43 per cent at a signal-to-pink noise ratio (S/PN) of 30.62, and it was released as *TESS* Object of Interest (TOI) 2000.01, having passed all vetting



Figure 1. Light curves of TOI-2000. Top two rows: Detrended light curves from *TESS* years 1 and 3. Year 1 points are from the 30-min full-frame images, while year 3 points are binned to 2 min from the 20-s time series, which results in higher scatter per cadence but lower scatter at 30 min. The upright triangles indicate transits of planet b (blue) and planet c (orange). Bottom two rows: Phase-folded light curves zoomed in on the transits of planets b (third row) and c (last row). The purple line is the transit model, and the label in each panel indicates the passband of the limb darkening parameters. For the *TESS* light curves, the faint grey marks are observations both years, with year 3 observations binned to 30 min to be consistent with those from year 1. The orange marks of the *TESS* light curves are binned means (15-min bins for planet b and 10 min for planet c), with the error bars representing the standard error of the mean. The middle and right panels of the bottom row show planet c transit light curves from ground observations (ASTEP in the R_c band, LCOGT SSO in z_s). For the ground observations, the orange marks are 12-min binned means weighted by inverse variance and the error bars are the standard error of the weighted mean.

criteria (Guerrero et al. 2021). We renamed TOI-2000.01 to TOI-2000 c following its confirmation in this paper.

After removing the points where TOI-2000 c was in transit, we detected the 3.10-d signal of the inner planet with a depth of 474^{+39}_{-42} parts per million (ppm) at a S/PN of 10.56 through a boxed least-squares (BLS) search (Kovács, Zucker & Mazeh 2002) of the QLP light curve. This search was part of a systematic effort to identify possible inner companions to all confirmed and candidate hot gas giants observed by TESS during its 2-yr prime mission. Later in year 3, TOI-2000 was selected by the TESS mission for 20-s fast cadence observation during sectors 36-38 in camera 3 (UT 2021 March 7-May 26). Subsequently, the TESS Science Processing Operations Center pipeline (SPOC pipeline; Jenkins et al. 2016, 2010, 2020; Jenkins 2002) independently detected the signal of the inner planet in a search¹ of sectors 1–39 in 2021 August with a multi-event statistic of 9.5 and a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 10.3. The transit signature of the inner planet passed all the diagnostic tests (Twicken et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019) and the TESS Science Office issued an alert on 2022 March 24 for the planet as TOI-2000.02, which we renamed to TOI-2000 b in this paper. In addition, the difference image centroiding of the SPOC pipeline located the source of the transit signatures to within 0.017 ± 2.024 and 4.0202 ± 3.0000 for planets c and b, respectively.

To produce the year 1 light curve, we used the SPOC-calibrated FFIs obtained from the TESSCut service (Brasseur et al. 2019). We performed photometry with a series of 20 different apertures and corrected these light curves for dilution from the light of other nearby stars. To make this correction, we first determined the fraction of light from other stars in each aperture by simulating the *TESS* image with and without contaminating sources using the location and brightness of nearby stars from the *TESS* Input Catalog (TIC; Stassun et al. 2018b, 2019) and the measured instrument pixel response function,² which we determined at the position of the star on the detector using bilinear interpolation. We then subtracted the contaminating flux and re-normalized the resulting light curves.

After correcting for dilution in the year 1 light curves of each aperture, we removed instrumental systematics from the light curves by decorrelating the light curves against the mean and standard deviation of the spacecraft pointing quaternion time series within each exposure (processed similarly to Vanderburg et al. 2019) and the TESS 2-min cadence pre-search data conditioning (PDC) band 3 (fast-timescale) cotrending basis vectors (CBVs) binned to 30 min. We modelled low-frequency light curve variability with a B-spline and excluded the points during transits from the systematics correction. We performed the fit using least squares while iteratively identifying and removing outliers. After correcting systematics in each aperture, we selected the aperture in each sector that minimized the scatter in the light curve. The best apertures chosen for the final light curve were all roughly circular and included a total of 14, 8, and 9 pixels in sectors 9, 10, and 11, respectively. The processed light curve with and without detrending by B-spline can be found in Table 2.

BJD _{TDB} - 2457 000	Flux (normalized)	Detrended flux (normalized)
1543.783216	1.00716	1.00027
1543.804029	1.00661	0.99986
1543.824903	1.00622	0.99960
1543.845716	1.00698	1.00049
1543.866530	1.00644	1.00008

 Table 3. TESS light curve of TOI-2000 from year 3 at 20-s cadence. (The entire table is available electronically in machine-readable format.)

BJD _{TDB}	Detrended flux	Uncertainty
-2457000	(normalized)	
2281.876943	0.9993	0.0027
2281.877174	0.9989	0.0027
2281.877406	0.9986	0.0027
2281.877637	0.9989	0.0027
2281.877869	1.0034	0.0027

For the year 3 *TESS* data, we used the SPOC pipeline's simple aperture photometry flux (SAP_FLUX) from the 20-s target pixel file and performed our own systematics correction using a process similar to the 30-min cadence year 1 data. As before, we exclude points during transits from the systematics correction and decorrelated against the spacecraft pointing quaternion time series and the 20s PDC band 3 CBVs while modelling the low-frequency variability as a B-spline. We then corrected for the contaminating flux from nearby stars using the value in the target pixel file's CROWDSAP header. The processed light curve can be found in Table 3.

To look for additional planets in the *TESS* light curve, we performed BLS searches after masking out the portions containing the two known planets' transits, following procedures described by Vanderburg et al. (2016). No additional transiting planets were found above our detection threshold (S/N > 9).

2.1.2 Ground-based photometry of TOI-2000 c

We observed multiple transits of TOI-2000 c using ground-based seeing-limited photometry to confirm that the transit signal originated from the expected host star. We observed three full transits with high S/N at different facilities and in various passbands during the first half of 2021. We detail the three full-transit observations below. The lower right-hand panel in Fig. 1 shows the phase-folded light curve of all ground-based observations in bins weighted by inverse variance.

The Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT; Brown et al. 2013) 1-m network node at Siding Spring Observatory, Australia observed a full transit of TOI-2000 c on UT 2021 February 10 in the *B* and z_s bands. Differential photometric data were extracted using ASTROIMAGEJ (Collins et al. 2017) and circular photometric apertures with radii 5".8 and 6".2. The apertures exclude flux from all nearby *Gaia* EDR3 stars that are bright enough to cause the event in the *TESS* aperture. The event arrived on time. The light curves in both filters can be found in Table 4.

The Perth Exoplanet Survey Telescope (PEST, a 12'' Meade LX200 SCT Schmidt–Cassegrain telescope in Perth, Australia) observed a full transit of TOI-2000 c in alternating *B* and *I*_c bands at

¹We note that while the SPOC search nominally included data from years 1 and 3, since TOI-2000 was only observed in targeted fast cadence in year 3, the SPOC search only included these sectors. We performed our own search of the full six sectors of light curves and identified no additional planetary signals.

²Available online from MAST at https://archive.stsci.edu/missions/tess/mo dels/prf_fitsfiles/.

BJD _{TDB} - 2457 000	Flux (normalized)	Unc.	Width (pixel)	Sky (count/pixel)	Airmass	Exp. Time (s)	Filter
2255.980346	0.9950	0.0011	15.323563	19.373182	1.392324	29.976	В
2255.981878	1.0028	0.0010	11.535994	15.302150	1.387933	29.976	В
2255.983397	1.0044	0.0010	12.790320	15.547044	1.383667	29.972	В
2255.984914	1.0060	0.0010	12.572493	15.866800	1.379476	29.972	В
2255.986431	1.0042	0.0010	11.599984	15.431446	1.375335	29.972	В

 Table 4.
 LCOGT (Siding Spring Observatory) light curve of TOI-2000. (The entire table is available electronically in machine-readable format.).

 Table 5. PEST light curve of TOI-2000. (The entire table is available electronically in machine-readable format.)

BJD _{TDB} - 2457 000	Flux (normalized)	Unc.	Airmass	Filter
2328.9512276	1.0023	0.0047	1.2571	В
2328.9527553	1.0050	0.0047	1.2556	В
2328.9542715	1.0014	0.0047	1.2541	В
2328.9557993	1.0022	0.0046	1.2527	В
2328.9573271	1.0000	0.0046	1.2513	В

Table 6. ASTEP light curve of TOI-2000. (The entire table is available electronically in machine-readable format.)

BJD _{TDB} - 2457 000	Flux (normalized)	Unc.	Airmass	Sky (count)
2338.006101	0.9992	0.0012	1.017	201
2338.007462	1.0007	0.0012	1.017	203
2338.009370	0.9985	0.0012	1.017	203
2338.010466	1.0016	0.0012	1.018	203
2338.011563	0.9982	0.0012	1.018	209

60 s cadence on UT 2021 April 24. The photometric apertures were uncontaminated and sized 9.2 and 7.8, respectively. The transit was detected on time in the I_c band but was more marginal in the *B* band. Detrending by airmass improved the *B* band signal. Table 5 contains the light curve in both filters.

The 0.4-m telescope of the Antarctica Search for Transiting Exoplanets (ASTEP; Guillot et al. 2015) programme at Dome C, Antarctica observed a full transit of TOI-2000 c on UT 2021 May 3 with an uncontaminated 9".3 aperture in the R_c band. The event arrived on time. The light curve, extracted through procedures described by Mékarnia et al. (2016), can be found in Table 6.

2.1.3 Ground-based photometry of TOI-2000 b

We observed TOI-2000 using LCOGT telescopes on six nights³ in 2021 and 2022. The transit of TOI-2000 b is too shallow (\approx 470 ppm) to detect on target in standard ground-based observations, so instead the goal of our observations was to rule out that the periodic transit signal of TOI-2000 b detected in *TESS* light curves actually originated from nearby sources in the sky. As detailed in Table 1, we mostly used the 1-m telescopes on the LCOGT sites at Siding Spring

³These light curves are available from the ExoFOP-TESS site at https://exof op.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id = 371188886.

Observatory in Australia, Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory in Chile, and South African Astronomical Observatory in South Africa. Using the observations on UT 2021 February 6 at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Chile, which covered nearly 6 h before the transit ingress, the full transit ingress, and more than 70 per cent of the transit window, we were able to rule out that the transit event occurred on all nearby *Gaia* EDR3 targets within 2', except for a Δ mag = 8.7 (*TESS* band) neighbour 5''.3 north of the target, TIC 845089585.

As expected, we could not confidently detect the shallow transit signal due to TOI-2000 b during those observations. Nevertheless, the ground-based photometry rules out virtually all potential nearby sources of contamination, which allows us to rule out the false-positive scenario due to resolved nearby eclipsing binaries in Section 4.3.

2.2 Spectroscopy

We observed TOI-2000 with the CHIRON, FEROS, and HARPS spectrographs, and the details are summarized in Table 7. Table 8 lists all the radial velocity (RV) measurements and their uncertainties, which are also shown in Fig. 2.

We obtained 15 spectra of TOI-2000 with the CHIRON spectrograph (Tokovinin et al. 2013) on the 1.5 m SMARTS telescope located at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Chile. CHIRON is a high-resolution echelle spectrograph, fed via a fibre bundle, with a spectral resolving power of $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \equiv R \approx 80\,000$ from 4100 to 8700 Å for slicer mode observations. The spectra were extracted by the standard CHIRON pipeline (Paredes et al. 2021). The RVs were derived for each spectrum by cross-correlating against a mediancombined template spectrum. The template spectrum was a median combination of all CHIRON spectra, each shifted to rest after an approximate velocity measurement via cross-correlation against a synthetic template. The measured velocity of each spectrum is that of the mean velocity from each spectral order, weighted by their cross-correlation function heights. The velocity uncertainties were estimated from the scatter of the per-order velocities. We find a mean internal uncertainty of 9 m s^{-1} and S/N ≈ 70 per spectral resolution element.

We acquired 14 spectra of TOI-2000 at $R \approx 48\,000$ with the FEROS spectrograph (Kaufer et al. 1999) mounted on the MPG/ESO 2.2-m telescope at La Silla observatory, Chile between UT 2020 March 3 and 2021 January 6. Most of the spectra had an exposure time of 600 s, but two had an exposure time of 900 s due to poor weather. The mean and median S/N per spectral resolution element were 82.4 and 83, respectively, ranging 56–97 in total. The instrumental drift was calibrated by simultaneously observing a fibre illuminated with a ThAr + Ne lamp. The data were processed with the CERES suite of

1118 L. Sha et al.

Instrument	Date(s) (UT)	No. spectra	Resolution $(\lambda/\Delta\lambda/1000)$	Wavelengths (nm)	S/N (at 500 nm)	Jitter (m s ⁻¹)	$\gamma (m s^{-1})$
CHIRON	2020 Dec 24–2021 Feb 10	15	80	410-870	70	$3.4^{+3.2}_{-2.3}$	$6647.7^{+8.8}_{-12.8}$
FEROS	2020 Mar 3-2021 Jan 6	14	48	350-920	56–97	$14.0_{-3.3}^{+4.5}$	8116^{+11}_{-14}
HARPS	2021 Jan 12 – Jun 7	41	115	378–691	27.9–56.4	$3.14\substack{+0.82 \\ -0.78}$	$8118.1^{+8.2}_{-12.2}$

Table 7. Summary of spectroscopic observations.

 Table 8. Radial velocity measurements of TOI-2000. The RVs from FEROS and HARPS are measured relative to the Solar System barycentre. (The entire table is available electronically in machine-readable format.)

BJD _{TDB} - 2457 000	RV (m s ⁻¹)	Uncertainty (m s ⁻¹)	Instrument
2207.81850	6671.1	8.2	CHIRON
2210.82757	6650.5	9.6	CHIRON
2212.80571	6624.8	8.7	CHIRON
1911.72374	8106.2	6.3	FEROS
1920.62204	8056.3	6.1	FEROS
1924.57801	8136.1	6.6	FEROS
2226.762805	8155.81	2.10	HARPS
2230.798279	8099.73	2.46	HARPS
2236.721230	8148.55	3.74	HARPS

echelle pipelines (Brahm, Jordán & Espinoza 2017), which produced RVs and bisector spans in addition to reduced spectra.

We acquired 41 spectra of TOI-2000 at $R \approx 115\,000$ with the High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher spectrograph (HARPS; Mayor et al. 2003) between UT 2021 January 12 and June 7.4 HARPS is fibre-fed by the Cassegrain focus of the 3.6-m telescope at La Silla Observatory, Chile. The spectra were taken with exposure times between 900 and 1800 s in high-accuracy mode (HAM), resulting in S/N of 27.1-56.5 at spectral order 60 for individual spectra, except for a poor quality one at BJD_{TDB} 2459 282.76, which we excluded from the joint model (Section 3.3). The spectra were reduced with the standard Data Reduction Software (DRS; Pepe et al. 2002; Baranne et al. 1996), using a K0 template to correct the flux balance over spectral orders before applying a G2 binary cross-correlation function (CCF) mask. Such colour correction allowed us to minimize the impact of the CCF mask mismatch between the observed spectral type and the CCF binary mask. The K0 flux template was selected as the closest one to a G5-G6 star like TOI-2000, and the G2 binary mask was selected to minimize photon noise uncertainty.

2.3 Speckle imaging

We searched for unresolved companions of TOI-2000 with speckle imaging from two telescopes on Cerro Pachón, Chile. The first set of data was acquired by the HRCam instrument (Tokovinin 2018) on the 4.1-m Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope on UT 2020 October 31. The observations were in a passband similar to *TESS*'s and were reduced with procedures described by Ziegler et al. (2020). No companion was found with a contrast of 6.8 magnitudes

⁴HARPS programme IDs 1102.C-0249 (PI: D. Armstrong) and 106.21ER.001 (PI: R. Brahm).

at 1". The 5σ sensitivity and the speckle autocorrelation function (ACF) from the observations are plotted in Fig. 3.

The second set of speckle imaging data was acquired by the Zorro speckle instrument on the 8-m Gemini South telescope⁵ (Scott et al. 2021) on UT 2022 March 17. Zorro collected 20 sets of 1000 speckle imaging observations simultaneously in two bands (562 nm and 832 nm) with an integration time of 60 ms per frame. These thousands of observations were reduced using the method described by Howell et al. (2011), yielding a high-resolution view of the sky near TOI-2000. Fig. 4 shows the two 5σ contrast curves and the reconstructed speckle image in 562 and 832 nm. Again, we found no companion to TOI-2000 in the 832-nm band within a contrast of 5–8 mag from 0''.1 to 1''.2 separation, which corresponds to a projected separation of 17.3–208 au at the distance of TOI-2000 (173.2 pc).

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Stellar parameters

3.1.1 Spectral energy distribution

Independently of the obtained spectra in Section 2.2, we analysed the broadband spectral energy distribution (SED) of the star following the procedures described by Stassun & Torres (2016), Stassun, Collins & Gaudi (2017), and Stassun et al. (2018a). We used the *B*, *V*, *g'*, *r'*, *i'* magnitudes from APASS, the *J*, *H*, *K*_s magnitudes from 2MASS, the *W*1–*W*4 magnitudes from *WISE*, and the *G*, *G*_{BP}, *G*_{RP} magnitudes from *Gaia*. Together, the available photometry spans the wavelength range of 0.4–10 μ m (Fig. 5).

We fit the SED using Kurucz (1993) stellar atmosphere models, with effective temperature $T_{\rm eff}$, metallicity [Fe/H], and extinction A_V as free parameters. We limited A_V to the maximum line-of-sight value from the Galactic dust maps of Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998). The resulting best-fitting (reduced $\chi^2 = 1.2$) values are $T_{\rm eff}$ = (5550 ± 75) K, [Fe/H] = 0.3 ± 0.2, and $A_V = 0.15 \pm 0.04$. Integrating the unreddened SED model gives the bolometric flux at Earth $F_{\rm bol} = (1.169 \pm 0.014) \times 10^{-9} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$. Together with the *Gaia* EDR3 parallax, the $F_{\rm bol}$ and $T_{\rm eff}$ values imply a stellar radius $R_{\star} = (1.135 \pm 0.031) \, {\rm R}_{\odot}$, consistent with the value from joint modelling (Section 3.3).

3.1.2 Spectroscopy and chemical abundances

We used ARES+MOOG (Sousa 2014; Santos et al. 2013) to obtain more precise stellar atmospheric parameters ($T_{\rm eff}$, surface gravity log g, microturbulence, [Fe/H]) from the combined HARPS spectrum of TOI-2000. The combined spectrum achieved an S/N of 275 at spectral order 60. We measured the equivalent widths of iron lines using the Automatic Routine for line Equivalent widths in stellar Spectra



TESS discovery of the TOI-2000 system 1119

Figure 2. RV measurements of TOI-2000. The shapes and colours of each mark indicate which spectrograph it corresponds to, and its error bar is the quadrature sum of uncertainty and an instrumental jitter term (Section 3.3). Solid purple lines represent the best-fitting RV model. Top: The best-fitting RV and Gaussian process (GP) model. The dashed grey line represents the inferred GP model of residuals in excess of known planet-induced variations. The purple-shaded interval represents the 1 σ uncertainty of the GP model. Middle: Residual of the RV measurements with respect to the best-fitting RV and GP model. Bottom left: Phase-folded RV variations due to planet b only.



Figure 3. SOAR speckle observations of TOI-2000. The curve is the 5σ detection sensitivity, and the inset is the speckle imaging autocorrelation function (ACF). No companion is detected within the contrast limit.



Figure 4. Gemini speckle observations of TOI-2000. The curves are the 5σ detection sensitivity in the 562 nm (*blue*) and 832 nm (*red*) bands, and the inset is the reconstructed speckle image in 832 nm. No companion is detected within the contrast limit.

(ARES) v2 code⁶ (Sousa et al. 2015). Then, we used a minimization process where we assumed ionization and excitation equilibrium to converge to the best set of spectroscopic parameters. This process made use of a grid of Kurucz (1993) model atmospheres and the radiative transfer code MOOG (Sneden 1973), yielding the values $T_{\rm eff} = (5568 \pm 66)$ K, [Fe/H] = 0.438 \pm 0.044. These $T_{\rm eff}$ and [Fe/H] values were then used to constrain the MIST evolutionary track portion of the joint model (Section 3.3.2). We also used the *Gaia* EDR3 parallax measurement to derive a stellar surface gravity log $g = 4.38 \pm 0.03$, consistent with the value independently derived by the joint model (Section 3.3). The spectroscopic log g was not used to constrain the joint model.

⁶The latest version of ARES v2 can be downloaded from http://www.astro.up .pt/~sousasag/ares



Figure 5. SED of TOI-2000. Red symbols represent the observed photometric measurements, where the horizontal bars represent the effective width of the passband. Blue symbols are the model fluxes from the best-fitting Kurucz atmosphere model (black).

Table 9. Chemical abundances of TOI-2000 with respect to the Sun.

Element (X)	[X/H]	Uncertainty
Ст	0.26	0.02
01	0.30	0.15
MgI	0.44	0.06
Alı	0.52	0.04
Siı	0.43	0.03
Caı	0.42	0.07
Tiı	0.47	0.06
Cri	0.45	0.05
Ni I	0.50	0.05
Cui	0.66	0.05
Zn I	0.45	0.03
Sr I	0.46	0.08
YII	0.34	0.07
ZrII	0.28	0.04
BaII	0.25	0.03
Ce II	0.37	0.06
Nd II	0.40	0.03

The combined HARPS spectrum also gave the abundances of various chemical elements in TOI-2000 (see Table 9). These abundances were derived from the same code and models as the stellar parameters, using classical curve of growth analysis assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium. For the derivation of abundances of refractory elements, we closely followed the methods described by Adibekyan et al. (2012, 2015) and Delgado Mena et al. (2017). Abundances of the volatile elements C and O were derived following the methods of Delgado Mena et al. (2021) and Bertran de Lis et al. (2015). All the [X/H] ratios were calculated by differential analysis with respect to a high S/N Solar (Vesta) spectrum from HARPS.

These detailed abundances allowed us to measure the age of TOI-2000 through chemical clocks, or abundance ratios strongly correlated with age. We found the [X/Fe] ratios of TOI-2000 to be typical for a thin-disc star. Considering the variation in age due to $T_{\rm eff}$ and [Fe/H], we applied the 3D formulas described by Delgado Mena et al. (2019) in their Table 10 to calculate ages associated with the chemical clocks [Y/Mg], [Y/Zn], [Y/Ti], [Y/Si], [Y/Al], [Sr/Ti], [Sr/Mg], and [Sr/Si]. Their weighted average gave an independently

Table 10. TOI-2000 stellar parameters.

Parameter	Value	Prior	Source
Identifying Information			
α , right ascension (J2016) (h:m:s)	9 ^h 45 ^m 35 ^s 289	_	Gaia EDR3
δ , declination (J2016) (d:m:s)	-66°41′11″.86	_	Gaia EDR3
μ_{α} , RA proper motion (mas yr ⁻¹)	-73.219 ± 0.012	_	Gaia EDR3
μ_{δ} , decl. proper motion (mas yr ⁻¹)	12.623 ± 0.012	_	Gaia EDR3
TIC ID	371188886	_	TIC v8.2
Gaia EDR3 ID	5244434756689177088	_	Gaia EDR3
Photometric properties			
TESS (mag)	10.358 ± 0.006	_	TIC v8.2
V (mag)	10.984 ± 0.012	-	TIC v8.2
G (mag)	10.85420 ± 0.00016	-	Gaia EDR3
Spectroscopic Properties			
$v \sin i$, rotational speed ^{<i>a</i>} (km s ⁻¹)	2.99 ± 0.20	-	HARPS
Sampled Properties			
$M_{\star,0}$, initial mass (M _{\odot})	$1.083^{+0.059}_{-0.050}$	$\sim \mathcal{U}(0.1, 4) \mathcal{N}(1.05, 0.2)$	-
[Fe/H] ₀ , initial metallicity (dex)	$0.417_{-0.041}^{+0.039}$	$\mathcal{U}(0, 0.5)$	-
EEP, MESA equivalent evolutionary point	385^{+24}_{-38}	$\mathcal{U}(202, 454)^b$	-
Parallax (mas)	5.773 ± 0.010	$\mathcal{N}(5.773, 0.010)^{c}$	Gaia EDR3
A_V , extinction (mag)	0.22 ± 0.11	$\mathcal{U}(0, 0.70)$	Schlegel 1998
Derived Properties			
$T_{\rm eff}$, effective temperature (K)	5611^{+85}_{-82}	$\mathcal{N}(5568, 100) \mathcal{N}(\text{MIST}, 3\%)^d$	HARPS
[Fe/H], metallicity (dex)	$0.439\substack{+0.041\\-0.043}$	$\mathcal{N}(0.438, 0.044) \mathcal{N}(\text{MIST}, 3\%)^d$	HARPS
$\log g$, surface gravity, dex (cm s ⁻²)	$4.363^{+0.034}_{-0.032}$	_	-
M_{\star} , mass (M _{\odot})	$1.082\substack{+0.059\\-0.050}$	_	-
R_{\star} , radius (R_{\odot})	$1.134_{-0.036}^{+0.037}$	$\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{MIST}, 3\%)^d$	_
ρ_{\star} , density (g cm ⁻³)	$1.047^{+0.114}_{-0.100}$	_	-
L_{\star} , bolometric luminosity (L _{\odot})	$1.147\substack{+0.094\\-0.084}$	_	-
Age (Gyr)	5.3 ± 2.7	$\mathcal{U}(0, 10)^e$	-
Distance (pc)	173.22 ± 0.31	-	_

^aNot part of the joint model, measured directly from HARPS spectra.

^bConstrains the star to be main sequence, which we know from spectroscopic analysis. The effective prior is uniform in age rather than EEP because the model likelihood is multiplied by $\partial(\text{EEP})/\partial(\text{age})$.

^cCorrected for the *Gaia* EDR3 parallax zero-point as a function of magnitude, colour, and position using the prescription of Lindegren et al. (2021).

^dAt each step of the HMC chain, the sampled values of $(M_{\star,0}, [Fe/H]_0, EEP)$ are used as the input to the MIST grid, which output $M_{\star, MIST}$, log $g_{\star,MIST}$, $T_{eff,MIST}$, $[Fe/H]_{MIST}$, and stellar age. These interpolated values are used to compute $R_{\star,MIST}$. The shorthand $\mathcal{N}(MIST, 3\%)$ means that the normal distribution is centred on the interpolated value of the MIST grid with a fractional uncertainty of 3 per cent.

^{*e*}Approximated by adding a logistic function $f(x) = -10^{100}/(1 + e^{-3000(x - 10)})$ to the log-probability of the model.

Note. U(a, b) is the uniform distribution over the interval [a, b]. $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ is the normal distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ . The values and uncertainties quoted are 68 per cent credible intervals centred on medians.

Gaia EDR3: Gaia Collaboration (2021). TIC v8.2: Stassun et al. (2019), Paegert et al. (2021). Schlegel 1998: Schlegel et al. (1998).

measured age of 4.1 ± 1.6 Gyr, within the uncertainty of the value from joint modelling (Section 3.3).

In addition to chemical abundances, we also measured the projected rotational velocity $v \sin i$ to be $(2.99 \pm 0.20) \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ by performing spectral synthesis with MOOG on 36 isolated iron lines and by fixing the stellar parameters and limb-darkening coefficient (Costa Silva, Delgado Mena & Tsantaki 2020). The limb-darkening coefficient (≈ 0.6) was determined using the stellar parameters as described by Espinoza & Jordán (2015) assuming a linear limb darkening law.

3.2 Radial velocity variations

Since transits are sensitive only to planets with inclinations precisely aligned to our line of sight, it is possible additional planets may orbit in the TOI-2000 system but not be detected in the *TESS* observations. Therefore, we proceed to investigate whether additional planetary signals are present by computing and examining periodograms of our RV measurements.

3.2.1 Frequency analysis of RVs

We investigate the possibility that there are signals for additional planets in the system in the RV measurements (Section 2.2). Starting from the first 9.1-d signal of TOI-2000 c, we iteratively remove new Keplerian signals at periodogram peaks. At each step, we calculate the Lomb–Scargle (LS; Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) periodogram, fit for a new circular Keplerian (i.e. sinusoidal) signal at the next significant peak, remove the signal due to the new planet, and then recalculate the LS periodogram. Fig. 6 shows this process.⁷ We found



Figure 6. Periodograms of RV residuals after subtracting Keplerian signals. At each step, a Keplerian signal corresponding to the period peak in the previous periodogram is added. The vertical dashed purple line marks the most significant peak in the period interval from 1.5 to 100 d, and the horizontal dotted grey lines indicate the 1 and 0.1 per cent false-alarm levels computed with bootstrap resampling.

two additional periodogram peaks: a second one at 90.2 d, which is highly formally significant based on the false-alarm probability (FAP) calculated by bootstrap resampling (see e.g. Ivezić et al. 2020), and a third one at 17.3 d (FAP \approx 5 per cent). After removing these two signals, we finally identify a fourth peak at 3.1 d, which corresponds to the period of TOI-2000 b, with an FAP \approx 1 per cent.

Given these detections, we assess whether the two additional RV signals are viable planet candidates. Although both signals were detected before that of the transiting mini-neptune TOI-2000 b, there are reasons to caution that they may not be planetary. In particular, the 17.3-d signal has a relatively high FAP (> 1 per cent). Although the 90-d signal is formally statistically significant, our observations cover fewer than two full phase cycles and consequently have yet to establish that the signal is repeating. Out of these considerations, we proceed to investigate alternative explanations for the additional two peaks at 17.3 and 90 d, namely stellar activity and rotation, in the following subsections.

3.2.2 Correlation with stellar activity

We check the standard stellar activity indicators from the HARPS RV measurements to test for the possibility that the two additional RV signals are due to stellar activity. Fig. 7 shows LS periodograms of the HARPS full-width half maximum, bisector span, Mount Wilson *S*-index, and the $R_{\rm HK}$ spectral index of Ca II H and K lines. None of the four indicators show significant peaks corresponding to the RV signals at 17.3 and 90 d.

⁷This procedure is performed using the Data and Analysis Center for Exoplanets (DACE) facility from the University of Geneva.

3.2.3 Correlation with stellar rotation

As the *TESS* light curve of TOI-2000 does not show significant periodic variations, we check Wide Angle Search for Planets (WASP) and the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN; Shappee et al. 2014) archival data for hints of the stellar rotation signal. There is no WASP light curve for TOI-2000, but ASAS-SN has light curves in the g and V bands spanning UT 2016 January 29 to 2023 February 14 (Kochanek et al. 2017). Fig. 8 shows LS periodograms of the ASAS-SN light curves and their window functions. Again, no stellar rotation is detected, as there is no significant peak that does not correspond to a peak in the window function. In addition, the periodograms do not show peaks at the periods of the 17.3 and 90-d RV signals. Thus, we cannot determine whether the additional RV signals are associated with stellar rotation.

3.2.4 Two-planet versus four-planet solutions

In the remainder of Section 3, we present models assuming two or more planets in the TOI-2000 system. In Section 3.3, we describe a two-planet solution that jointly models transits, RVs, and stellar parameters. In Section 3.4, we describe an alternative four-planet solution based on an RV-only model, compare it to the two-planet solution, and justify our present preference for the two-planet solution.

3.3 Joint modelling

We constructed a joint model of the photometric light curves, RV measurements, metallicity, surface gravity, and broad-band photometric magnitudes of TOI-2000 with the Python packages EXOPLANET (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2021b, a) and CELERITE2



Figure 7. Lomb–Scargle periodograms of HARPS spectroscopic activity signals for TOI-2000. The activity signals are full-width half maximum (FWHM, *top left*), bisector span (*bottom left*), Mount Wilson S-index (*top right*), and spectral index of the Ca II H and K lines $R_{\rm HK}$ (*bottom right*). The two vertical dashed purple lines mark the periods of the RV signals at 17.3 and 90 d, and the three horizontal dotted grey lines indicate the 1 and 0.1 per cent false-alarm levels computed from bootstrap resampling. There are no significant peaks at the periods of the candidate planets. The activity signals are tabulated in Table B1.



Figure 8. Lomb–Scargle periodogram of the ASAS-SN light curve of TOI-2000 in the g (*left*) and V (*right*) bands, with associated window functions (*bottom*). The *x*-axis ranges from 1.5 d to 1 yr. The two vertical dashed purple lines mark the period of the candidate non-transiting planets at 17.3 and 90 d, and the two horizontal dotted grey lines indicate the 1 and 0.1 per cent false-alarm levels computed from bootstrap resampling. There is no significant peak that does not correspond to a peak in the window function, and there is no peak that corresponds to the 17.3 or 90-d RV signal.

(Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017; Foreman-Mackey 2018) as well as the MIST stellar evolutionary tracks (Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016). The model's parameters included the orbital elements of the two planets (the inner planet b's orbit is fixed to be circular⁸), stellar parameters, limb-darkening parameters, Gaussian process (GP) parameters for modelling the long-term trend in RVs, and other observational nuisance parameters. The posterior distribution of these parameters was then sampled via HMC (Duane et al. 1987) implemented by the PYMC probabilistic programming framework (Salvatier, Wiecki & Fonnesbeck 2016). The posteriors and priors of the joint model's stellar, planetary, and other parameters are reported in Tables 10–12.

3.3.1 Light curve and RV models

We modelled the photometric observations with the quadratic law LimbDarkLightCurve class built into the EXOPLANET package. To account for long exposure time's smearing effect on the shape of transit light curves, we oversampled each *TESS* 30-min exposure by a factor of 15 but did not oversample the 20-s exposures. Among the ground-based photometry, we included two sets of observations with the best S/N, the ASTEP data in the R_c band and the LCOGT-SSO data in the z_s band, in the joint model. These light curves were simultaneously detrended using their respective 'sky' time series in Tables 6 and 4. We used separate sets of limb darkening parameters for each of the *TESS*, R_c , z_s filters, each subject to the uniform triangular sampling prior of Kipping (2013).

We also used EXOPLANET's built-in RV model. For each instrument, we introduced a constant RV offset γ and a jitter term representing systematic uncertainty that is added in quadrature to the reported instrumental uncertainties. We modelled long-term variations in the RV residuals with a GP simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) kernel implemented by the CELERITE2 (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017; Foreman-Mackey 2018) Python package. The SHO kernel has a power spectral density of

$$S(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{S_0 \omega_0^4}{\left(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2\right)^2 + \omega_0^2 \omega^2 / Q^2},$$
(1)

and we use a parametrization in terms of the undamped period of the oscillator $\rho = 2\pi/\omega_0$, the damping timescale of the process $\tau = 2Q/\omega_0$, and the standard deviation of the process $\sigma = \sqrt{S_0\omega_0 Q}$. We require $\rho \ge 15$ d so that the GP does not interfere with the RV signals of the two planets at shorter periods. This exclusion of shorter periods is justified since we do not expect TOI-2000 to be rapidly rotating as it is a middle-aged Sun-like star, and there are no significant shortterm periodic variations in the undetrended *TESS* light curves. In an RV-only model that uses Gaussian priors corresponding to the joint model posterior values in Table 11 for the planets' period and time of conjunction, introducing GP with the SHO kernel causes the RV jitter of HARPS to decrease from 11.6 to 3.1 m s⁻¹. Thus, the GP captures some of the long-term RV variations.

To ensure that the joint model's ability to detect the RV signal of planet b is not sensitive to the choice of GP kernel, we also ran

⁸Using the median values from the posterior of the joint model, the tidal circularization timescale for TOI-2000 b ≈ 1 Gyr, assuming a modified tidal quality factor $Q' = 5 \times 10^4$. The estimate of Q' has a wide range from 10^3 to 10^6 , according to Millholland & Laughlin (2019, Supplementary Fig. 8). While we do not have definitive evidence that TOI-2000 b has fully circularized, we also do not have sufficient RV data to measure its eccentricity reliably, thus we fix the eccentricity to 0.

another model using the Matérn-3/2 kernel

$$k(\tau) = \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}\tau}{\rho} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}\tau}{\rho} \right), \tag{2}$$

where τ is the pairwise distance and σ , ρ are arbitrary parameters. The Matérn-3/2 kernel did not lead to a meaningful difference in the sampled posterior distribution compared to the SHO kernel.

Even though the variations in the RV residuals are sufficiently captured by the GP model, which is customarily used to model correlated noise due to stellar activity, we cannot definitively establish that stellar activity is the cause of these variations. An alternative explanation is that the variations are due to undetected additional planets in the system, a possibility that we explore in Section 3.4.

3.3.2 MIST evolutionary track interpolation

We used EXOPLANET's RegularGridInterpolator to interpolate the MIST stellar evolutionary tracks (Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016) built into the ISOCHRONES Python package (Morton 2015). We used the initial stellar mass $M_{\star,0}$, initial metallicity [Fe/H]₀, and equivalent evolutionary point (EEP) as inputs to the interpolated grid, which then output present values of M_{\star} , [Fe/H]_{MIST}, $T_{\rm eff,MIST}$, and $R_{\star,\text{MIST}}$. Although we sampled EEP instead of age, we multiplied the overall model likelihood by $\partial(EEP)/\partial(age)$ as was done by Eastman et al. (2019) for EXOFASTV2 to guarantee that the prior distribution is uniform in stellar age rather than EEP. We restricted EEP to the main sequence because spectroscopic evidence indicated that the star was not evolved. We also constrained the stellar age to be < 10 Gyr, because Gaia EDR3 kinematics suggest that TOI-2000 is most likely in the Galactic thin disc. To ensure that the probability density of the model is continuous across the stellar age cut-off, an important consideration because HMC relies on partial derivatives of the model, we implemented the maximum-age constraint by adding a logistic function

$$f(x) = -\frac{10^{100}}{1 + e^{-3000(x-10)}},$$
(3)

where x is the stellar age in Gyr, to the log-probability of the model. The coefficients of the logistic function are chosen to be as large as possible in order to achieve a sharp cut-off, but not so large that its derivative exceeds what can be represented by IEEE double precision floating point numbers, which would cause HMC to fail.

To better reflect realistic uncertainties in theoretical modelling, we made the stellar radius R_{\star} , stellar effective temperature $T_{\rm eff}$, and stellar metallicity [Fe/H] independently sampled model parameters subject to Gaussian priors with a fractional width of 3 per cent centred on their respective interpolated MIST grid values, following how Eastman et al. (2019) sampled these parameters for EXOFASTv2. However, M_{\star} was taken directly from the interpolated grid value. This model can be represented in pseudo-code as

$$(M_{\star,0}, [Fe/H]_0, EEP) \mapsto (M_{\star}, R_{\star,MIST}, [Fe/H]_{MIST}, T_{eff,MIST}),$$
(4a)

$$R_{\star} \sim \mathcal{N}(R_{\star,\text{MIST}}, 0.03 R_{\star,\text{MIST}}), \tag{4b}$$

$$[Fe/H] \sim \mathcal{N}([Fe/H]_{MIST}, 0.03 \, [Fe/H]_{MIST}), \tag{4c}$$

$$T_{\rm eff} \sim \mathcal{N}(T_{\rm eff,MIST}, 0.03 T_{\rm eff,MIST}),$$
 (4d)

where the variables on the left are sampled, the variables on the right are deterministically computed, the arrow \mapsto represents interpolation of the MIST grid, and the relation \sim represents draws from a prior

Table 11. TOI-2000 planetary system parameters.

Planet		b		c		
Parameter	Value	Prior	Value	Prior		
Sampled						
$T_{\rm c}$, time of conjunction (BJD _{TDB})	$2458855.2442\substack{+0.0022\\-0.0021}$	$2458855 + \mathcal{U}(0.224, 0.264)$	2459110.06588 ^{+0.00027} 8	$2459110 + \mathcal{U}(0.0609, 0.0709)$		
P, period (d)	$3.098330^{+0.00021}_{-0.00019}$	$3.09833 + \mathcal{U}(-2,2) \times 10^{-4}$	$9.1270550^{+0.0000073}_{-0.0000072}$	$9.127055 + \mathcal{U}(-10^{-4}, 10^4)$		
$\sqrt{e}\cos\omega$	0	fixed	$-0.215^{+0.061}_{-0.046}$	$e < 1^a$		
$\sqrt{e}\sin\omega$	0	fixed	$-0.06^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$	$e < 1^a$		
$b \equiv a \cos{(i)}/R_{\star}$, impact parameter	$0.770^{+0.038}_{-0.071}$	$\mathcal{U}(0, 1 + R_{\rm p}/R_{\star})$	$0.631^{+0.039}_{-0.047}$	$\mathcal{U}(0, 1 + R_{\rm p}/R_{\star})$		
$R_{\rm p}/R_{\star}$	$0.02182\substack{+0.00089\\-0.00097}$	$\mathcal{U}(0, 0.5)$	0.06581 ± 0.00068	$\mathcal{U}(0, 0.5)$		
$M_{ m p}/M_{\star}$	$(3.06 \pm 0.66) \times 10^{-5}$	$\mathcal{U}(0, 2.8605 \times 10^{-4})^b$	$(2.26^{+0.11}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-4}$	$\mathcal{U}(0, 8.5814 \times 10^{-4})^b$		
Derived						
T_{14} , total transit duration (h)	$1.959^{+0.209}_{-0.098}$	-	$3.654^{+0.030}_{-0.028}$	-		
e, eccentricity	0	fixed	$0.063^{+0.023}_{-0.022}$	-		
ω , argument of periastron (d)	-	_	196^{+29}_{-34}	-		
a, semimajor axis (au)	$0.04271\substack{+0.00076\\-0.00067}$	-	$0.0878^{+0.0016}_{-0.0014}$	-		
a/R*	$8.10^{+0.28}_{-0.27}$	-	$16.64_{-0.55}^{+0.59}$	-		
<i>i</i> , inclination (d)	$84.59_{-0.43}^{+0.54}$	_	$87.86_{-0.18}^{+0.19}$	-		
<i>K</i> , RV semiamplitude (m s ^{-1})	$4.59^{+1.00}_{-0.99}$	-	$23.7^{+1.00}_{-0.99}$	-		
$R_{\rm p}$, radius (R_{\oplus})	2.70 ± 0.15	_	$8.14_{-0.30}^{+0.31}$	-		
$R_{\rm p}$, radius (R _J)	0.241 ± 0.014	_	$0.727^{+0.028}_{-0.027}$	-		
$M_{\rm p}$, mass (M $_{\oplus}$)	11.0 ± 2.4	-	$81.7^{+4.7}_{-4.6}$	-		
$M_{\rm p}$, mass (M _J)	$0.0347^{+0.0077}_{-0.0075}$	-	$0.257^{+0.015}_{-0.014}$	-		
$\rho_{\rm p}$, density (g cm ⁻³)	$3.07\substack{+0.94\\-0.78}$	_	$0.829^{+0.111}_{-0.096}$	-		
Stellar irradiation (erg s^{-1} cm ⁻²)	$\left(8.55^{+0.66}_{-0.59} ight) imes 10^8$	-	$\left(2.03^{+0.16}_{-0.14} ight) imes 10^8$	-		
$T_{\rm eq}$, equilibrium temperature ^c (K)	1488^{+122}_{-160}	-	1038^{+84}_{-111}			

^{*a*}The prior for the eccentricity vector ($\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$, $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$) is uniform in the unit disc.

 b The mass upper limits for the two planets are roughly $100 M_{\oplus}$ and $300 M_{\oplus}$ when combined with the mean of the prior for M_{\star} .

^cAssuming the planets are tidally locked with no heat circulation and have a Bond albedo uniformly drawn from the interval [0, 0.7]. For TOI-2000 c, we adopt the mean-flux averaged T_{eq} following Quirrenbach (2022), although here the correction due to eccentricity is negligible compared to the posterior uncertainty.

Note. U(a, b) is the uniform distribution over the interval [a, b]. $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ is the normal distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ . The values and uncertainties quoted are 68 per cent credible intervals centred on medians.

distribution, which in this case is the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ with mean μ and standard deviation σ . In addition, we computed the likelihood of [Fe/H] and $T_{\rm eff}$ using the HARPS spectroscopic values in Section 3.1, but the uncertainty of the HARPS $T_{\rm eff}$ was artificially inflated to 100 K to better represent the uncertainty of the atmospheric models used to derive it.

3.3.3 SED model

We interpolated the MIST bolometric correction, or BC, grid (Choi et al. 2016) to convert the bolometric magnitude computed from the joint model's stellar parameters into broadband photometric magnitudes. For direct comparison with observed apparent magnitudes, we sampled parallax from a Gaussian prior based on the Gaia EDR3 value and uncertainty corrected for a zero-point offset dependent on magnitude, colour, and ecliptic latitude using the prescription of Lindegren et al. (2021). Independently from the SED analysis in Section 3.1.1, we computed the likelihood of the interpolated broadband magnitudes in the Gaia EDR3 G, G_{RP} , and $G_{\rm BP}$ bands, the 2MASS J, H, and $K_{\rm s}$ bands, as well as the WISE W1-W4 bands using their observed values and uncertainties but applied an uncertainty floor of 0.02 to the Gaia magnitudes. We also multiplied all photometric uncertainties by a multiplicative factor constrained to be between 1 and 4 in order to avoid overweighting the SED constraints within the joint model. This factor is named 'SED uncertainty scaling factor' in Table 12.

We wanted to ensure that the SED model does not constrain stellar parameters more precisely than the systematic uncertainty floors estimated by Tayar et al. (2022). We loosely followed the latest SED model construction of EXOFASTv2 described by Eastman, Diamond-Lowe & Tayar (2022). The key was to partially decouple the stellar parameters used by the SED model, in particular the calculation of BC, from those used for the light curve and RV models or the MIST evolutionary tracks. To that end, we introduced additional sampled parameters $T_{\text{eff,SED}}$ and $R_{\star,\text{SED}}$, which are reported in Table 12. The SED radius $R_{\star,\text{SED}}$ was then combined with the M_{\star} from interpolating the MIST track to calculate $\log g_{\text{SED}}$. The MIST-interpolated [Fe/H] was used as is, and the extinction A_V was sampled from a uniform prior with a lower limit of 0 and an upper limit given by Schlegel et al. (1998), which we notate as $\mathcal{U}(0, 0.70)$. Altogether, four of these parameters formed the inputs to the interpolated BC grid as

$$A_V \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 0.70),\tag{5a}$$

$$(M_{\star}, R_{\star,\text{SED}}) \mapsto \log g_{\text{SED}},$$
 (5b)

$$(T_{\text{eff,SED}}, \log g_{\text{SED}}, [\text{Fe/H}], A_V) \mapsto \text{BC},$$
 (5c)

using the notation of the pseudo-code in Section 3.3.2.

To take account of the systematic uncertainty floors estimated for Solar-type stars by Tayar et al. (2022), the parameters $T_{\text{eff,SED}}$ and $R_{\star,\text{SED}}$ were partially coupled to the T_{eff} and R_{\star} adopted by the lightcurve and RV models. The parameter $T_{\text{eff,SED}}$ was coupled to the adopted T_{eff} by a Gaussian prior with a 2.5 per cent width. Implicitly constraining $R_{\star,\text{SED}}$ was a 2-per-cent-wide Gaussian likelihood coupling the bolometric flux $F_{\text{bol,SED}}$ computed from $T_{\text{eff,SED}}$ and $R_{\star,\text{SED}}$ to F_{bol} from the adopted T_{eff} and R_{\star} . Using the notation of the pseudo-code in Section 3.3.2,

Table 12. Additional sampled joint model parameters.

Parameter	Value	Prior
Limb darkening		
$u_1, TESS$	$0.130^{+0.170}_{-0.095}$	Kipping
u ₂ , TESS	$0.32^{+0.16}_{-0.24}$	Kipping
u_1, R_c	$0.89^{+0.21}_{-0.28}$	Kipping
u_2, R_c	$-0.29^{+0.33}_{-0.20}$	Kipping
u_1, z_s	$0.41_{-0.28}^{+0.35}$	Kipping
u_2, z_s	$0.01^{+0.32}_{-0.27}$	Kipping
Photometry		
Offset, TESS year 1	$(-1.3\pm1.2) \times 10^{-5}$	U(-0.5, 0.5)
Offset, TESS year 3	$(1.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.5, 0.5)$
Jitter, TESS year 1	$(9.2^{+3.1}_{-4.2}) \times 10^{-5}$	$\mathcal{U}(0,1)$
Jitter, TESS year 3	$(2.06^{+0.87}_{-1.15}) \times 10^{-4}$	$\mathcal{U}(0,1)$
Jitter, ASTEP $R_{\rm c}$	$(1.25^{+1.23}_{-0.87}) \times 10^{-4}$	$\mathcal{U}(0,1)$
Jitter, LCOGT SSO zs	0.00123 ± 0.00014	$\mathcal{U}(0,1)$
Radial velocity		
γ , CHIRON (m s ⁻¹)	$6647.7_{-12.8}^{+8.8}$	$\mathcal{U}(5659.0, 7659.0)$
γ , FEROS (m s ⁻¹)	8116^{+11}_{-14}	$\mathcal{U}(7114.6, 9114.6)$
γ , HARPS (m s ⁻¹)	$8118.1^{+8.2}_{-12.2}$	U(7113.5, 9113.5)
Jitter, CHIRON (m s ⁻¹)	$3.4^{+3.2}_{-2.3}$	$\sim \mathcal{U}(0,\infty) \mathcal{N}(0,15)$
Jitter, FEROS (m s ⁻¹)	$14.0^{+4.5}_{-3.3}$	$\sim \mathcal{U}(0,\infty) \mathcal{N}(0,30)$
Jitter, HARPS (m s ⁻¹)	$3.14_{-0.78}^{+0.82}$	$\sim \mathcal{U}(0,\infty) \mathcal{N}(0,15)$
Gaussian process for RV		
ρ , undamped period (d)	111^{+57}_{-37}	U(15, 200)
τ , damping timescale (d)	37^{+95}_{-31}	U(0, 200)
σ , standard deviation (m s ⁻¹)	$21.4^{+14.6}_{-7.6}$	U(0, 100)
SED		
$T_{\rm eff, SED}$, effective temperature (K)	5687^{+142}_{-133}	$\mathcal{N}(T_{\mathrm{eff}}, 2.5\%)$
$R_{\star, \text{ SED}}$, stellar radius (R_{\odot})	1.103 ± 0.014	$\mathcal{U}(0, 2R_{\star})$
SED uncertainty scaling factor	$1.27^{+0.39}_{-0.20}$	$\mathcal{U}(1,4)$

Note. The Kipping (2013) prior is a triangle sampling of the space of physically plausible quadratic limb darkening parameters. The RV parameters are reproduced in Table 7 for convenience. $\mathcal{U}(a, b)$ is the uniform distribution over the interval [a, b]. $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ is the normal distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ . For an explanation of $\mathcal{T}_{eff,SED}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\star,SED}$, and the 'SED uncertainty scaling factor,' see Section 3.3.3. The values and uncertainties quoted are 68 per cent credible intervals centred on medians.

 $T_{\rm eff,SED} \sim \mathcal{N}(T_{\rm eff}, 0.025T_{\rm eff}),$ (6a)

 $R_{\star,\text{SED}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2R_{\star}),$ (6b)

 $(T_{\text{eff,SED}}, R_{\star,\text{SED}}, \varpi) \mapsto F_{\text{bol,SED}},$ (6c)

 $(T_{\rm eff}, R_{\star}, \varpi) \mapsto F_{\rm bol},\tag{6d}$

$$F_{\text{bol,SED}} \propto \mathcal{N}(F_{\text{bol}}, 0.02F_{\text{bol}}),$$
 (6e)

where ϖ is the parallax and the relation \propto indicates likelihood. Converting the $F_{bol,SED}$ to apparent bolometric magnitude and subtracting the BC gave the predicted broadband photometric magnitudes that were compared to observations.

3.3.4 Sampling the posterior distribution

We ran PYMC's HMC No-U-Turn Sampler (NUTS; Hoffman & Gelman 2014) for 4096 tuning steps and 4096 draws on 32 independent chains. The resulting samples converged well, with the convergence statistic of Gelman & Rubin (1992) $\hat{R} \approx 1$ and effective sample sizes ranging from 8300 to 95 000 across all parameters and chains as calculated by the ARVIZ Python package (Kumar et al. 2019). The median and the middle 68 per cent credible interval of the posterior distribution, as well as the prior distribution of each fitted parameter, are reported in Tables 10-12.

3.4 Alternative RV model with additional planets

To explore alternative interpretations for the RV residuals not explained by the two transiting planet candidates, we modelled their variations as additional non-transiting planets in the system. We ran two RV-only models: a three-planet model with the third planet around a 90-d orbital period, and a four-planet model with an additional fourth planet around a 17-d period. These periods corresponded to the next two most significant peaks in the Lomb-Scargle periodogram of the RVs after the signal due to the hot saturn at 9.13 d was removed. For these RV-only models, the periods and times of conjunction of the two inner planets were drawn from Gaussian prior distributions with means and standard deviations corresponding to those of the posterior of the joint model in Section 3.3. The eccentricity e and argument of periapsis ω of the 9.13-d hot saturn were free parameters, with a uniform prior in the unit disc of $(\sqrt{e} \cos \omega, \sqrt{e} \sin \omega)$, but the other three planets' orbits were fixed to be circular.

The resulting median-posterior four-planet RV model is presented in Fig. 9. As measured by the four-planet model, the proposed two outer planets have periods $17.29^{+0.09}_{-0.12}$ d and $90.7^{+1.6}_{-1.5}$ d and RV semiamplitudes $(5.3 \pm 1.1) \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $(15.4 \pm 1.2) \text{ m s}^{-1}$, corresponding to minimum masses $(M_p \sin i)$ (26.9 ± 4.8) M $_{\oplus}$ and $114.1^{+10.2}_{-9.8}$ M $_{\oplus}$. We do not show the three-planet model here because it has significantly higher instrumental jitters compared to the fourplanet model. For CHIRON, FEROS, and HARPS, respectively, the three-planet model has jitters $4.2^{+3.6}_{-2.8}$, $14.6^{+4.4}_{-3.3}$, and $5.93^{+0.94}_{-0.79}$ m s⁻¹, while the four-planet model has $4.0^{+3.4}_{-2.7}$, $12.3^{+3.9}_{-3.0}$, and $3.60^{+0.80}_{-0.69}$ m s⁻¹.

Nevertheless, the evidence for the two additional signals in our RV data is not conclusive (Section 3.2). The longest contiguous RV time baseline covers just a little more than one period of the 90-d planet (from approximately BJD_{TDB} 2459189 to 2459298), so it is difficult to assess if the signal of the proposed 90-d planet is truly periodic. Moreover, due to the sparsity of data, we cannot rule out that non-transiting planets of a different combination of orbital periods are the true sources of the 90 and 17-d signals. We conclude that our present RV data is insufficient for confidently claiming the detection of these two additional planets in the RVs.

Thus, in the absence of overwhelming evidence for the two additional RV-only planets, we chose to adopt planetary and stellar parameters from the joint model with GP. Compared to the four-planet RV-only model, the two-planet GP-based joint model prefers a slightly lower mass for the 3.1-d mini-neptune by about 23 per cent (1.3σ) . However, this difference would not change the qualitative characterization of the inner planet as a mini-neptune that we discuss in Section 5.1.

4 CONFIRMATION OF PLANETARY CANDIDATES

4.1 Characteristics of TOI-2000 c

TOI-2000 c is a hot saturn with a radius of $8.14^{+0.31}_{-0.30} R_{\oplus}$ and a mass of $81.7^{+4.7}_{-4.6} M_{\oplus}$ in a $9.1270550^{+0.0000073}_{-0.0000072}$ -d orbit. We detected an eccentricity of $0.063^{+0.023}_{-0.022}$, and the posterior distribution slightly favours a non-zero eccentricity at the $2-3\sigma$ level. The mass and eccentricity were measured from a combination of 41 HARPS observations, 14 FEROS observations, and 15 CHIRON observations. Each RV instrument independently detected a strong signal that was consistent with a companion of planetary mass at the



Figure 9. Alternative four-planet model of the RV measurements of TOI-2000. The shape and colour of each mark indicate which spectrograph it corresponds to, and its error bar is the quadrature sum of uncertainty and an instrumental jitter term. Solid purple lines represent the median-posterior RV model. Top: The median-posterior RV model and the residuals of the RV measurements with respect to the model. Middle: Phase-folded RV variations due to planets b and c only. Bottom: Phase-folded RV variations due to the hypothetical non-transiting planets only.

period corresponding to the transit signal. In addition, groundbased observations showed that the transit signal of TOI-2000 c was on target and consistent with the depth measured by *TESS*. The Gemini/Zorro speckle imaging did not reveal any nearby stellar companions down to $\Delta mag = 5$ at an angular separation more than 0'.1 (projected separation of 17.3 au) away from the star. Therefore, we confirm that TOI-2000 c is a planet with high confidence.

4.2 Characteristics of TOI-2000 b

TOI-2000 b is a mini-neptune with a radius of $2.70 \pm 0.15 R_{\oplus}$ and a mass of $11.0 \pm 2.4 M_{\oplus}$ in a $3.098330^{+0.000019}_{-0.000019}$ -d orbit, which corresponds to an RV semiamplitude of $4.59^{+1.00}_{-0.99}$ m s⁻¹. In an RV-and-GP-only model that does *not* require the RV semiamplitude to be strictly positive, the 4σ lower limit is 0.39 m s^{-1} . This simplified model uses Gaussian priors corresponding to the joint model posterior values in Table 11 for the planets' orbital period and time of conjunction and constrains the undamped period of the GP kernel to > 15 d, more than either planet's period. This result is independent of the choice of either the SHO or the Matérn-3/2 kernel for GP.

Ordinarily, an independent RV detection suffices to confirm transiting planet candidates. In the case of TOI-2000 b, however, because of its relatively small transit depth (\approx 470 ppm) and low measured RV semiamplitude (4.59^{+1.00}_{-0.99} m s⁻¹), we apply extra scrutiny to possible false positive scenarios.

4.3 False-positive analysis of TOI-2000 b

There are two main classes of false-positive transiting planet candidates: instrumental artefacts and astrophysical signals that mimic transiting planets. We can rule out the possibility that TOI-2000 b is due to an instrumental artefact because the transit detection is robust across different observational campaigns and data reduction software. Transits occurred periodically and at a consistent depth during both the first and third years of TESS observations at 30-min or 20-s cadences. Both the QLP and the SPOC pipeline independently detected the transits of TOI-2000 b as a threshold-crossing event during their multiyear transit planet searches. Using an approach independent of the standard SPOC pipeline and QLP, we also decorrelated the TESS light curves from the spacecraft pointing quaternion time series (Section 2.1.1), thereby removing variations caused by spacecraft pointing jitter, a common source of instrumental systematics. Thus, it is highly unlikely that the transit signal was an instrumental artefact.

Having ruled out instrumental artefacts, there are four possible scenarios for astrophysical false positives. We will eliminate them one by one in the following sections through statistical validation.

4.3.1 Is TOI-2000 an eclipsing binary (EB)?

We consider the scenario that the transit signal of TOI-2000 b is due to a grazing EB. Our RV analysis shows that TOI-2000 b is well below stellar mass if it indeed orbits its host star. In an RV-only model that does not fit for long-term trends with GP and uses Gaussian priors corresponding to the joint model posterior values in Table 11 for the planets' period and time of conjunction, the 5σ upper limit of TOI-2000 b's RV semiamplitude is 13.1 m s^{-1} , corresponding to a mass of 31.4 M_{\oplus} , less than 0.1 M_J . Thus, we can confidently rule out the EB scenario.

4.3.2 Is light from a physically associated companion blended with TOI-2000?

In this scenario, an EB or transiting planetary system that is gravitationally bound to TOI-2000 is the true source of the transit signal of TOI-2000 b. We use the MOLUSC tool from Wood, Mann & Kraus (2021) to rule out potential bound companions with a Monte Carlo method. The MOLUSC tool starts by randomly generating two million companions of stars or brown dwarfs according to physically motivated prior distributions of mass and orbital parameters. The expected observational effect of each companion is then compared with *Gaia* imaging, the scatter of *Gaia* astrometric measurements (parametrized by the renormalized unit weight error, or RUWE, metric), RVs, and the contrast curve from Gemini/Zorro speckle imaging. The surviving companions, which are not ruled out by the observational constraints, are the samples for the posterior distribution. Using the RVs and Gemini South contrast curve, MOLUSC finds that about 23 per cent of generated companions survive regardless of whether the generated companion is restricted to impact parameter <1, and that objects with mass > 0.1 M_☉ are almost completely ruled out at periods < 1000 d, equivalent to orbital separations <2 au. Thus, we can rule out most, but perhaps not all the possible physically associated EBs or transiting planetary systems.

To incorporate information from the shape of the transit light curve, we turn to TRICERATOPS by Giacalone et al. (2021). The TRICERATOPS tool uses the *TESS* light curve and the Gemini/Zorro contrast curve to calculate the marginal likelihoods of various scenarios that could potentially cause the transit-like signal that we attribute to TOI-2000 b. The marginal likelihood of each scenario is then scaled by appropriately chosen priors to produce the Bayesian posterior probability that the transit-like signal is produced by that scenario. Considering all the false positive scenarios featuring an unresolved bound companion,⁹ TRICERATOPS finds the probability to be 0.0073 \pm 0.0002.

4.3.3 Is light from a resolved and unassociated background system blended with TOI-2000?

In this scenario, a chance alignment of the foreground star TOI-2000 with a binary system in the Galactic background caused the signal to be wrongly identified with the foreground. A nearby EB that is no more than 8.3 magnitudes fainter than TOI-2000 is needed to produce the ≈ 470 ppm transit depth. A ground-based photometric observation on UT 2021 February 6 from LCOGT CTIO during the transit window of TOI-2000 b confidently rules out that the transit signal appears on nearly all nearby resolved stars of sufficient brightness, with the sole exception of TIC 845089585 (Δ mag = 8.71 in *TESS* band, 5″.32 separation at a position angle of 3°.96). Nevertheless, TRICERATOPS rules out that TIC 845089585 was the source of the signal. The nearby false-positive probability (FPP)¹⁰ arising from TIC 845089585 is (3.02 ± 0.06) × 10⁻¹², virtually zero.

There is also the possibility that the transit signal of TOI-2000 b was contaminated by EBs falling on the same *TESS* CCD as TOI-2000 but outside of its immediate vicinity. While this type of contamination was common during the *Kepler* mission (e.g. due to crosstalk or charge transfer inefficiency; see Coughlin et al. 2014), it is unlikely to apply to *TESS* observations of TOI-2000. Across multiple *TESS* sectors, the field of view rotated relative to the orientation of the CCDs, so any contaminating source would not be able to affect TOI-2000 consistently. Furthermore, the transit depth is consistent between photometry using a 1-pixel aperture versus larger apertures, providing strong evidence that the signal was indeed localized on the CCD. For these reasons, we only consider contaminating sources in the immediate vicinity of TOI-2000 for the false-positive analysis in this section.

⁹Using the shorthand of Giacalone et al. (2021), the scenarios considered are PEB, PEBx2P, STP, SEB, and SEBx2P.

¹⁰The NFPP includes scenarios NTP, NEB, and NEBx2P in the parlance of Giacalone et al. (2021).

The analysis above rules out on-target EBs, bound companions, and nearby EBs but not unresolved background EBs arising from chance alignment. As the proper motion of TOI-2000 is too small for archival Digitized Sky Survey images to reveal the background under its current position, we turn to TRICERATOPS once more to quantify how likely the remaining scenarios are. To generate a population of simulated unresolved background stars in a 0.1 deg² region of the sky, TRICERATOPS uses the TRILEGAL Galactic model (Girardi et al. 2005) and considers all simulated stars with *TESS* magnitudes fainter than that of the target star and brighter than 21 (Giacalone et al. 2021). For false-positive scenarios involving these simulated background stars,¹¹ TRICERATOPS finds a probability of 0.0020 ± 0.0001 .

4.3.5 TOI-2000 b is a confirmed planet

Altogether, TRICERATOPS gives an FPP of 0.0094 \pm 0.0002. Because the inner planet has been detected by the *TESS* SPOC pipeline, this FPP can be further divided by the multiplicity boost of \approx 20 calculated using the TOI catalogue from the *TESS* prime mission (Guerrero et al. 2021), which puts the final FPP (\approx 0.0005) at well below any reasonable threshold for validated planets (e.g. < 0.015; Giacalone et al. 2021). This highly confident statistical validation, in addition to the > 4 σ RV detection, is overwhelming evidence in favour of a small inner planet orbiting TOI-2000.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Diversity among inner companions to transiting gas giants

The TOI-2000 system hosts the smallest transiting hot gas giant planet (P < 10 d, $M > 60 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$) known to have an inner companion. The hot gas giant TOI-2000 c has mass and radius similar to those of Saturn (Section 4.1) and has roughly the same mean density as WASP-132 b (0.84 g cm⁻³; Hellier et al. 2017), in contrast to the larger WASP-47 b, Kepler-730 b, and TOI-1130 c,¹² which all have radii $\approx 1.1 \text{ R}_J$. The companion TOI-2000 b is a mini-neptune (Section 4.3) whose size sits between the three super-earth-sized companions (Kepler-730 c, WASP-47 e, and WASP-132 c) and the Neptune-sized TOI-1130 b.

The mean density of TOI-2000 b stands out among the handful of gas giant inner companions that have measured masses. Among the 23 confirmed or candidate transiting planetary systems that contain small planets ($< 4 R_{\oplus}$) orbiting interior to gas giants of any period (Fig. 10), only six small planets other than TOI-2000 b have measured mass (Fig. 11). The densities of three of these planets, WASP-47 e, KOI-94 b, and WASP-84 c, are consistent with them being exposed rocky cores. The other three planets, Kepler-30 b, WASP-47 d, and TOI-1130 b, have masses and radii comparable to those of Neptune and Uranus. While TOI-2000 b is not dense enough to be an exposed rocky core, its atmospheric mass fraction is likely considerably smaller than those of the three Neptune-sized planets, further demonstrating that these rare inner companions to giant planets are just as diverse in density as other small planets.

The qualitative results in this subsection would not change if we had adopted the alternative four-planet model (Section 3.4 and Fig. 9)



Figure 10. Transiting planetary systems hosting small planets orbiting interior to giant planets (P < 100 d). Non-transiting planets in these systems are omitted. The leftmost circle in each row represent the host star, with the mark's linear size proportional to the stellar radius and fill colour indicating the effective temperature (from TIC 8.1; Stassun et al. 2019). The solid circles represent the transiting planets in the system, with their linear sizes proportional to planetary radii and fill colours indicating whether the planet is a giant planet (orange, P < 10 d; blue, $P \ge 10 \text{ d}$) or a small planet (grey, $R < 6 \text{ R}_{\oplus}$). The relative mark sizes among either the stars or the planets are to scale, but not between a star and its planets. The slightly translucent marks are planet candidates. Systems with an asterisk appended to their names contain planet candidates. The systems are sorted in ascending order of the period of the largest planet from top to bottom. Table A1 contains the numbers and references underlying this figure.

¹¹The scenarios here are DEB, DEBx2P, BTP, BEB, and BEBx2P.

¹²The true radius of TOI-1130 c is uncertain because its transits are grazing.



Figure 11. Mass–radius relationship of small exoplanets ($< 4 R_{\oplus}$). Only planets with mass determination better than 33 per cent have been included. The values used are the 'default parameter set' of the Planetary Systems table from the NASA Exoplanet Archive (Akeson et al. 2013; NASA Exoplanet Archive 2022, accessed on 2022 August 19). The mini-Neptune TOI-2000 b, together with six small planets WASP-47 d and e (Bryant & Bayliss 2022), TOI-1130 b (Korth et al. 2023), WASP-84 c (Maciejewski et al. 2023), Kepler-30 b (Sanchis-Ojeda et al. 2012), and KOI-94 b (also known as Kepler-89; Weiss et al. 2013), which are also found in systems with giant planets, are highlighted, with error bars representing quoted uncertainties. The single letters denote the Solar System planets Venus, Earth, Uranus, and Neptune. Three theoretical mass–radius curves by Zeng et al. (2019, available online at https://lweb.cfa.harvard.edu/~lzeng/planetmodels.html) are plotted for reference: solid brown for an Earth-like rocky core (32.5 per cent Fe and 67.5 per cent MgSiO₃), dotted-dash blue for an 100 per cent water world at 1000 K, and dotted teal for a planet with 1 per cent hydrogen envelope and 99 per cent Earth-like rocky core at 1000 K.

instead of the two planets plus GP model (Fig. 2) for the RVs. Respectively for TOI-2000 b and TOI-2000 c, the four-planet model gives RV semiamplitudes $(5.9 \pm 1.0) \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ and $(22.8 \pm 1.0) \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$, corresponding to planet masses $14.3^{+2.6}_{-2.5} \,\mathrm{M_{\oplus}}$ and $78.3^{+4.7}_{-4.6} \,\mathrm{M_{\oplus}}$. Compared to these values, the posterior values from the joint model (Section 3.3) is smaller by 1.3σ for the mass of TOI-2000 b and larger by 0.7σ for the mass of TOI-2000 c. Regardless of whether this difference is statistically significant for TOI-2000 b, it is far short of what is needed to make TOI-2000 b a rocky core instead. The alternative location of TOI-2000 b on the mass–radius diagram is still intermediate between the rocky and the Neptune-sized planets.

5.2 Expected transit timing variations

We expect TTVs to be present in the *TESS* light curves of TOI-2000, as the periods of TOI-2000 c and TOI-2000 b are within 1.8 per cent of an exact 3:1 ratio and the orbit of TOI-2000 c is probably slightly eccentric (Section 4.1). Drawing from an ensemble of orbital

parameters and planetary masses, we estimate the peak-to-peak TTV amplitude of TOI-2000 b to be 3–30 min with a superperiod \approx 168 d using TTVFAST (Deck et al. 2014). The eccentricity vector and mass of TOI-2000 c and the mass of TOI-2000 b are drawn from the joint modelling posterior distribution (Section 3.3), and the eccentricity of TOI-2000 b is drawn from a Rayleigh distribution with a width of 0.06. The TTV amplitude TOI-2000 c is similarly estimated to be < 5 min. This wide range of expected TTV amplitudes is attributable to uncertainties in the eccentricities and TTV phases of the two planets. However, the extremely shallow transit depth (\approx 470 ppm) of TOI-2000 b makes it challenging to directly measure TTV from its individual transits in the *TESS* data.¹³

In order to compare the simulated TTV effect to observation despite lacking a firm TTV detection, we instead measure the difference in the average time of conjunction T_c for each year of *TESS* observations using the same set of TTVFAST calculations, similar to

¹³Unfortunately, TOI-2000 is not in the CHEOPS viewing zone.

the method employed by Mills et al. (2016). The resulting difference of 0.5–7 min is comparable to the joint model's uncertainty of 3 min in the T_c of TOI-2000 b. While we are unable to prove or rule out the existence of TTVs in current *TESS* data, *TESS* will observe TOI-2000 again during its Extended Mission 2 in sectors 63–65 (UT 2023 March 10–June 2), which will undoubtedly improve the S/N for TTV detection.

5.3 Opportunities for atmospheric characterization

The TOI-2000 system currently provides the second best opportunity for measuring the atmospheric compositions of a hot gas giant and small inner planet together, which potentially provide us with insight into where they formed within their protoplanetary disc. The JWST transmission spectrum metric (TSM; Kempton et al. 2018) for TOI-2000 b and c are 29 and 68, respectively. Although TOI-2000 is brighter in the V band, its TSMs trail those of the TOI-1130 system because that system has a smaller host star brighter in the J band and bigger planets. The host star TOI-1130 is a K dwarf rather than a G dwarf like TOI-2000, and its inner planet TOI-1130 b is approximately 40 per cent larger than TOI-2000 b. However, that TOI-1130b shows TTV with peak-to-peak amplitude of at least 2 h (Korth et al. 2023) means that an accurate ephemeris based on N-body numerical integration must be available, unlike TOI-2000 b, which does not conclusively show TTV at the present level of photometric precision (Section 5.2).

Measuring the atmospheric metallicity of both planets could help us understand their structure and origin. Using CEPAM (Guillot & Morel 1995; Guillot et al. 2006) and a non-grey atmosphere (Parmentier et al. 2015), we model the evolution of both planets in the system assuming a simple structure consisting of a central rocky core surrounded by a H-He envelope of Solar composition. Under this model, the core mass of TOI-2000 c is between 36 and 46 M_{\oplus} , about twice as large as Saturn's total mass of heavy elements (16.5 to $21 M_{\oplus}$; Mankovich & Fuller 2021). The presumed H–He envelope of TOI-2000 b must be smaller than 0.1 M_{\oplus} (1 per cent of the mass of the planet), in line with Fig. 11, which indicates that the radius of TOI-2000 b is up to 10 per cent smaller than the radius predicted by the theoretical mass-radius curve of Zeng et al. (2019) for planets with 1 per cent H₂ envelope. Overall, this analysis implies that both planets likely contain a proportion of heavy elements that is significantly larger than that of planets with similar mass in the Solar system.

By comparing the atmospheric abundances of two planets around the same host star, we can infer whether they formed at disc locations with similar compositions. The atmospheres of short-period planets forming in situ should contain more refractory metals (Fe, Cr, Ti, VO, Na, K, and P), which are more abundant closer to the host star, than volatile elements (O, C, and N). Even though it is unlikely that measured atmospheric abundances alone could pinpoint where a planet formed in its disc, such as with the method suggested by Öberg, Murray-Clay & Bergin (2011), we can still distinguish scenarios where the gas giant and the small planet formed together far from the host star (i.e. beyond the snow line) from others where the gas giant formed first and then swept material near its orbital resonances on its migration path to form the small planet. The former scenario would result in two planets of similar atmospheric composition, whereas the latter scenario would result in the inner planet having a higher ratio of volatile to refractory elements than the outer planet.

5.4 Interpolating MIST tracks under HMC

In addition to the scientific results, this paper also introduces code that efficiently samples the posterior of planetary and stellar parameters with HMC while incorporating constraints from the MIST stellar evolutionary tracks. Unlike traditional Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods, HMC also uses the gradient of the model in proposing chain step movements. By including information from the gradient, a technique inspired by Hamiltonian mechanics, successive samples under HMC are much less correlated, thus achieving a larger effective sample size with the same number of sampler steps. Sampling the joint model with 4096 tuning steps and 4096 sampling steps across 32 parallel chains took 36.5 h on a workstation equipped with an AMD Ryzen 5950X 16-core (32-thread) CPU. A preliminary run using fewer steps and binning the 20-s TESS light curves to 2 min could be completed in just a few hours. In contrast, a traditional MCMC sampler would require many times more sampling steps and weeks of computation time to achieve a similar effective sample size and level of convergence.

However, because the gradient of the model can only be generated practically through automatic differentiation, such as via the AESARA backend of PYMC, it can be challenging to incorporate existing code, such as the ISOCHRONES package (Morton 2015), that has not been specially adapted to PYMC. This complication is why no previous paper in the literature that uses the EXOPLANET and PYMC packages for exoplanet parameter fitting has incorporated the constraint from MIST tracks. By writing custom code to interpolate MIST tracks in a way compatible with PYMC, we are able to significantly speed up the run time of posterior sampling, which in turn allowed us to rapidly iterate and improve on the design of our joint model.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The TOI-2000 system is the latest example of rare planetary systems that host a hot gas giant with an inner companion. By jointly modelling TESS and ground-based transit light curves, precise RVs from CHIRON, FEROS, and HARPS, and broad-band photometric magnitudes, we have confirmed both TOI-2000 b and TOI-2000 c by direct mass measurement. The inner TOI-2000 b is a mini-neptune with a mass of 11.0 \pm 2.4 M_\oplus and a radius of 2.70 \pm 0.15 R_\oplus on a 3.09833-d orbit, whereas the outer TOI-2000 c is a hot Saturn with a mass of $0.257^{+0.015}_{-0.014}$ M_J and a radius of $0.727^{+0.028}_{-0.027}$ R_J on a 9.127055-d orbit. Radial velocity residuals hint at additional nontransiting planets in the system with possible orbital periods of 17.3 and 90 d, but more observations are needed for a conclusive detection. Because TOI-2000 b survived to the present day around a mature main-sequence G dwarf, it is unlikely that TOI-2000 c formed via HEM. Although no theory presently accounts for the formation of all hot gas giants, finding more companions like TOI-2000 b and calculating their intrinsic occurrence rate will help us understand quantitatively the relative frequency between HEM and other pathways, such as disc migration and in situ formation. Future atmospheric characterization of both planets can help answer whether they formed together far from their host star or TOI-2000 b formed out of materials swept by TOI-2000 c along its migration path.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous reviewer for detailed feedback that improved this paper. We also thank Jason D. Eastman for in-depth discussions of the best way to account for systematic uncertainties in the MIST evolutionary tracks and bolometric correction grid in the joint model.

Funding for the *TESS* mission is provided by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA) Science Mission Directorate. We acknowledge the use of public *TESS* data from pipelines at the *TESS* Science Office and at the *TESS* Science Processing Operations Center. This paper includes data collected by the *TESS* mission that are publicly available from MAST. This research has made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program (ExoFOP) website and the NASA Exoplanet Archive, which are operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with NASA under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. Resources supporting this work were provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center for the production of the SPOC data products.

Some of the observations in the paper made use of the High-Resolution Imaging instrument Zorro obtained under Gemini LLP Proposal Number: GN/S-2021A-LP-105. Zorro was funded by the NASA Exoplanet Exploration Program and built at the NASA Ames Research Center by Steve B. Howell, Nic Scott, Elliott P. Horch, and Emmett Quigley. Zorro was mounted on the Gemini South telescope of the international Gemini Observatory, a programme of NSF's OIR Lab, which is managed by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation (NSF) on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), National Research Council (Canada), Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID, Chile), Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (Argentina), Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovações e Comunicações (Brazil), and Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute (Republic of Korea).

This work makes use of observations from the LCOGT network. Part of the LCOGT telescope time was granted by NOIRLab through the Mid-Scale Innovations Program (MSIP). MSIP is funded by NSF.

This work makes use of observations from the ASTEP telescope. ASTEP benefited from the support of the French and Italian polar agencies Institut polaire français Paul-Émile Victor (IPEV) and the Programma Nazionale di Ricerche in Antartide (PNRA) in the framework of the Concordia station programme.

This publication makes use of The Data & Analysis Center for Exoplanets (DACE), which is a facility based at the University of Geneva (CH) dedicated to extrasolar planets data visualization, exchange and analysis. DACE is a platform of the Swiss National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR) PlanetS, federating the Swiss expertise in Exoplanet research. The DACE platform is available at https://dace.unige.ch.

CXH and GZ acknowledge the support of the Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (ARC DECRA) programmes DE200101840 and DE210101893, respectively.

DJA is supported by UK Research & Innovation (UKRI) through the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) (ST/R00384X/1) and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) (EP/X027562/1).

RB, AJ, and MH acknowledge support from ANID Millennium Science Initiative ICN12_009. AJ acknowledges additional support from FONDECYT project 1210718. RB acknowledges support from FONDECYT Project 11200751.

We acknowledge the support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through national funds and from FEDER through COMPETE2020 by the following grants: UIDB/04434/2020 & UIDP/04434/2020. EDM acknowledges the support from FCT

through Stimulus FCT contract 2021.01294.CEECIND. SGS acknowledges the support from FCT through Investigador FCT contract nr.CEECIND/00826/2018 and POPH/FSE (EC). VA acknowledges the support from FCT through the 2022.06962.PTDC grant.

TG and SH acknowledge support from the Programme National de Planétologie.

TT acknowledges support by the DFG Research Unit FOR 2544 'Blue Planets around Red Stars' project no. KU 3625/2-1. TT further acknowledges support by the Bulgarian National Science Fund (BNSF) programme 'VIHREN-2021' project no. KP-06-DV/5.

This paper makes use of ASTROIMAGEJ (Collins et al. 2017) for photometric reduction. This paper makes use of the Python packages ARVIZ (Kumar et al. 2019), ASTROPY (Astropy Collaboration 2013, 2018), CELERITE2 (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017; Foreman-Mackey 2018), EXOPLANET (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2021a, b), MATPLOTLIB (Hunter 2007; Caswell et al. 2022), NUMPY (Harris et al. 2020), PANDAS (McKinney 2010; Reback et al. 2022), SCIPY (Virtanen et al. 2020), and TTVFAST (Deck et al. 2014), as well as their dependencies.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All photometric and RV measurements used in our joint model analysis have been included in this paper's tables, which are available in machine-readable format as online Supplementary Materials. The *TESS* data products from which we derived our light curves are publicly available online from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). Additional information of the ground-based observations used in this paper is available from ExoFOP-TESS. The MCMC samples of the posterior distribution of the joint model's parameters are available from Zenodo (Sha et al. 2023) in the NetCDF/HDF5 format, which can be most conveniently read using the ARVIZ Python package. The Python JUPYTER notebooks that performed the MCMC fitting and generated the figures, together with a copy of the data tables in this paper, are available in a GitHub repository, which is archived on Zenodo (Sha 2023).

REFERENCES

- Adibekyan V. Z., Sousa S. G., Santos N. C., Delgado Mena E., González Hernández J. I., Israelian G., Mayor M., Khachatryan G., 2012, A&A, 545, A32
- Adibekyan V. et al., 2015, A&A, 583, A94
- Akeson R. L. et al., 2013, PASP, 125, 989
- Astropy Collaboration, 2013, A&A, 558, A33
- Astropy Collaboration, 2018, AJ, 156, 123
- Baranne A. et al., 1996, A&AS, 119, 373
- Baruteau C. et al., 2014, in Beuther H., Klessen R. S., Dullemond C. P., Henning T., eds, Protostars and Planets VI. University of Arizona Press, Tuscon, p. 667
- Batygin K., Bodenheimer P. H., Laughlin G. P., 2016, ApJ, 829, 114
- Becker J. C., Vanderburg A., Adams F. C., Rappaport S. A., Schwengeler H. M., 2015, ApJ, 812, L18
- Bertran de Lis S., Delgado Mena E., Adibekyan V. Z., Santos N. C., Sousa S. G., 2015, A&A, 576, A89
- Boley A. C., Granados Contreras A. P., Gladman B., 2016, ApJ, 817, L17
- Brahm R., Jordán A., Espinoza N., 2017, PASP, 129, 034002
- Brasseur C. E., Phillip C., Fleming S. W., Mullally S. E., White R. L., 2019, Astrocut: Tools for Creating Cutouts of TESS Images, Astrophysical Source Library record ascl:1905.007
- Brown T. M. et al., 2013, PASP, 125, 1031
- Bruno G. et al., 2015, A&A, 573, A124
- Bryant E. M., Bayliss D., 2022, AJ, 163, 197
- Cañas C. I. et al., 2019, ApJ, 870, L17

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/524/1/113/7191273 by University of Southern Queensland user on 28 July 2023

- Caswell T. A. et al., 2022, matplotlib/matplotlib: Rel: v3.6.2, Zenodo, record zenodo.7275322
- Choi J., Dotter A., Conroy C., Cantiello M., Paxton B., Johnson B. D., 2016, ApJ, 823, 102
- Collins K. A., Kielkopf J. F., Stassun K. G., Hessman F. V., 2017, AJ, 153, 77
- Costa Silva A. R., Delgado Mena E., Tsantaki M., 2020, A&A, 634, A136
- Coughlin J. L. et al., 2014, AJ, 147, 119
- Dawson R. I., Johnson J. A., 2018, ARA&A, 56, 175
- Dawson R. I. et al., 2021, AJ, 161, 161
- Deck K. M., Agol E., Holman M. J., Nesvorný D., 2014, ApJ, 787, 132
- Delgado Mena E., Tsantaki M., Adibekyan V. Z., Sousa S. G., Santos N. C., González Hernández J. I., Israelian G., 2017, A&A, 606, A94
- Delgado Mena E. et al., 2019, A&A, 624, A78
- Delgado Mena E., Adibekyan V., Santos N. C., Tsantaki M., González Hernández J. I., Sousa S. G., Bertrán de Lis S., 2021, A&A, 655, A99
- Dotter A., 2016, ApJS, 222, 8
- Duane S., Kennedy A. D., Pendleton B. J., Roweth D., 1987, Phys. Lett. B, 195, 216
- Eastman J. D. et al., 2019, preprint (arXiv:1907.09480)
- Eastman J. D., Diamond-Lowe H., Tayar J., 2022, preprint (arXiv:2209.14301)
- Espinoza N., Jordán A., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 1879
- Feinstein A. D., David T. J., Montet B. T., Foreman-Mackey D., Livingston J. H., Mann A. W., 2022, ApJ, 925, L2
- Foreman-Mackey D., 2018, Res. Notes Am. Astron. Soc., 2, 31
- Foreman-Mackey D., Agol E., Ambikasaran S., Angus R., 2017, AJ, 154, 220
- Foreman-Mackey D. et al., 2021a, Exoplanet: Gradient-based Probabilistic Inference for Exoplanet Data & Other Astronomical Time Series, Zenodo, record zenodo.7191939
- Foreman-Mackey D. et al., 2021b, J. Open Source Softw., 6, 3285
- Gaia Collaboration, 2021, A&A, 649, A1
- Gelman A., Rubin D. B., 1992, Stat. Sci., 7, 457
- Giacalone S. et al., 2021, AJ, 161, 24
- Gibson N. P. et al., 2009, ApJ, 700, 1078
- Gill S. et al., 2020, ApJ, 898, L11
- Girardi L., Groenewegen M. A. T., Hatziminaoglou E., da Costa L., 2005, A&A, 436, 895
- Guerrero N. M. et al., 2021, ApJS, 254, 39
- Guillot T., Morel P., 1995, A&AS, 109, 109
- Guillot T., Santos N. C., Pont F., Iro N., Melo C., Ribas I., 2006, A&A, 453, L21
- Guillot T. et al., 2015, Astron. Nachr., 336, 638
- Harris C. R. et al., 2020, Nature, 585, 357
- Hellier C. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 739
- Hellier C. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 465, 3693
- Hjorth M. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 3522
- Hobson M. J. et al., 2021, AJ, 161, 235
- Hoffman M. D., Gelman A., 2014, J. Mach. Learn. Res., 15, 1593 (arXiv:1111.4246)
- Hord B. J. et al., 2021, AJ, 162, 263
- Hord B. J. et al., 2022, AJ, 164, 13
- Howell S. B., Everett M. E., Sherry W., Horch E., Ciardi D. R., 2011, AJ, 142, 19
- Huang C., Wu Y., Triaud A. H. M. J., 2016, ApJ, 825, 98
- Huang C. X. et al., 2020a, Res. Notes Am. Astron. Soc., 4, 204
- Huang C. X. et al., 2020b, Res. Notes Am. Astron. Soc., 4, 206
- Huang C. X. et al., 2020c, ApJ, 892, L7
- Hunter J. D., 2007, Comput. Sci. Eng., 9, 90
- Ivezić Ž., Connolly A. J., VanderPlas J. T., Gray A., 2020, Statistics, Data Mining, and Machine Learning in Astronomy: A Practical Python Guide for the Analysis of Survey Data, Updated Edition. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton
- Jenkins J. M., 2002, ApJ, 575, 493
- Jenkins J. M. et al., 2010, in Radziwill N. M., Bridger A., eds, Proc. SPIE Vol. 7740, Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 77400D

- Jenkins J. M. et al., 2016, in Chiozzi G., Guzman J. C., eds, Proc. SPIE Vol. 9913, Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy IV. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 99133E
- Jenkins J. M., Tenenbaum P., Seader S., Burke C. J., McCauliff S. D., Smith J. C., Twicken J. D., Chandrasekaran H., 2020, Kepler Data Processing Handbook: Transiting Planet Search, Kepler Science Document KSCI-19081-003. NASA
- Kaufer A., Stahl O., Tubbesing S., Nørregaard P., Avila G., Francois P., Pasquini L., Pizzella A., 1999, Messenger, 95, 8
- Kempton E. M. R. et al., 2018, PASP, 130, 114401
- Kipping D. M., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 2152
- Kochanek C. S. et al., 2017, PASP, 129, 104502
- Korth J. et al., 2023, A&A, in press, preprint (arXiv:2305.15565)
- Kovács G., Zucker S., Mazeh T., 2002, A&A, 391, 369
- Kumar R., Carroll C., Hartikainen A., Martin O., 2019, J. Open Source Softw., 4, 1143
- Kurucz R. L., 1993, SYNTHE Spectrum Synthesis Programs and Line Data. Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, MA
- Latham D. W. et al., 2011, ApJ, 732, L24
- Lee E. J., Chiang E., 2016, ApJ, 817, 90
- Lee E. J., Chiang E., Ormel C. W., 2014, ApJ, 797, 95
- Li J., Tenenbaum P., Twicken J. D., Burke C. J., Jenkins J. M., Quintana E. V., Rowe J. F., Seader S. E., 2019, PASP, 131, 024506
- Lin D. N. C., Bodenheimer P., Richardson D. C., 1996, Nature, 380, 606 Lindegren L. et al., 2021, A&A, 649, A4
- Lomb N. R., 1976, Ap&SS, 39, 447
- Maciejewski G., Golonka J., Łoboda W., Ohlert J., Fernandez M., Aceituno F., 2023, MNRAS, preprint (arXiv:2305.09177)
- Mankovich C. R., Fuller J., 2021, Nat. Astron., 5, 1103
- Mantovan G. et al., 2022, MNRAS, 516, 4432
- Mayor M. et al., 2003, Messenger, 114, 20
- McKinney W., 2010, in van der Walt S., Millman J., eds, Proc. 9th Python in Sci. Conf., Austin, Texas, USA, p. 56
- Mékarnia D. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 463, 45
- Miller-Ricci E. et al., 2008a, ApJ, 682, 586
- Miller-Ricci E. et al., 2008b, ApJ, 682, 593
- Millholland S., Laughlin G., 2019, Nat. Astron., 3, 424
- Mills S. M., Fabrycky D. C., Migaszewski C., Ford E. B., Petigura E., Isaacson H., 2016, Nature, 533, 509
- Morton T. D., 2015, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl: 1503.010
- Mustill A. J., Davies M. B., Johansen A., 2015, ApJ, 808, 14
- NASA Exoplanet Archive, 2022, Planetary Systems. Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, Pasadena, http://dx.doi.org/10.26133/NEA12
- Öberg K. I., Murray-Clay R., Bergin E. A., 2011, ApJ, 743, L16
- Paegert M., Stassun K. G., Collins K. A., Pepper J., Torres G., Jenkins J., Twicken J. D., Latham D. W., 2021, preprint (arXiv:2108.04778)
- Paredes L. A., Henry T. J., Quinn S. N., Gies D. R., Hinojosa-Goñi R., James H.-S., Jao W.-C., White R. J., 2021, AJ, 162, 176
- Parmentier V., Guillot T., Fortney J. J., Marley M. S., 2015, A&A, 574, A35 Pepe F., Mayor M., Galland F., Naef D., Queloz D., Santos N. C., Udry S.,
- Burnet M., 2002, A&A, 388, 632
- Poon S. T. S., Nelson R. P., Coleman G. A. L., 2021, MNRAS, 505, 2500
- Quirrenbach A., 2022, Res. Notes Am. Astron. Soc., 6, 56
- Rasio F. A., Ford E. B., 1996, Science, 274, 954
- Raymond S. N., Mandell A. M., Sigurdsson S., 2006, Science, 313, 1413
- Reback J. et al., 2022, pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas 1.5.2
- Ricker G. R. et al., 2015, J. Astron. Tel. Instr. Syst., 1, 014003
- Saad-Olivera X., Nesvorný D., Kipping D. M., Roig F., 2017, AJ, 153, 198
- Salvatier J., Wiecki T. V., Fonnesbeck C., 2016, PeerJ Comp. Sci., 2, e55
- Sanchis-Ojeda R. et al., 2012, Nature, 487, 449
- Santos N. C. et al., 2013, A&A, 556, A150
- Scargle J. D., 1982, ApJ, 263, 835
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Scott N. J. et al., 2021, Front. Astron. Space Sci., 8, 138
- Sha L., 2023, vulpicastor/toi2000-code: Code and data for the TOI-2000 discovery paper. Zenodo, record zenodo.7988268, http://dx.doi.org/10. 5281/zenodo.7988268

- Sha L. et al., 2023, MCMC Samples of the Posterior Distribution from the Paper 'TESS Spots a Mini-neptune Interior to a Hot Saturn in the TOI-2000 System'. Zenodo , record zenodo.7683293, http://dx.doi.org/10.52 81/zenodo.7683293
- Shappee B. J. et al., 2014, ApJ, 788, 48
- Sneden C. A., 1973, PhD thesis, Univ. Texas at Austin
- Sousa S. G., 2014, in Niemczura E., Smalley B., Pych W., eds, GeoPlanet: Earth and Planetary Sciences, Determination of Atmospheric Parameters of B-, A-, F- and G-Type Stars. Springer, Cham, p. 297
- Sousa S. G., Santos N. C., Adibekyan V., Delgado-Mena E., Israelian G., 2015, A&A, 577, A67
- Stassun K. G., Torres G., 2016, AJ, 152, 180
- Stassun K. G., Collins K. A., Gaudi B. S., 2017, AJ, 153, 136
- Stassun K. G., Corsaro E., Pepper J. A., Gaudi B. S., 2018a, AJ, 155, 22
- Stassun K. G. et al., 2018b, AJ, 156, 102
- Stassun K. G. et al., 2019, AJ, 158, 138
- Steffen J. H., Agol E., 2005, MNRAS, 364, L96
- Steffen J. H. et al., 2012, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 109, 7982
- Suárez Mascareño A. et al., 2021, Nat. Astron., 6, 232
- Tayar J., Claytor Z. R., Huber D., van Saders J., 2022, ApJ, 927, 31
- Thompson S. E. et al., 2018, ApJS, 235, 38
- Tingley B. et al., 2014, A&A, 567, A14
- Tokovinin A., 2018, PASP, 130, 035002
- Tokovinin A., Fischer D. A., Bonati M., Giguere M. J., Moore P., Schwab C., Spronck J. F. P., Szymkowiak A., 2013, PASP, 125, 1336
- Tran Q. H. et al., 2022, AJ, 163, 225
- Trifonov T. et al., 2023, AJ, 165, 179
- Twicken J. D. et al., 2018, PASP, 130, 064502
- Vanderburg A. et al., 2016, ApJS, 222, 14
- Vanderburg A. et al., 2017, AJ, 154, 237
- Vanderburg A. et al., 2019, ApJ, 881, L19
- Virtanen P. et al., 2020, Nature Methods, 17, 261
- Weidenschilling S. J., Marzari F., 1996, Nature, 384, 619
- Weiss L. M. et al., 2013, ApJ, 768, 14
- Wood M. L., Mann A. W., Kraus A. L., 2021, AJ, 162, 128
- Wu Y., Murray N., 2003, ApJ, 589, 605
- Zeng L. et al., 2019, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116, 9723
- Zhu W., Dai F., Masuda K., 2018, Res. Notes Am. Astron. Soc., 2, 160

Ziegler C., Tokovinin A., Briceño C., Mang J., Law N., Mann A. W., 2020, AJ, 159, 19

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at MNRAS online.

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

APPENDIX A: MULTIPLANET SYSTEMS WITH TRANSITING HOT OR WARM GAS GIANTS

We collect the numbers and references underlying Fig. 10 in Table A1. We prefer sources that give RV-derived masses, and when there are multiple available for the same system, we prefer the one with the best precision. For certain *Kepler* systems where no single source gives parameters for all planets, we have used values from the *Kepler* Objects of Interest (KOI) table, Data Release 25 (Thompson et al. 2018). The values for *TESS* Objects of Interest (TOI; Guerrero et al. 2021) candidates are from the ExoFOP-TESS website, accessed on 2022 September 26. The 'flags' column indicates whether there is literature reporting RV (R), transit (T), or TTV (V) detection. For the disposition column, planets that only appear in the TOI or KOI catalogues are considered 'candidates'. We consider planets detectable via RV or TTV 'confirmed' (except Kepler-418 b, which was confirmed with multicolor differential photometry), and others statistically 'validated'.

While this paper was under review, Maciejewski et al. (2023) announced the discovery of a rocky super-earth interior to the 8.5-d hot jupiter WASP-84 b. We have updated Figs 10, 11, and Table A1 with their results, as well as minimally modified the main text in Section 5.1 where appropriate.

Table A1. Multiplanet systems with transiting hot or warm gas giants (P < 100 d).

Host star	Planet	Flags	Orbital period (d)	Radius (R_{\oplus})	$\begin{array}{c} Mass \\ (M_\oplus) \end{array}$	Disposition	Reference
WASP-47	WASP-47 e	RT	0.7895933 ± 0.0000044	1.808 ± 0.026	6.77 ± 0.57	Confirmed	Bryant & Bayliss (2022)
WASP-47	WASP-47 b	RTV	$4.15914920 \pm 0.00000060$	12.64 ± 0.15	363.6 ± 7.3	Confirmed	Bryant & Bayliss (2022)
WASP-47	WASP-47 d	RTV	9.030550 ± 0.000080	3.567 ± 0.045	14.2 ± 1.3	Confirmed	Bryant & Bayliss (2022)
WASP-47	WASP-47 c	R	588.8 ± 2.0	_	$\geq 398.9 \pm 9.1^a$	Confirmed	Bryant & Bayliss (2022)
TOI-5143	TOI-5143.02	Т	2.38517 ± 0.00020	2.9 ± 2.9	_	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
TOI-5143	TOI-5143.01	Т	5.20923 ± 0.00040	11.5 ± 1.9	_	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
Kepler-730	Kepler-730 c	Т	2.85188338	1.57 ± 0.13	_	Validated	Cañas et al. (2019)
Kepler-730	Kepler-730 b	Т	6.491682808	$12.33_{-0.56}^{+0.53}$	_	Validated	Cañas et al. (2019)
WASP-132	WASP-132 c	Т	1.0115340 ± 0.0000050	1.85 ± 0.10	< 37.4	Validated	Hord et al. (2022)
WASP-132	WASP-132 b	RT	7.1335140 ± 0.0000040	10.05 ± 0.34	130.3 ± 9.5^b	Confirmed	Hord et al. (2022)
TOI-1130	TOI-1130 b	RTV	4.07445 ± 0.00046	3.56 ± 0.13	19.28 ± 0.97	Confirmed	Korth et al. (2023)
TOI-1130	TOI-1130 c	RTV	8.350231 ± 0.000098	$13.32^{+1.55}_{-1.41}$	325.59 ± 5.59	Confirmed	Korth et al. (2023)
TOI-2494	TOI-2494.02	Т	2.408765 ± 0.000021	2.24 ± 0.21	_	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
TOI-2494	TOI-2494.01	Т	8.376132 ± 0.000012	9.54 ± 0.59	_	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
WASP-84	WASP-84 c	RT	$1.4468849^{+0.0000022}_{-0.0000016}$	1.96 ± 0.12	$15.2^{+4.5}_{-4.2}$	Confirmed	Maciejewski et al. (2023)
WASP-84	WASP-84 b	RT	$8.52349648 \pm 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	10.72 ± 0.27	220 ± 18	Confirmed	Maciejewski et al. (2023)
TOI-2000	TOI-2000 b	RT	$3.098330^{+0.000021}$	2.70 ± 0.15	11.0 ± 2.4	Confirmed	This work
TOI-2000	TOI-2000 c	RT	9.1270550 ^{+0.0000073}	8 14 ^{+0.31}	81 7 ^{+4.7}	Confirmed	This work
TOI-5398	TOI-5398.02	Т	477290 ± 0.000072	$3 30 \pm 0.17$	-4.6	Candidate	Guerrero et al (2021)
TOI-5398	TOI-5398 b	T	10.59092 ± 0.00068	11.12 ± 0.44	_	Validated ^c	Guerrero et al. (2021)
Kenler-487	KOI-191.03	т	0.7086211 ± 0.0000014	$1.200^{+0.120}$	_	Candidate	Thompson et al. (2018)
Kepler-487	Kenler-487 d	т	2.4184068 ± 0.0000025	$225^{+0.23}$	_	Validated	Thompson et al. (2018)
Kepler-487	Kepler-487 b	т	$15,3587678 \pm 0.0000021$	$10.89^{\pm 1.11}$		Validated	Thompson et al. (2018)
Koplar 487	Kepler 487 o	т	15.5567678 ± 0.0000021	$2.07^{+0.21}$	_	Validated	Thompson et al. (2018)
KOL 04d	Kepler-487 C	I DT	38.03208 ± 0.00020	$2.07_{-0.16}$	-	Confirmed	$W_{\text{rise}} \text{ at al} (2012)$
KOI-94	KOI-94 D		5.743208 ± 0.000013	1.71 ± 0.10	10.3 ± 4.0	Confirmed	Weiss et al. (2013)
KOI-94"	KOI-94 C		10.423048 ± 0.000016	4.32 ± 0.41	$15.0_{-15.6}$	Confirmed	Weiss et al. (2013)
KOI-94"	KOI-94 d		22.3429890 ± 0.0000067	11.3 ± 1.1	106 ± 11	Confirmed	Weiss et al. (2013)
KOI-94"	KOI-94 e	KI V	54.32031 ± 0.00012	6.56 ± 0.62	35-28	Confirmed	weiss et al. (2013)
V1298 Tau	V1298 Tau c		8.24892 ± 0.00083	5.16 ± 0.38	< /6.3	Confirmed	Suarez Mascareno et al. (2021)
V1298 Tau	V1298 Tau d		12.4058 ± 0.0018	6.43 ± 0.46	< 98.5	Confirmed	Suarez Mascareno et al. (2021)
V1298 Tau	V1298 Tau b	KI DT	24.1399 ± 0.0015	9.73 ± 0.63	203 ± 60	Confirmed	Suarez Mascareno et al. (2021)
V1298 Tau	V1298 Tau e	KI T	40.2 ± 1.0	8.24 ± 0.81	369 ± 95	Confirmed	Suarez Mascareno et al. (2021)
TOI-1692	TOI-1692.01	I T	17.72888 ± 0.00016	4.25 ± 0.26	-		Guerrero et al. (2021)
TOI-1692	101-1692.02	I	32.20835 ± 0.00017	7.12 ± 0.37	-	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
Kepler-46	Kepler-46 d	1	6.766529 ± 0.000016	$1.970_{-0.120}^{+0.050}$	-	Validated	Thompson et al. (2018)
Kepler-46	Kepler-46 b	TV	$33.6480^{+0.0046}_{-0.0050}$	$9.08_{-0.40}^{+0.05}$	281-109	Confirmed	Saad-Olivera et al. (2017)
Kepler-46	Kepler-46 c		57.325_0.098	- a a+3.0	115.1 ± 5.1	Confirmed	Saad-Olivera et al. (2017)
TOI-216	TOI-216 b	RTV	17.09680 ± 0.00070	8.0+3.0	18.75 ± 0.64	Confirmed	Dawson et al. (2021)
TOI-216	TOI-216 c	RTV	34.55160 ± 0.00030	10.10 ± 0.20	178.0 ± 6.4	Confirmed	Dawson et al. (2021)
NGTS-11	TOI-1847.02	Т	12.76458 ± 0.00014	$7.2^{+4.2}_{-1.6}$	-	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
NGTS-11	NGTS-11 b	RT	35.45533 ± 0.00019	$9.16^{+0.31}_{-0.36}$	109^{+29}_{-23}	Confirmed	Gill et al. (2020)
TOI-1670	TOI-1670 b	Т	$10.98462^{+0.00046}_{-0.00051}$	$2.06^{+0.19}_{-0.15}$	< 41.3	Validated	Tran et al. (2022)
TOI-1670	TOI-1670 c	RT	$40.74976^{+0.00022f}_{-0.00021}$	11.06 ± 0.28	200^{+29}_{-25}	Confirmed	Tran et al. (2022)
TOI-1670	TOI-1670.03	Т	123.0619 ± 0.0016	3.10 ± 0.61	-	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
K2-290	K2-290 b	Т	$9.21165^{+0.00033}_{-0.00034}$	3.06 ± 0.16	< 21.1	Confirmed	Hjorth et al. (2019)
K2-290	K2-290 c	RT	$48.36685\substack{+0.00041\\-0.00040}$	11.28 ± 0.56	246 ± 15	Confirmed	Hjorth et al. (2019)
TOI-2525	TOI-2525 b	RTV	$23.288^{+0.001}_{-0.002}$	9.86 ± 0.22	$28.0^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$	Confirmed	Trifonov et al. (2023)
TOI-2525	TOI-2525 c	RTV	49.260 ± 0.001	10.98 ± 0.22	225 ± 11	Confirmed	Trifonov et al. (2023)
Kepler-117	Kepler-117 b	TV	18.7959228 ± 0.0000075	8.06 ± 0.27	30 ± 10	Confirmed	Bruno et al. (2015)
Kepler-117	Kepler-117 c	RTV	50.790391 ± 0.000014	12.34 ± 0.39	585 ± 57	Confirmed	Bruno et al. (2015)
Kepler-148	Kepler-148 b	Т	1.7293671 ± 0.0000026	$1.820\substack{+0.150\\-0.090}$	_	Validated	Thompson et al. (2018)
Kepler-148	Kepler-148 c	Т	4.1800481 ± 0.0000027	$3.44_{-0.17}^{+0.27}$	-	Validated	Thompson et al. (2018)

1136 L. Sha et al.

Table A1 – continued

Host star	Planet	Flags	Orbital period (d)	Radius (R_{\oplus})	$\begin{array}{c} Mass \\ (M_\oplus) \end{array}$	Disposition	Reference
Kepler-148	Kepler-148 d	Т	51.846890 ± 0.000029	$8.73_{-0.42}^{+0.70}$	-	Validated	Thompson et al. (2018)
TOI-201	TOI-201.02	Т	5.849229 ± 0.000022	1.64 ± 0.14	< 37.5 ^g	Candidate	Guerrero et al. (2021)
TOI-201	TOI-201 b	RT	52.97800 ± 0.00040	$11.30\substack{+0.13\\-0.17}$	$133.5^{+15.9}_{-9.5}$	Confirmed	Hobson et al. (2021)
Kepler-30	Kepler-30 b	TV	29.3343 ± 0.0081	3.90 ± 0.20	11.3 ± 1.4	Confirmed	Sanchis-Ojeda et al. (2012)
Kepler-30	Kepler-30 c	TV	60.32310 ± 0.00024	12.30 ± 0.40	640 ± 50	Confirmed	Sanchis-Ojeda et al. (2012)
Kepler-30	Kepler-30 d	TV	143.3439 ± 0.0086	8.80 ± 0.50	23.1 ± 2.7	Confirmed	Sanchis-Ojeda et al. (2012)
Kepler-418	Kepler-418 c	Т	12.218260 ± 0.000010	7.01 ± 0.93	< 203	Validated	Tingley et al. (2014)
Kepler-418	Kepler-418 b	Т	86.678560 ± 0.000070	13.5 ± 1.8	< 350	$Confirmed^h$	Tingley et al. (2014)

^{*a*}Minimum mass ($M_p \sin i$). ^{*b*}Mass from Hellier et al. (2017). ^{*c*}Validated by Mantovan et al. (2022). ^{*d*}Also known as Kepler-89. ^{*e*}TTV detection by Feinstein et al. (2022). ^{*f*}Upper uncertainty of period corrected from the original paper (Q.H. Tran 2022, personal communication). ^{*g*}Mass upper limit from Hobson et al. (2021). ^{*h*}Confirmed with multicolour photometry.

APPENDIX B: DIAGNOSTICS FOR HARPS SPECTRA

We present the diagnostics and stellar activity indicators computed by the HARPS Data Reduction Software in Table B1. Periodograms of select stellar activity indicators are shown in Fig. 7.

N S/N 50) (Ord. 60)	00 39.60 80 34.80	80 25.20	90 27.10	80 39.30	40 41.80	10 34.10	00 42.40	50 35.70	90 40.20	39.70	50 21.50	50 32.00	40 34.40	0 7.20	0002 00	UC.UC UC	20 39.20 20 39.20	20 39.20 20 39.20 70 29.00	20 39.20 20 39.20 70 29.00 80 48.20	20 39.20 20 39.20 70 29.00 80 48.20 50 37.30	20 29.20 20 39.20 70 29.00 80 48.20 50 37.30 70 34.80	0000 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 50 37.30 70 34.80 70 50.90	20 39.20 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 70 50.90 40 48.40	20 50.50 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 70 50.90 40 48.40 40 56.50	30 30, 30, 20 20 39, 20 80 48, 20 50 37, 30 70 50, 90 70 50, 90 70 50, 90 40 48, 40 40 56, 50 30 57, 00	20 3050 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 70 50.90 70 50.90 40 48.40 40 56.50 30 57.00 20 42.40	20 39.20 21 39.20 30 48.20 30 34.80 70 50.90 70 34.80 70 50.90 70 50.90 70 50.90 70 50.90 70 50.90 31 56.50 30 57.00 30 57.10 30 57.310	20 39.20 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 770 50.90 70 54.80 70 50.90 40 48.40 40 56.50 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00	20 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50,	20 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50,	20 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50,	20 50, 20, 20 20 39, 20 80 48, 20 70 29,00 70 34, 80 70 50, 90 71 50, 90 70 50, 90 40 56, 50 30 57, 00 30 57, 00 30 57, 00 30 57, 00 30 57, 00 30 57, 00 30 47, 60 50 51, 70 30 51, 70 30 51, 70 30 53, 10 30 53, 10 30 53, 10 30 53, 10 30 51, 70 30 51, 70 30 51, 70 30 51, 70 30 51, 70 30 51, 70 30 51, 70	20 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50,	50 50.00 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 39.20 70 39.20 70 39.20 70 39.20 70 39.20 70 34.80 70 50.90 80 57.00 90 51.10 90 53.10 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 90 51.700 91 75.000 92 52.000 44 50.00 50 47.20	20 50,000 20 39,20 80 48,20 70 29,00 70 29,00 70 34,80 40 56,50 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 57,00 30 51,70 30 51,70 30 51,70 30 51,70 30 51,70 50 64,50 50 52,00 50 52,00 50 52,00 50 52,00 50 52,00 50 52,00 50 52,00 50 52,00 <th>20 50,000 20 39,20 80 48,20 70 29,00 70 29,00 70 34,80 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 <th>20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 51.70 30 57.00 30 57.60 30 57.60 30 57.60 31.70 55.80 32.40 55.80</th><th>20 30.20 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 30 57.00 30 47.60 30 57.00 30 57.60 31 55.80 30 57.60 31 55.80 32 44.80</th><th>20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 50 47.60 50 57.00 30 57.60 30 57.60 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 57.10 <</th><th>20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 50 47.60 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 52.00 50 52.00 50 57.60 50 57.60 50 57.10 50 57.20 50 57.20 <</th></th>	20 50,000 20 39,20 80 48,20 70 29,00 70 29,00 70 34,80 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 50,90 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 70 51,70 <th>20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 51.70 30 57.00 30 57.60 30 57.60 30 57.60 31.70 55.80 32.40 55.80</th> <th>20 30.20 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 30 57.00 30 47.60 30 57.00 30 57.60 31 55.80 30 57.60 31 55.80 32 44.80</th> <th>20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 50 47.60 50 57.00 30 57.60 30 57.60 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 57.10 <</th> <th>20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 50 47.60 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 52.00 50 52.00 50 57.60 50 57.60 50 57.10 50 57.20 50 57.20 <</th>	20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 50 37.30 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 51.70 30 57.00 30 57.60 30 57.60 30 57.60 31.70 55.80 32.40 55.80	20 30.20 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 30 57.00 30 47.60 30 57.00 30 57.60 31 55.80 30 57.60 31 55.80 32 44.80	20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 50 47.60 50 57.00 30 57.60 30 57.60 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 55.80 50 57.10 <	20 30.30 20 39.20 80 48.20 70 29.00 70 29.00 70 34.80 40 56.50 31.30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 57.00 30 47.60 50 47.60 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 51.70 50 52.00 50 52.00 50 57.60 50 57.60 50 57.10 50 57.20 50 57.20 <
N S/. 10) (Ord.	10 40. 0 35 5	0 25.8	0 27.	70 40.:)0 44. [,]	0 35.	<u></u> €)0 45.0	40 37	50 42.	42.4	0 22	0 33	0 35.	0 6.1		00 52.)0 52. 0 39.	00 52.0 0 39.0 0 29.0	00 52.3 0 39.5 0 29.5 70 29.5	00 52.2 0 39.2 0 29.2 70 49. 0 37.	0 52.2 0 39.2 0 29.2 0 49.5 0 37.5 0 35.	00 52.2 0 39.2 0 39.2 0 292 0 49.0 0 377.0 0 355.0 50 50	00 52.3 0 0 39.5 70 49.5 50 49 49.49 49.49	00 52.2 0 0 39.2 0 0 29.2 0 0 33.2 0 33.5 50 0 50 50	00 52.2 0 0 52.2 0 0 29.2 0 0 39.2 0 0 39.2 0 0 39.2 0 0 39.2 0 0 39.2 0 0 35.2 0 0 35.2 0 56.2 50.2 0 55.2 55.2	0 22.2 0 29.2 0 29.2 0 39.2 0 39.2 0 39.2 0 49.2 0 49.2 0 56.2 10 49.2 10 49.2 10 49.2 10 49.2 10 49.2 10 49.2 10 49.2 10 49.2 10 56.2 10	00 52.3 00 0 29.5 00 0 34.4 00 0 34.4 00 0 34.4 00 0 35.5 00 56.0 56.4 00 56.5 56.5 00 56.5 56.5 01 0 56.5 02 56.5 56.5 03 56.5 56.5 03 56.5 56.5	00 52.2 0 0 52.2 0 0 29.2 0 0 29.2 0 0 49.2 0 29.2 0 49.4 0 29.4 0 49.4 1 49.4 0 49.4 1 49.	00 522 00 0 0 </td <td>00 52.3 00 52.4 00 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 55.5 <</td> <td>00 52.3 00 52.4 00 23.5 00 33.5 00 34.4 00 55.5</td> <td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>00 522 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 203 00 203</td> <td>0 0 522 0 0 522 0 0 29 0 0 0 29 0 0 29 0 0 29 0</td> <td>00 00<</td> <td>00 0</td> <td>00 0</td> <td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>00 0</td> <td>00 0</td>	00 52.3 00 52.4 00 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 52.4 100 55.5 <	00 52.3 00 52.4 00 23.5 00 33.5 00 34.4 00 55.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00 522 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 292 00 203 00 203	0 0 522 0 0 522 0 0 29 0 0 0 29 0 0 29 0 0 29 0	00 00<	00 0	00 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00 0	00 0
S/I (Ord.	11.	6.4	6.9	11.1	14.(9.6	12.5	10.4	12.5	11.4	5.2	8.6	8.8	0.5		15.(15.(8.8	15.(8.8 7.6	15.0 8.8 7.6 13.7	15.0 8.8 7.6 13.5 8.4	15.0 8.8 7.6 13.7 8.4 8.4 8.1	15.0 8.8 7.6 13.7 8.4 8.4 8.1 8.1 10.0	15.0 8.8 7.6 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.1 10.0 10.0	15.0 8.8 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.1 10.0 11.0 12.0	15.0 8.8 8.1 3.7.6 8.4 8.1 13.7 10.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4	15.0 8.8 8.1 8.4 10.4 12.4 12.4 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6	8.8 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.4 10 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4	2.15.0 8.8 8.1 8.1 8.1 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12	8.8 8.4 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.5 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	2.65 8.8 8.4 13.5 10.0 12.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 13.6 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10	2.15.0 8.8 8.13.7 8.6 10.0 12.7 8.6 12.0 12.7 12.7 12.0 13.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12	8.8 8.12 1.2 8.6 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	8.8 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.4 12,4 13,5 13,5 13,5 14,5 14,5 14,5 14,5 14,5 14,5 14,5 14	2.15.0 8.8 8.4 1.2 2.4 1.2 2.4 1.2 2.4 1.2 2.4 1.2 2.4 1.2 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2	8.8 8.8 13.0 12.7 12.7 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0	8.8 8.8 13.5 8.8 12.6 12.6 13.5 13.5 13.5 10.6 11.5 13.5 10.6 11.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5	8.8 8.8 13.5 8.4 12.6 13.5 13.5 14.1 12.6 13.5 13.5 14.1 12.6 14.1 12.6 14.1 12.6 14.1 12.6 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14	8.8 8.8 13.7 12.0 11.8 13.5 13.5 12.0 11.5 13.5 12.5 13.5 12.5 13.5 12.5 13.5 12.5 13.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12	8.8 8.8 13.5 8.8 13.5 12.4 12.4 13.5 13.5 14.1 15.6 10.5 14.1 15.6 14.1 15.6 14.1 15.6 14.1 15.6 14.1 15.6 14.1 15.6 14.1 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15	2.12 2.12
S/N (Сап Hk	7.30	4.00	4.40	7.80	9.40	6.20	8.30	6.60	8.00	7.20	3.20	5.40	5.00	0.30		9.10	9.10 5.00	9.10 5.00 4.30	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70 4.40	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70 4.40 5.70	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 8.10 4.70 5.70 5.70	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 8.10 4.70 7.20 6.70	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70 4.40 5.70 5.70 6.70 8.10	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70 5.70 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.10	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70 5.70 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.00	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70 5.70 6.70 6.70 8.10 8.00 5.20	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 4.70 5.70 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.10 8.20 7.50 7.50	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 4.40 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.00 5.20 5.70 5.70	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 7.20 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.10 8.10 8.10 5.70 5.70 5.70 5.70 5.70	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 7.20 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.10 8.10 8.10 5.20 5.20 5.30 5.30	9.10 5.00 4.30 8.10 4.70 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.00 5.20 5.20 5.30 6.70 6.70 6.70	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 4.70 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.00 5.20 5.20 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 4.70 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.10 7.20 5.20 5.20 5.70 5.70 5.70 5.70 5.70 5.70 5.70 5.7	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 4.40 5.70 5.70 8.10 8.10 8.10 5.20 5.20 5.20 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.3	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 4.40 5.70 6.70 8.10 8.10 7.50 5.20 5.20 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.3	 9.10 5.00 5.00 4.70 4.70 4.40 5.70 5.70 6.70 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 7.20 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.10 7.50 5.30 6.70 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.3	9.10 5.00 8.10 8.10 7.20 6.70 6.70 8.10 8.10 8.10 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.30 5.3
$\sigma_{R_{ m HK}}$	0.0401	0.3553	0.0739	0.0463	0.0226	0.0360	0.0394	0.0685	0.0447	0.0653	0.0903	0.0714	0.0427	0.3231		0.0220	0.0220 0.0462	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750 0.0750	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750 0.0750 0.0468	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750 0.0750 0.0468 0.0538 0.0306	0.0220 0.0462 0.0178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.1777 0.1750 0.0468 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0572	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0370 0.1777 0.0380 0.1777 0.0750 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0538 0.03306 0.03306	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0379 0.0376 0.1777 0.1777 0.1777 0.1777 0.0468 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0529 0.0618	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750 0.01750 0.0468 0.07538 0.0538 0.0538 0.0572 0.0572 0.0518 0.0618	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750 0.0468 0.0750 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0538 0.0572 0.0299 0.0618 0.0316 0.0316	0.0220 0.0462 0.0462 0.0379 0.0800 0.1177 0.0379 0.0468 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0538 0.0538 0.0518 0.0299 0.0618 0.0316 0.0316	0.0520 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0538 0.0299 0.0618 0.0299 0.0618 0.0316 0.0316 0.0316 0.0330	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0800 0.1777 0.0750 0.0468 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0539 0.0618 0.0530 0.0405 0.0316 0.0316 0.0337 0.0530	0.0520 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0379 0.0750 0.0750 0.0468 0.0538 0.0539 0.0618 0.0530 0.0405 0.0316 0.0405 0.0330 0.0530 0.0530	0.0220 0.0462 0.1178 0.0379 0.0379 0.0750 0.0750 0.0468 0.0336 0.0538 0.0539 0.0618 0.0405 0.0397 0.0530 0.0432 0.0530 0.0530	0.0220 0.0462 0.0462 0.0379 0.0800 0.0750 0.0750 0.0750 0.0750 0.0538 0.0538 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0397 0.0530 0.0530 0.05590 0.05590 0.05590 0.05590	0.0220 0.0462 0.0462 0.0379 0.0800 0.0750 0.0750 0.0538 0.0538 0.0538 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0618 0.0405 0.0397 0.0590 0.0432 0.0590 0.0470 0.0590	0.0220 0.0462 0.0462 0.0379 0.0800 0.1178 0.0800 0.0468 0.0538 0.0538 0.0316 0.0405 0.0316 0.0316 0.0316 0.0316 0.0316 0.0337 0.0397 0.0590 0.0470 0.0352 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.04770 0.0590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.03590 0.0470 0.0350 0.0470 0.0350 0.0405 0.0405 0.0590 0.0405 0.0590 0.0405 0.0590 0.0465 0.0590 0.0465 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0405 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0516 0.0590 0.0500 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000	0.0220 0.0462 0.0462 0.0379 0.0800 0.1178 0.0800 0.0468 0.0538 0.0316 0.0405 0.0316 0.0405 0.0316 0.0405 0.0337 0.0590 0.0470 0.0352 0.	0.0220 0.0462 0.0462 0.0379 0.0800 0.1178 0.0379 0.0468 0.0538 0.0530 0.0470 0.0530 0.0470 0.0530 0.0470 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0590 0.0505 0.0505 0.0505
$R_{ m HK}$	-5.1119 -5.2034	-5.7532	-5.1136	-5.2104	-5.0024	-4.9771	-5.1841	-5.2999	-5.2165	-5.3251	-5.0605	-5.2113	-4.9677	-4.7248		-4.9837	-4.9837 -4.9929	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.5118	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.5118	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.5118 -5.2838 -5.2838	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.2838 -5.2838 -5.2838 -5.2838	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.1815 -5.2137 -5.2137	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.118 -5.2838 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.2137	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.137 -5.137 -5.0766 -5.1195	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0585 -5.1458	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.1815 -5.1766 -5.1195 -5.1458 -5.1458 -5.0440	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.118 -5.2838 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0140 -5.0440 -5.0081	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.1544 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1458 -5.0861 -5.0081 -5.0081	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.1815 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1458 -5.0440 -5.0081 -5.0081 -5.0081 -5.0764	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.1195 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0764 -5.0400 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0764	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0764 -5.0440 -5.0440 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.1231 -5.1228	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2137 -5.1815 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0764 -5.1231 -5.0764 -5.1228	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.1544 -5.1544 -5.118 -5.1185 -5.1185 -5.137 -5.137 -5.137 -5.137 -5.137 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0764 -5.0362 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0764 -5.1228 -5.0764 -5.1228 -5.0764 -5.1228 -5.0764 -5.1228 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.1228 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.1228 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0867 -5.0877 -5.0867 -5.0877 -5.0877 -5.0877 -5.0877 -5.08777 -5.087777 -5.08777777777777777777777777777777777777	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.1544 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.2838 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.2137 -5.0195 -5.0195 -5.0195 -5.0195 -5.0081 -5.0081 -5.0081 -5.0081 -5.0081 -5.0081 -5.0128 -5.0112 -5.0287 -5.0281 -5.0287	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0585 -5.0440 -5.0681 -5.0681 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0764 -5.0281 -5.0764 -5.0281	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.3050 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.1815 -5.2838 -5.1195 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0440 -5.0440 -5.0681 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0764 -5.0281 -5.0281 -5.0281 -5.0287	-4.9837 -4.9929 -5.1544 -5.1544 -5.1835 -5.118 -5.1815 -5.2137 -5.0766 -5.1195 -5.0764 -5.1458 -5.0440 -5.0440 -5.0440 -5.0440 -5.0440 -5.0681 -5.0862 -5.0862 -5.0281 -5.0281 -5.0281 -5.0281 -5.0287 -5.0293 -5.0993 -5.0942
σ _{SMW}	0.007525	0.015215	0.013803	0.006915	0.005445	0.009203	0.006252	0.008328	0.006589	0.007491	0.019056	0.010651	0.011154	0.147719		0.005551	0.005551 0.011396	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.005438 0.013265 0.013265 0.009468	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.003468 0.007471	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.003468 0.007471 0.007471	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.005438 0.012714 0.013265 0.009468 0.007471 0.007471 0.006230	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.002468 0.0013265 0.009468 0.007471 0.007471 0.007375 0.006230	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.004468 0.004468 0.004471 0.004471 0.006230 0.006230 0.006331	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.00468 0.007975 0.007975 0.006230 0.012376	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.007471 0.007471 0.006230 0.012376 0.006331 0.010724 0.006937	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.003468 0.007975 0.007975 0.006230 0.012376 0.006331 0.010724 0.006937 0.006937	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.004468 0.004468 0.007975 0.007975 0.007975 0.007975 0.007975 0.006331 0.006331 0.006937 0.000647	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.012714 0.00468 0.007975 0.007975 0.006230 0.012376 0.006331 0.006331 0.006331 0.006331 0.006937 0.006937	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.012714 0.013265 0.007975 0.007975 0.007975 0.007975 0.006331 0.010724 0.006937 0.006937 0.007901 0.007901 0.007901	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.007975 0.007975 0.006230 0.012376 0.006230 0.01224 0.006331 0.010724 0.006937 0.006937 0.007901 0.010778 0.007885	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.007471 0.007471 0.006230 0.012376 0.006231 0.006331 0.010724 0.006937 0.006937 0.006937 0.006937 0.007885 0.007885	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.007975 0.007975 0.006230 0.010774 0.006331 0.010724 0.006331 0.010724 0.006618 0.007885 0.007861 0.0006618	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.007975 0.007471 0.006230 0.010724 0.006331 0.010724 0.006331 0.010724 0.006618 0.007885 0.010778 0.007885 0.007885	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.007471 0.007471 0.006230 0.012376 0.006331 0.010724 0.006331 0.010778 0.007885 0.010778 0.007885 0.010778 0.0005366 0.010778	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.006438 0.012714 0.013265 0.007471 0.007471 0.006331 0.010724 0.006331 0.010724 0.006618 0.007885 0.007885 0.007885 0.007885 0.007885 0.007787 0.007875 0.007555 0.010755	0.005551 0.011396 0.014166 0.005438 0.012714 0.012714 0.007975 0.007975 0.006230 0.010778 0.006618 0.010778 0.007901 0.007901 0.00755 0.010778 0.009366 0.010778 0.009366 0.010778 0.009366 0.010778
S _{MW}	0.131114	0.083744	0.146261	0.130054	0.169925	0.176227	0.134105	0.117973	0.129155	0.114993	0.156819	0.129927	0.178656	0.263719		0.174546	0.174546 0.172245	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204 0.097573 0.119957	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204 0.134204 0.097573 0.119957 0.134521	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204 0.19957 0.119957 0.11957	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204 0.134521 0.134521 0.134521 0.129571 0.129571	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204 0.19957 0.119957 0.134521 0.129571 0.129571 0.1253473 0.153473	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204 0.097573 0.134221 0.134571 0.129571 0.129571 0.153473 0.145167 0.157244	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134204 0.07573 0.134204 0.13473 0.13473 0.153473 0.15571 0.15571 0.157244 0.157244	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.138994 0.138994 0.138994 0.138947 0.138957 0.137324 0.135473 0.145167 0.157244 0.140468 0.140468 0.140468	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.134521 0.134521 0.134521 0.134521 0.134521 0.134521 0.13473 0.145167 0.153473 0.160370 0.160370	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.097573 0.134521 0.134521 0.134521 0.129571 0.129571 0.129571 0.129571 0.153473 0.168561 0.168561 0.151552	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.134204 0.134204 0.097573 0.134221 0.13473 0.13473 0.13473 0.129571 0.153473 0.153473 0.157244 0.140468 0.160370 0.168561 0.151552 0.153522	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.134204 0.097573 0.134204 0.134521 0.13473 0.13473 0.13473 0.13473 0.15571 0.15522 0.160370 0.168561 0.155522 0.155522 0.144500	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.134904 0.134204 0.097573 0.134204 0.134521 0.13473 0.13473 0.13473 0.13473 0.15571 0.157244 0.140468 0.160370 0.16370 0.168561 0.151552 0.154560 0.144560 0.144560	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.134204 0.138994 0.138994 0.138994 0.138957 0.134204 0.134521 0.135473 0.145167 0.140468 0.140468 0.140468 0.140468 0.140468 0.140468 0.140468 0.140468 0.141500 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.134204 0.138994 0.138994 0.138994 0.138957 0.134204 0.134521 0.134521 0.135373 0.145167 0.145167 0.144560 0.1146717 0.1445600000000000000000000000000000000000	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.134204 0.138994 0.138994 0.138994 0.138957 0.134204 0.134521 0.13473 0.145167 0.145167 0.14660 0.146501 0.146501 0.146500 0.14650370 0.14650370 0.146717 0.146717 0.146717 0.146717 0.146717 0.151638	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.134204 0.134204 0.097573 0.134521 0.13473 0.13473 0.153473 0.153473 0.153473 0.15468 0.160370 0.164561 0.161552 0.166370 0.166370 0.1651522 0.16561 0.15552 0.144500 0.144500 0.144500 0.144500 0.151638 0.155053 0.155053 0.155053	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.134204 0.134204 0.097573 0.134521 0.13473 0.13473 0.153473 0.153473 0.15571 0.157244 0.145167 0.160370 0.160370 0.166370 0.166370 0.16561 0.15522 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.144560 0.1445700 0.1445700 0.1445700 0.1445700 0.1445700000000000000000000000000000000000	0.174546 0.172245 0.117349 0.138994 0.138994 0.134204 0.097573 0.134521 0.129571 0.129571 0.129571 0.15357 0.145167 0.14560 0.168561 0.168561 0.168561 0.168561 0.15522 0.168561 0.15552 0.14450000000000000000000000000000000000
$\sigma_{\rm Bis.span}$ (km s ⁻¹)	0.00198	0.00368	0.00336	0.00200	0.00174	0.00240	0.00181	0.00228	0.00193	0.00202	0.00461	0.00268	0.00258	0.03149		0.00155	0.00155 0.00234	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00249	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00249 0.00265	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00249 0.00265 0.00265	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00265 0.00265 0.00177	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00249 0.00265 0.00177 0.00173 0.00173	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00168 0.00165 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00168 0.00177 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00155	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00148 0.00157	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00155 0.00157 0.00157	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00148 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00165 0.00177 0.00155 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00166	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00177 0.00177 0.00155 0.00148 0.00148 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00194 0.00194	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00148 0.00148 0.00148 0.00148 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00166 0.00194 0.00194	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00148 0.00157 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157	0.00155 0.00234 0.00320 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00155 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00171	0.00155 0.00234 0.00249 0.00168 0.00173 0.00173 0.00155 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00171 0.00171 0.00174	0.00155 0.00234 0.00249 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00173 0.00155 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00171 0.00171 0.00174	0.00155 0.00234 0.00249 0.00168 0.00177 0.00177 0.00155 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00152 0.00152 0.00152 0.00152	0.00155 0.00234 0.00249 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00148 0.00148 0.00148 0.00148 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00166 0.00171 0.00174 0.00174 0.00171 0.00152 0.00152	0.00155 0.00234 0.00249 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00148 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00171 0.00174 0.00174 0.00174 0.001731 0.00152 0.00151 0.00151	0.00155 0.00234 0.00249 0.00168 0.00177 0.00173 0.00155 0.00157 0.00157 0.00157 0.00171 0.00171 0.00171 0.00171 0.00171 0.00152 0.00152 0.00152 0.00152
Bisector span (km s ⁻¹)	-0.02003 -0.02003	-0.01522	-0.02853	-0.02555	-0.01419	-0.02039	-0.02793	-0.02954	-0.02344	-0.03367	-0.01148	-0.02296	-0.01664	-0.04154		-0.02874	-0.02874 -0.02839	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035	$\begin{array}{r} -0.02874 \\ -0.02839 \\ -0.02301 \\ -0.02035 \\ -0.03065 \end{array}$	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.03065	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.03065 -0.02568	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.02055 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02833	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.0368 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.02833	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.03065 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.0240	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.020568 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.02833 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.021141	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.02668 -0.02833 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.01441	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.03065 -0.02688 -0.02833 -0.02833 -0.02833 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.01441 -0.01447 -0.01853	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.02068 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02540 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01947 -0.01853	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.02035 -0.020568 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02368 -0.02052 -0.02062	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.01683 -0.0141 -0.0147 -0.01853 -0.01792 -0.01792 -0.01767	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.02035 -0.0268 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.01680 -0.02833 -0.0141 -0.0147 -0.01853 -0.01792 -0.01767 -0.01767	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.03065 -0.02833 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01792 -0.01792 -0.01767 -0.01947 -0.01947	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.03065 -0.02833 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01477 -0.01792 -0.01792 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.00804	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02301 -0.02035 -0.03065 -0.03065 -0.02568 -0.02568 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01411 -0.01792 -0.01767 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.01777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.017777 -0.0177777777777777777777777777777777777	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.02035 -0.020568 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.02440 -0.01833 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01401 -0.01401 -0.01401 -0.01480	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.02568 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02310 -0.02400 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.0268 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01947 -0.01853 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.00617	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.02035 -0.0268 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.02833 -0.02833 -0.02833 -0.02440 -0.02440 -0.01411 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01947 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.00334	-0.02874 -0.02839 -0.02839 -0.02035 -0.020568 -0.01686 -0.01686 -0.01853 -0.01440 -0.01447 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01767 -0.01480 -0.01480 -0.01440 -0.01480 -0.01334 -0.00334
Contrast	58.089 58.062	57.997	57.965	58.010	57.958	58.163	58.085	58.053	58.012	58.033	58.082	58.202	58.031	56.618		57.997	57.997 57.839	57.997 57.839 58.045	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.247	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.247 58.155	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.155 58.070	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.078	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.155 58.155 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.187	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.247 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.078 58.187 58.187	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.078 58.187 58.187 57.897	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.070 58.078 58.078 58.078 57.897 57.777 57.749	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.078 58.187 57.749 57.749 57.640	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.155 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.078 58.078 58.078 58.078 57.777 57.749 57.749 57.640 57.640	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.078 58.078 58.078 58.078 58.078 57.777 57.749 57.640 57.668 57.447	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.187 58.078 58.187 58.187 57.777 57.897 57.749 57.749 57.640 57.640 57.648 57.640	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.187 58.078 58.078 58.187 57.777 57.897 57.749 57.749 57.749 57.640 57.648 57.543 57.542	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.078 58.078 57.777 57.749 57.749 57.668 57.749 57.668 57.668 57.668 57.668 57.678 57.678	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.155 58.070 58.078 58.078 57.777 57.749 57.640 57.648 57.648 57.668 57.668 57.668 57.668 57.668 57.678 57.678 57.678 57.678 57.678 57.678	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.155 58.247 58.070 58.078 58.078 57.749 57.749 57.640 57.640 57.648 57.749 57.648 57.749 57.648 57.749 57.648 57.658	57.997 57.839 58.045 58.157 58.157 58.070 58.078 58.078 57.777 57.749 57.640 57.640 57.648 57.749 57.648 57.749 57.648 57.542 57.542 57.542 57.542 57.542 57.542 57.543 57.658 57.542 57.658 57.542 57.658 57.542 57.542 57.542 57.543 57.555 57.543 57.5555 57.555 57.555 57.555 57.555 57.555 57.555 57.555 57.5555 57.5555 57.5555 57.5555 57.5555 57.55555 57.55555555	<i>57.997</i> <i>57.839</i> <i>58.045</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.070</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>57.897</i> <i>57.897</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.640</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.768</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i>	<i>57.997</i> <i>57.839</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.155</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>57.777</i> <i>57.897</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.640</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.640</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>58.034</i> <i>58.037</i> <i>58.037</i> <i>58.037</i> <i>58.037</i> <i>58.037</i> <i>58.037</i> <i>58.037</i>	<i>57.997</i> <i>57.839</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.155</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>57.777</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.768</i> <i>57.768</i> <i>57.7678</i> <i>57.542</i> <i>57.542</i> <i>57.542</i> <i>57.543</i> <i>57.543</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.678</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>57.738</i> <i>58.034</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378 <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.0378</i> <i>58.03788</i> <i>58.03788</i> <i>58.037888</i> <i>58.03788888888888888888888888888888888888</i></i>	<i>57.997</i> <i>57.839</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.157</i> <i>58.155</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>58.078</i> <i>57.777</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.640</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.749</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.747</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>57.648</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i> <i>58.057</i>
FWHM (km s ⁻¹)	7.29519 7.29097	7.31032	7.30489	7.31819	7.30821	7.29066	7.30339	7.29288	7.29914	7.30380	7.30647	7.31129	7.30873	7 37968		7.29472	7.29181	7.29472 7.29181 7.28208	7.29472 7.29181 7.29208 7.29308	7.29472 7.29181 7.28208 7.29308 7.29308	7.29472 7.29181 7.28208 7.29308 7.29257 7.29257	7.29472 7.29181 7.28208 7.29308 7.29257 7.29130	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29208 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.2994	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29130	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.29147	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29130 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.30293 7.30293 7.30293	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.30293 7.30258 7.30258 7.30258	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.30293 7.30258 7.31994 7.31540	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.20147 7.30293 7.30293 7.30293 7.31994 7.31540 7.31540	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.29147 7.30293 7.30269 7.31540 7.31540 7.31540	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29130 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.30569 7.31540 7.31540 7.31540 7.31504	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.30258 7.30258 7.31549 7.31540 7.31504 7.31504 7.30529	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.29147 7.30258 7.30258 7.30258 7.30569 7.31540 7.31540 7.30559 7.30569 7.31504 7.30559 7.30569	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.29585 7.30293 7.30569 7.31540 7.31504 7.31504 7.31504 7.31504 7.31504 7.31504 7.31504 7.305524 7.305524	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.20569 7.31540 7.31540 7.31540 7.31569 7.31566 7.31566 7.31566 7.31566 7.315662 7.315602 7.3056524 7.305695 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305785 7.305695 7.305585 7.305585 7.305695 7.305695 7.30558557 7.3055857 7.3055857577757577757777777777777777777777	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.30293 7.30569 7.31540 7.31569 7.31569 7.31569 7.31566 7.315602 7.305695 7.305695 7.305695 7.305695 7.30577 7.30579	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.29147 7.30569 7.31540 7.30569 7.31569 7.31569 7.30569 7.30569 7.30569 7.30569 7.30569 7.30569 7.30569 7.30571 7.29524 7.30511 7.29602 7.30511	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29308 7.29130 7.29130 7.29147 7.29147 7.30569 7.31540 7.30569 7.31540 7.30539 7.30539 7.30539 7.30539 7.30545 7.30539 7.30545 7.30511 7.29495 7.29495 7.29495 7.29495	7.29472 7.29472 7.29181 7.29181 7.29308 7.29308 7.29785 7.29785 7.29785 7.30539 7.31540 7.30539 7.31540 7.30539 7.30539 7.30539 7.30539 7.30539 7.30545 7.30539 7.30545 7.30545 7.30521 7.29495 7.29495 7.29495 7.29495 7.29495
$\sigma_{\rm RV}$ (km s ⁻¹)	0.00210	0.00374	0.00342	0.00211	0.00186	0.00250	0.00194	0.00237	0.00205	0.00214	0.00467	0.00277	0.00267	0.03150		0.00172	0.00172 0.00246	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00260	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00260 0.00274	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00260 0.00274 0.00193	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00260 0.00274 0.00193	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00260 0.00274 0.00193 0.00193	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00260 0.00193 0.00193 0.00173	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00184 0.00193 0.00189 0.00189 0.00173 0.00166	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00184 0.00193 0.00189 0.00173 0.00166 0.00173	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00193 0.00189 0.00189 0.00173 0.00166 0.00173 0.00173	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00189 0.00173 0.00175 0.00175 0.00175	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00193 0.00173 0.00175 0.00175 0.00175 0.00183 0.00183	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00173 0.00173 0.00175 0.00187 0.00183 0.00187	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00184 0.00193 0.00173 0.00189 0.00173 0.00187 0.00183 0.00187 0.00187	0.00172 0.00246 0.00284 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00189 0.00189 0.00183 0.00183 0.00183 0.00183 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187	0.00172 0.00246 0.00284 0.00184 0.00183 0.00193 0.00189 0.00183 0.00183 0.00183 0.00183 0.00183 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00181 0.00191	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00193 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00175 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187	0.00172 0.00246 0.00329 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00175 0.00175 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00178 0.00170	0.00172 0.00246 0.00246 0.00184 0.00193 0.00193 0.00173 0.00175 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00178 0.00178 0.00178	0.00172 0.00246 0.00246 0.00184 0.00184 0.00193 0.00173 0.00173 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00178 0.00178 0.00170 0.00178	0.00172 0.00246 0.00246 0.00184 0.00184 0.00173 0.00173 0.00183 0.00175 0.00183 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00187 0.00170 0.00170 0.00170 0.00170 0.00170
$RV \ (km s^{-1})$	8.15581 8.09973	8.14855	8.12825	8.11574	8.15102	8.13201	8.13202	8.13616	8.12881	8.11677	8.13285	8.09974	8.12831	8.11321		8.12950	8.12950 8.12197	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866 8.08866	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866 8.08515 8.10365	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866 8.08515 8.10365 8.10365	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866 8.08515 8.10365 8.10365 8.10600 8.12591	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866 8.08515 8.10365 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08815 8.08515 8.08515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08815 8.08515 8.08515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.12542 8.08755	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08816 8.08815 8.08815 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.12542 8.08954 8.08954 8.10205	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866 8.08815 8.08815 8.10600 8.12542 8.12542 8.08755 8.08954 8.10205 8.09822	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08515 8.10365 8.10365 8.10365 8.10365 8.10365 8.10365 8.08755 8.08755 8.08954 8.10205 8.09822 8.09822	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12591 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.10555 8.08954 8.10776 8.10770 8.10720	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08815 8.08815 8.08815 8.10365 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08815 8.038515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.12591 8.12542 8.10705 8.09822 8.10776 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08815 8.08815 8.10866 8.10365 8.10600 8.12591 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755 8.08755 8.08755 8.08755 8.10720 8.10720 8.12338 8.10720 8.12338 8.10720	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08815 8.08815 8.08815 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755 8.08755 8.08954 8.12765 8.09822 8.10720 8.12338 8.10720 8.12338 8.10720 8.12338 8.10720 8.12338 8.10720 8.12338	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08866 8.08815 8.088515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755 8.08954 8.08954 8.08954 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10720 8.10738 8.10720 8.12681 8.12681 8.12681 8.08856 8.09255	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755 8.08755 8.09822 8.10776 8.10720 8.10776 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10725 8.08856 8.08556 8.08555 8.08750	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755 8.08954 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10720 8.10756 8.10756 8.10750 8.10756 8.10720 8.10750 8.10757 8.10720 8.10757 8.10555 8.09555 8.09555 8.09555 8.09555	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08515 8.10365 8.10600 8.12591 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755 8.08954 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10720	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08815 8.088515 8.10600 8.12591 8.12591 8.12542 8.08755 8.08954 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10720 8.10776 8.107	8.12950 8.12197 8.08614 8.08515 8.08815 8.08815 8.10365 8.10600 8.12542 8.12542 8.12542 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10776 8.10720 8.107338 8.10776 8.10720 8.10338 8.10720 8.103324 8.103324 8.1356 8.09324 8.09324 8.09324 8.11356 8.01249
$r_{ m TDB} - 2400000$	5.762805 0.798279	6.721230	7.738282	39.628780	13.727714	16.723274	51.726865	52.729261	55.684397	59.722980	61.780234	66.671034	278.660823	282.759174		291.662808	291.662808 291.781561	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.754306	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.754306 297.682295	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.786053 296.754306 297.765977 297.765977	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 297.682053 297.765977 358.496526	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.686053 297.682295 297.765977 358.496526 358.601790	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.754306 297.66977 358.496526 358.601790 359.495659	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.754306 297.682295 358.496526 358.496526 358.601790 359.592451	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 296.754306 297.682295 358.496526 358.495526 359.495659 359.495659 359.476170	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.771282 295.686053 296.686053 297.765977 358.601790 359.495659 359.495659 359.47170 360.476170	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.754306 297.665977 358.496526 358.495526 358.495659 360.476170 360.565046 361.516541	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.754306 297.668053 358.495659 358.495659 350.456170 360.476170 360.565046 361.572662	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.754306 359.682055 358.601790 359.495659 359.495659 359.592451 360.565046 360.565046 361.572662 361.572662 361.572662	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.686053 296.686053 297.65977 358.6977 358.601790 358.601790 359.495659 358.601790 350.495659 358.601790 350.565046 361.572662 361.572662 368.549786	291.662808 291.781561 295.668144 295.68144 295.771282 296.686053 297.65977 297.65977 358.496526 358.496559 359.495659 359.495659 359.592451 360.5164 361.572662 361.572662 368.549786 368.549786 369.477343	91.662808 91.781561 94.581135 95.68144 95.68144 96.686053 97.68053 97.76295 97.765977 58.496526 58.495265 59.495659 59.495659 59.594451 50.476170 60.565046 61.516541 61.572662 68.480158 69.477343 69.566148	291.662808 291.581135 295.668144 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.586053 297.682295 297.765977 558.496526 559.495659 559.495659 559.495659 559.495659 560.565046 561.516541 561.516541 561.516541 561.51662 560.566148 561.51662 560.46575 570.486575	291.662808 291.662808 295.668144 295.668144 295.771282 296.686053 296.754306 359.696596 358.601790 359.495659 359.495659 350.476170 360.476170 360.476170 360.476170 361.516541 361.516541 361.572662 368.549786 368.549786 368.549786 368.5497785 369.477343 370.571550	291.662808 291.781561 295.668144 295.668144 295.688144 295.771282 297.765977 358.601790 359.4955659 358.495659 350.456596 360.565046 361.572662 361.572662 368.549786 361.572662 368.549786 369.57158 369.57550 371.478252	291.662808 291.781561 294.581135 295.668144 295.668144 295.688144 295.771282 297.765977 297.765977 358.601790 359.495659 358.601790 359.592451 360.565046 361.572662 360.565046 361.572662 368.480158 369.566148 369.566148 369.566148 370.486575 370.571550 371.478262 371.550679

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/524/1/1113/7191273 by University of Southern Queensland user on 28 July 2023

1138 L. Sha et al.

¹Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 475 N Charter St, Madison, WI 53706, USA

²Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

³Centre for Astrophysics, University of Southern Queensland, West Street, Toowoomba, QLD 4350 Australia

⁴Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

⁵Centre for Exoplanets and Habitability, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

⁶ Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Av. Diagonal las Torres 2640, Peñalolén, Santiago, Chile

⁷Millennium Institute for Astrophysics, Macul, Santiago, Chile

⁸Data Observatory Foundation, Providencia, Santiago, Chile

⁹Department of Astronomy, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

¹⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, USA

¹¹Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

¹²European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Straße 2, Garching bei München D-85748, Germany

¹³Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, K'onigstuhl 17, Heidelberg D-69117, Germany

¹⁴Department of Physics, Engineering and Astronomy, Stephen F. Austin State University, 1936 North St, Nacogdoches, TX 75962, USA

¹⁵NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

¹⁶Instituto de Astrofísica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Avda. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile

¹⁷Instituto de Astrofisica e Ciencias do Espaco, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, P-4150-762 Porto, Portugal ¹⁸Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, Bd de l'Observatoire, CS 34229, 06304 Nice CEDEX 4, France

¹⁹Department of Astronomy, Sofia University 'St Kliment Ohridski', 5 James Bourchier Blvd, BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

²⁰Caltech/IPAC, Mail Code 100-22, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

²¹Perth Exoplanet Survey Telescope, Perth, Australia

²²Tsinghua International School, Beijing 100084, China

²³Villa '39 Observatory, Landers, CA 92285, USA

²⁴Hazelwood Observatory, Churchill, Victoria, Australia
 ²⁵NASA Exoplanet Science Institute, Caltech/IPAC, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

²⁶Kotizarovci Observatory, Sarsoni 90, 51216 Viskovo, Croatia

²⁷Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), ESAC Campus, Camino Bajo del Castillo s/n, Villanueva de la Cañada, E-28692 Madrid, Spain

²⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

²⁹Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

³⁰Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

³¹Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

³²NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Road, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

³³University of Maryland, Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA

³⁴Department of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

³⁵Bryant Space Science Center, Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.