



**SPATIAL ANALYSIS AND MODELLING OF FLOOD RISK AND
CLIMATE ADAPTATION CAPACITY FOR ASSESSING URBAN
COMMUNITY AND CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE
INTERDEPENDENCY**

A Dissertation submitted by
Rodolfo Espada, Jr.
BSF (UP Los Baños)
MENRM (UPOU Los Baños)

For the award of
Doctor of Philosophy
2014

Abstract

Flood hazards are the most common and destructive of all natural hazards in the world. A series of floods that hit the south east region of Queensland in Australia from December 2010 to January 2011 caused a massive devastation to the State, people, and its critical infrastructures. GIS-based risk mapping is considered a vital component in land use planning to reduce the adverse impacts of flooding. However, the integrated mapping of climate adaptation strategies, analysing interdependencies of critical infrastructures, and finding optimum decisions for natural disaster risk reduction in floodplain areas remain some of the challenging tasks. In this study, I examined the vulnerability of an urban community and its critical infrastructures to help alleviate these problem areas. The aim was to investigate the vulnerability and interdependency of urban community's critical infrastructures using an integrated approach of flood risk and climate adaptation capacity assessments in conjunction with newly developed spatially-explicit analytical tools.

As to the research area, I explored Brisbane City and identified the flood-affected critical infrastructures such as electricity, road and rail, sewerage, stormwater, water supply networks, and building properties. I developed a new spatially-explicit analytical approach to analyse the problem in four components: 1) transformation and standardisation of flood risk and climate adaptation capacity indicating variables using a) high resolution digital elevation modelling and urban morphological characterisation with 3D analysis, b) spatial analysis with fuzzy logic, c) geospatial autocorrelation, among others; 2) fuzzy gamma weighted overlay and topological cluster analyses using Bayesian joint conditional probability theory and self-organising neural network (SONN); 3) examination of critical infrastructure interdependency using utility network theory; and 4) analysis of optimum natural disaster risk reduction policies with Markov Decision Processes (MDP).

The flood risk metrics and climate adaptation capacity metrics revealed a geographically inverse relationship (e.g. areas with very high flood risk index occupy a low climate adaptation capacity index). Interestingly, majority of the study area (93%) exhibited negative climate adaptation capacity metrics (-22.84 to < 0) which indicate that the resources (e.g. socio-economic) are not sufficient to increase the climate resiliency of the urban community and its critical infrastructures. I utilised these sets of information in the vulnerability assessment of critical infrastructures at single system level. The January 2011 flood instigated service disruptions on the following infrastructures: 1) electricity supplies along 627km (75%) and 212km (25%) transmission lines in two separate areas; 2) road and rail services along 170km (47%) and 2.5km (38%) networks, respectively; 3) potable water supply along 246km (56%) distribution lines; and 4) stormwater and sewerage services along 33km (91%) and 32km (78%) networks, respectively.

From the critical infrastructure interdependency analysis, the failure of sewerage system due to the failure of electricity supply during the January 2011 flood exemplified the first order interdependency of critical infrastructures. The ripple effects of electricity failure down to road inaccessibility for emergency evacuation demonstrated the higher order interdependency. Moreover, an inverted pyramid

structure demonstrated that the hierarchy of climate adaptation strategies of the infrastructures was graded from long-term measures (e.g. elimination) down to short-term measures (e.g. protection).

The analysis with Markov Decision Processes (MDP) elucidated that the Australian Commonwealth government utilised the natural disaster risk reduction expenditure to focus on recovery while the State government focused on mitigation. There was a clear indication that the results of the MDP analysis for the State government established an agreement with the previous economic analysis (i.e. mitigation could reduce the cost of recovery by 50% by 2050 with benefit-cost ratio of 1.25).

The newly developed spatially-explicit analytical technique, formulated in this thesis as the *flood risk-adaptation capacity index-adaptation strategies (FRACIAS) linkage model*, integrates the flood risk and climate adaptation capacity assessments for floodplain areas. Exacerbated by the absence of critical infrastructure interdependency assessment in various geographic analyses, this study enhanced the usual compartmentalised methods of assessing the flood risk and climate adaptation capacity of flood plain areas. Using the different drivers and factors that exposed an urban community and critical interdependent infrastructures to extreme climatic event, this work developed GIS-enabled systematic analysis which established the nexus between the descriptive and prescriptive modelling to climate risk assessment.

Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own efforts, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Signature of Candidate

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Signature of Principal Supervisor

Date

Signature of Associate Supervisor

Date

Publications and Awards

Peer-Reviewed Conference Papers

Chapter 3

Espada, R., Apan, A. & McDougall, K., 2012. *Spatial modelling of adaptation strategies for urban built infrastructures exposed to flood hazards*. In: *Queensland Surveying and Spatial Conference 2012 (QSSC 2012)*, 13-14 Sept 2012. Brisbane City, Surveying and Spatial Sciences Institute.

Espada, R. J., Apan, A. & McDougall, K., 2013. *Understanding the January 2011 Queensland flood: the role of geographic interdependency in flood risk assessment for urban community*. In: *Australia and New Zealand Disaster and Emergency Management Conference (ANZDMC) 2013*, 28-30 May 2013. Brisbane City, AST Management Pty Ltd. pp. 68-88. ISBN: 978-1-922232-04-5.

Chapters 4 to 5

Espada, R., Apan, A. & McDougall, K., 2013. *Using spatial modelling to develop flood risk and climate adaptation capacity metrics for vulnerability assessments of urban community and critical water supply infrastructure*. In: *49th International Society of City and Regional Planners (ISOCARP) Congress 2013*, 1-4 October 2013. Brisbane City, International Society of City and Regional Planners (ISOCARP). ISBN: 978-94-90354-25-1.

Espada, R., Apan, A. & McDougall, K., 2013. *Using spatial modelling to develop flood risk and climate adaptation capacity metrics for assessing the vulnerability of urban community and critical electricity infrastructure*. In: *20th International Congress on Modelling and Simulation (MODSIM) 2013*, Adelaide, Modelling and Simulation Society of Australia and New Zealand (MSSANZ), pp. 2304-2310. ISBN: 978-0-9872143-3-1.

Journal Papers

Chapter 5

Espada, R., Apan, A., McDougall, K., 2014. *Vulnerability Assessment and Interdependency Analysis of Critical Infrastructures for Climate Adaptation and Resiliency*. Manuscript submitted on 28 February 2014 to *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment* for publication.

Chapter 6

Espada, R., Apan, A., McDougall, K, 2014. Spatial Modelling of Natural Disaster Risk Reduction Policies with Markov Decision Processes. Manuscript accepted on 20 June 2014 in *Applied Geography* for publication.

Awards

2013 ESRI Young Scholar Award for Australia – ESRI Australia and ESRI USA

2013 Queensland Spatial Excellence Award (Highly Commended Postgraduate Student) – Surveying and Spatial Sciences Institute (SSSI) Australia

2013 ACSC Postgraduate Student Seminar Research Paper Presentation First Prize Winner – International Centre for Applied Climate Sciences, University of Southern Queensland

2012 ACSC Postgraduate Student Seminar Research Paper Presentation First Prize Winner – Australian Centre for Sustainable Catchments, University of Southern Queensland

2011 Endeavour Postgraduate Award (Australia Awards) – Australian Government Department of Education

Acknowledgments

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my Principal Supervisor, Associate Professor Armando Apan for his wisdom, direction and motivation all throughout this research journey. The guidance of my Associate Supervisor, Professor Kevin McDougall, significantly helped me in framing up this thesis right from the very beginning. Access and funding support for the spatial datasets used in this study were also made possible because of their genuine generosity.

Besides my supervisors, my sincere gratitude goes to the Australian Government through the Department of Education for the Endeavour Postgraduate Award and the team from Austraining International for providing the financial support and scholarship management support, respectively.

This thesis would neither be accomplished nor completed without the access to other spatial datasets. As such, my heartfelt appreciations go as well to the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), Brisbane City Council (BCC), Energex Ltd., Queensland Fire and Rescue Service (QFRS), Queensland Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM), and Queensland Government Information Service (QGIS).

Finally, deepest thanks to my family, Marilou and Patricia Zelene, who have been very patient and understanding for my “absence” during the final stages of this thesis.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	ii
Certification of Dissertation	iv
Publications and Awards	v
Acknowledgments	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xvii
Abbreviations	xix
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problems and Significance	2
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Location of the Study Area	5
1.5 Overview of Research Methods	6
1.6 Scope and Limitation of the Study	9
1.7 Organisation of the Thesis	10
Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Overview of the Climate System	12
2.2 Climate and Climate Change in Australia and Queensland	12
2.3 Floods in Queensland and other Australian States	14
2.4 Flood Risk Assessment	16
2.4.1 Risk Components and its Relationships	16
2.5 Climate Adaptation Capacity	18
2.6 Developing Flood Risk and Climate Adaptation Capacity Indicating Variables	21
2.6.1 Geographic Information System	22
2.6.2 Spatial Analytical Techniques	22
2.6.2.1 Three Dimensional (3D) Analysis using LiDAR	22
2.6.2.2 Spatial Analysis with Fuzzy Logic	24
2.6.2.3 Proximity Analysis	25
2.6.2.4 Quadrat Analysis	25

2.6.2.5 Spatial Statistics with Collect Events Analysis	26
2.6.2.6 Modelling with Spatial Autocorrelation	26
2.6.2.7 Hot Spot Analysis	27
2.6.2.8 Line Statistical Analysis	27
2.7 Vulnerability Assessment of Critical Infrastructures for Interdependency Analysis	27
2.7.1 Application of Self-Organising Neural Network (SONN)	28
2.7.2 Application of Bayesian Joint Conditional Probability	29
2.7.3 Critical Infrastructure Interdependency Analysis	30
2.8 Optimisation Techniques with Markov Decision Processes	31
2.9 Summary	33
Chapter 3 METHODS FOR THE TRANSFORMATION AND STANDARDISATION OF INDICATING VARIABLES	35
3.1 Introduction	35
3.2 Key Concepts and Data Inputs	36
3.3 Data Transformation and Standardisation	40
3.3.1 Three Dimensional (3D) Analysis	42
3.3.1.1 Digital Elevation Modelling for Flood Hazard Analysis	42
3.3.1.2 Digital Building Modelling for Urban Morphological Characterisation	45
3.3.2 Spatial Analysis with Fuzzy Logic	47
3.3.3 Proximity Analysis	54
3.3.4 Quadrat Analysis	55
3.3.5 Spatial Statistics with Collect Events Analysis	57
3.3.6 Modelling with Spatial Autocorrelation	60
3.3.7 Hot Spot Analysis	70
3.3.8 Line Statistics	71
3.4 Summary and Conclusion	72
Chapter 4 USING SPATIAL MODELLING TO DEVELOP FLOOD RISK AND CLIMATE ADAPTATION CAPACITY METRICS	77
4.1 Introduction	77
4.2 Research Methods	78
4.2.1 Application of Self-Organising Neural Network (SONN)	78
4.2.2 Quantification of Flood Risk and Climate Adaptation Capacity Metrics	82

4.2.2.1 Calculating Bayesian Joint Conditional Probable Weights	83
4.2.2.2 GIS-based Weighted Overlay Analysis	83
4.3 Results and Discussions	84
4.3.1 Generated SOM/SONN Planes by Infrastructure Assets	84
4.3.2 Flood Risk and Climate Adaptation Capacity Models	90
4.3.3 Flood Risk and Adaptation Capacity Model Applications	99
4.4 Summary and Conclusion	102
Chapter 5 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURES FOR INTERDEPENDENCY ANALYSIS	104
5.1 Introduction	104
5.2 Research Methods	104
5.2.1 Setting the Dimensions of Critical Infrastructure Interdependency	104
5.2.2 Climate Risk Environment	105
5.2.3 Critical Infrastructures' Common Cause and Cascade Failures	106
5.2.3.1 Modelling the Individual Systems	107
5.2.4 Characterising the Critical Infrastructure Interdependencies	107
5.3 Results and Discussions	108
5.3.1 Vulnerability Assessment of Critical Infrastructures at Single System Level	108
5.3.1.1 Electricity Network Model	109
5.3.1.2 Road and Rail Networks	114
Road Network Model for Evacuation Routing	119
5.3.1.3 Water Supply Network Model	121
5.3.1.4 Sewerage Network Model	125
5.3.1.5 Stormwater Network Model	127
5.3.2 Critical Infrastructure Interdependencies	130
5.3.3 Climate Adaptation Strategies/Resiliency Measures	135
5.3.3.1 Electricity Network	136
5.3.3.2 Road and Rail Networks	137
5.3.3.3 Sewerage Network	138
5.3.3.4 Water Supply Network	139
5.3.3.5 Stormwater Network	140
5.3.3.6 Building Properties (Residential, Commercial and	

Industrial)	141
5.3.3.7 Hierarchy of Critical Infrastructures' Climate Adaptation Strategies	142
5.4 Summary and Conclusion	143
Chapter 6 SPATIAL MODELLING OF NATURAL DISASTER RISK REDUCTION POLICIES WITH MARKOV DECISION PROCESSES (MDP)	145
6.1 Introduction	145
6.2 Research Methods	146
6.2.1 Setting the Markov Decision Processes (MDP) Algorithms	146
6.2.1.1 State Variables	148
6.2.1.2 Action Variables	148
6.2.1.3 State Transition Probabilities	150
6.2.1.4 Reward Variables	151
6.2.1.5 Policy Iteration	154
6.2.1.6 Discounting Factors	155
6.2.2 Integration of Markov Decision Processes (MDP) with Geographic Information System (GIS)	155
6.3 Results and Discussions	157
6.4 Summary and Conclusion	166
Chapter 7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	167
7.1 Introduction	167
7.2 Summary of Findings	167
7.3 Conclusions	169
7.4 Recommendations for Future Works	170
REFERENCES	171
APPENDICES	203
Appendix 1 Selected indicating variables processed with fuzzy logic and corresponding FMVs	203
Appendix 2 Calculated global Moran's I statistics of flood risk and climate adaptation capacity indicating variables	205
Appendix 3 The summary of different MDP scenarios tested in the study	209
Appendix 4 The MDP expected utility maps for scenarios 17 and 29	211

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1.1	The location map of the study area	6
1.2	The input-process-output (IPO) model used in the study	8
1.3	The schematic layout of this Thesis	11
2.1	The Crichton's (1999) risk triangle/pyramid	16
3.1	The cross-functional process map used in the study	41
3.2	The flow chart of flood hazard analysis and urban morphological characterisation used in the study	42
3.3	The LiDAR-derived digital elevation model	45
3.4	The flood hazard index map	45
3.5	The building footprints map	46
3.6	The LiDAR-derived digital building model and building volume in 3D	46
3.7	Point and stick map of building FSI	47
3.8	Physical vulnerability index map from building floor space	47
3.9	The geometric interpretation of fuzzy small membership	48
3.10	The geometric interpretation of fuzzy large membership	48
3.11	The physical vulnerability index map of settlement indicating variable processed with fuzzy logic	49
3.12	Index maps of fifteen (15) social vulnerability indicating variables processed with fuzzy logic	50
3.13	Index maps of three (3) exposure indicating variables processed with fuzzy logic	53
3.14	The vulnerability index maps of access to emergency services and response time	55
3.15	The exposure index maps from infrastructure nodes	57
3.16	The consequential hazard maps of the study area	59
3.17	The function curves of hazard indicating variables	62
3.18	The function curves of physical vulnerability indicating variables	62
3.19	The function curves of social vulnerability indicating variables	63
3.20	The function curves of infrastructures' exposure indicating variables	63
3.21	The cluster and outlier (CO) maps of hazard indicating variables	67

3.22	The cluster and outlier (CO) maps of critical infrastructures' physical vulnerability indicating variables	68
3.23	The cluster and outlier (CO) maps of social vulnerability indicating variables	69
3.24	The cluster and outlier (CO) maps of critical infrastructures' exposure indicating variables	69
3.25	The heritage infrastructure exposure index map	70
3.26	The roads and rails vulnerability index map	71
4.1	The analogy between artificial neuron and biological neuron	78
4.2	The conceptual self-organising neural network (SONN) used in the study	79
4.3	The MATLAB import wizard tool	80
4.4	The MATLAB's neural network clustering tool	82
4.5	Example of ArcGIS weighted overlay analytical tool used in the study	84
4.6	The SOM/SONN planes of indicating variables for electricity infrastructure vulnerability assessment	85
4.7	The SOM/SONN planes of indicating variables for road and rail infrastructures vulnerability assessment	85
4.8	The SOM/SONN planes of indicating variables for sewerage infrastructure vulnerability assessment	86
4.9	The SOM/SONN planes of indicating variables for stormwater infrastructure vulnerability assessment	86
4.10	The SOM/SONN planes of indicating variables for water supply infrastructure vulnerability assessment	87
4.11	The SOM/SONN planes of indicating variables for integrated infrastructures vulnerability assessment	87
4.12	The weighted hazard index map for assessing specific and integrated infrastructures	90
4.13	The weighted physical vulnerability index map for assessing electricity infrastructure	90
4.14	The weighted physical vulnerability index map for assessing road and rail infrastructures	90
4.15	The weighted physical vulnerability index map for assessing sewerage infrastructure	91
4.16	The weighted physical vulnerability index map for assessing stormwater infrastructure	91
4.17	The weighted physical vulnerability index map for assessing water supply infrastructure	91

4.18	The weighted physical vulnerability index map for assessing the integrated infrastructures	91
4.19	The weighted social vulnerability index map for assessing electricity infrastructure	92
4.20	The weighted social vulnerability index map for assessing road and rail infrastructures	92
4.21	The weighted social vulnerability index map for assessing sewerage infrastructure	92
4.22	The weighted social vulnerability index map for assessing stormwater infrastructure	92
4.23	The weighted social vulnerability index map for assessing water supply infrastructure	93
4.24	The weighted social vulnerability index map for assessing the integrated infrastructure	93
4.25	The weighted exposure index map for assessing electricity infrastructure	93
4.26	The weighted exposure index map for assessing road and rail infrastructures	93
4.27	The weighted exposure index map for assessing sewerage infrastructure	94
4.28	The weighted exposure index map for assessing stormwater infrastructure	94
4.29	The weighted exposure index map for assessing water supply infrastructure	94
4.30	The weighted exposure index map for assessing the integrated infrastructures	94
4.31	The flood risk index map for assessing electricity infrastructure	95
4.32	The flood risk index map for assessing road and rail infrastructures	95
4.33	The flood risk index map for assessing sewerage infrastructure	96
4.34	The flood risk index map for assessing stormwater infrastructure	96
4.35	The flood risk index map for assessing water supply infrastructure	96
4.36	The flood risk index map for assessing the integrated infrastructures	96
4.37	The adaptation capacity index map for assessing electricity infrastructure	97
4.38	The adaptation capacity index map for assessing road and rail infrastructures	97
4.39	The adaptation capacity index map for assessing sewerage infrastructure	97

4.40	The adaptation capacity index map for assessing stormwater infrastructure	97
4.41	The adaptation capacity index map for assessing water supply infrastructure	98
4.42	The adaptation capacity index map for assessing the integrated infrastructures	98
4.43	The area coverage of flood risk and climate adaptation capacity by infrastructure asset	100
5.1	The dimensions of infrastructure interdependency used in this study	105
5.2	A sample query builder used to identify the geographic interdependency of electricity and sewerage networks	108
5.3	The Ergon Energy and Energex power distribution maps	109
5.4	The typical electricity supply system in Queensland	110
5.5	The electricity network map of the study area	111
5.6	The electricity network vulnerability maps on north east to south west areas using flood risk and climate adaptation capacity models	112
5.7	The electricity network vulnerability maps in the south east area using flood risk and climate adaptation capacity models	113
5.8	The road network map of Queensland	115
5.9	The road network map of the study area	116
5.10	The Queensland rail network	117
5.11	The train network map of Brisbane City	118
5.12	The road and rail networks vulnerability and flood evacuation route maps using flood risk and climate adaptation capacity models	121
5.13	The water supply network and assets in South East Queensland owned and managed by SEQ Water	122
5.14	The water supply network map of the study area	123
5.15	The generated water supply network vulnerability maps of the study area using flood risk and climate adaptation capacity models	124
5.16	The sewerage network map of the study area	125
5.17	The sewerage network vulnerability maps of the study area using flood risk and climate adaptation capacity models	127
5.18	The Brisbane River Environmental Values and Water Quality Objectives Schedule showing the coverage of urban stormwater infrastructure	128
5.19	The stormwater network map of the study area	129

5.20	The stormwater network vulnerability maps of the study area using flood risk and climate adaptation capacity models	130
5.21	The integrated infrastructure vulnerability maps of the study area using flood risk and climate adaptation capacity models	131
5.22	The geographic interdependency of electricity and sewerage networks	132
5.23	The geographic interdependency of electricity, road, and sewerage networks	133
5.24	The co-location map of stormwater and sewerage networks	134
5.25	The critical infrastructure interdependency matrix	135
5.26	The hierarchy of infrastructure interdependency's climate adaptation and resiliency measures in Queensland in response to 2010/2011 floods	142
6.1	The schematic diagram of MDP used in the study	147
6.2	A sample schematic diagram of finding optimum natural disaster risk reduction policy with MDP and GIS	156
6.3	The MDP scenario 5 expected utility maps for very high (VH) flood risk future state using mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery action variables	160
6.4	The MDP scenario 5 expected utility maps for high (H) flood risk future state using mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery action variables	161
6.5	The MDP scenario 5 expected utility maps for moderate (M) flood risk future state using mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery action variables	162
6.6	The MDP scenario 5 expected utility maps for low (L) flood risk future state using mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery action variables	163

List of Tables

Table		Page
2.1	The Queensland's climatic conditions	13
2.2	Flood events in Queensland and other Australian States from 1899 to 2011	14
3.1	The thematic layers/indicating variables with corresponding assumptions used in the study	37
3.2	Flood risk and adaptation capacity index classification	41
3.3	The technical background information of LiDAR system and data	43
3.4	The flood hazard categories and risk description	44
3.5	The infrastructure nodes/points used in quadrat analysis for exposure assessment	56
3.6	Summary of generated z-scores and distance bands of food risk and climate adaptation capacity indicating variables used in the local Moran's I	61
3.7	The CO Type classes of hazard indicating variables with assigned ordinal values and perceived levels of flood risk	65
3.8	The CO Type classes of physical vulnerability indicating variables with assigned ordinal values and perceived levels of flood risk	66
3.9	The CO Type classes of social vulnerability indicating variables with assigned ordinal values and perceived levels of flood risk	66
3.10	The CO Type classes of exposure indicating variables with assigned ordinal values and perceived levels of flood risk	66
3.11	Procedural summary of the transformation and standardisation of indicating variables	72
4.1	The number of training performed in the neural network	81
4.2	The indicating variables used in the SOM/SONN analysis and corresponding Bayesian joint conditional probable weights	88
4.3	The area coverage (%) and corresponding flood risk and adaptation capacity metrics	101
5.1	The identity values of critical infrastructures	108
5.2	The electricity assets that participated in the electricity network model	111
5.3	Count of highly vulnerable electricity assets within very high flood risk zone or low adaptation capacity	112
5.4	Summary of potentially disrupted electricity transmission lines within the study area	113
5.5	The study area's potential road route to evacuation centre 1 (RNA Show Grounds)	120

5.6	The study area's potential road route to evacuation centre 2 (QEII Stadium)	120
5.7	Counts of highly to very highly vulnerable critical water supply network assets	124
5.8	Counts and lengths of highly vulnerable critical sewerage network assets	126
6.1	Total government expenditure by category 1990/91-2001/02	149
6.2	Total commonwealth expenditure by category 1990/91-2001/02	150
6.3	Total state and territory government expenditure by category 1990/91-2001/02	150
6.4	The state transition probabilities used in the MDP analysis	151
6.5	The total lost earnings for businesses impacted by the Queensland floods	151
6.6	The total lost earnings as a percentage of annual turnover for businesses impacted by the Queensland floods	152
6.7	The summary of selected MDP scenarios presented in the Chapter	157
6.8	The pattern of disaster risk reduction optimum policies	164
6.9	Summary of the expectimax values and optimum policies across the MDP scenarios	164

Abbreviations

3D	Three-Dimensional
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
AC	Adaptation Capacity
AEP	Average Exceedance Probability
AER	Australian Energy Regulator
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
ARI	Annual Recurrence Interval
AOV	Assigned Ordinal Value
BCC	Brisbane City Council
BCR	Benefit-Cost Ratio
BOM	Bureau of Meteorology
BTRE	Bureau of Transport and Resources Economics
CA	Climate Adaptation
CCA	Climate Change Adaptation
CEC	Commission of the European Communities
CCIQ	Chamber of Commerce and Industries Queensland
CIS	Critical Infrastructure System
CO	Cluster and Outlier
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DBM	Digital Building Model
DCCEE	Department of Climate Change and Energy Efficiency
DCS	Department Community Safety
DEFRA	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DERM	Department of Environment and Resource Management
DEWS	Department of Energy and Water Supply
DNRM	Department of Natural Resources and Mines
DOTARS	Department of Transport and Regional Services
DRR	Disaster Risk Reduction
DSM	Digital Surface Model
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
DTMR	Department of Transport and Main Roads
EHP	Environment and Heritage Protection
EMQ	Emergency Management Queensland

ENSO	El Niño/Southern Oscillation
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ERT	Emergency Response Time
FMV	Fuzzy Membership Values
FR	Flood Risk
FRACIAS	Flood Risk - Adaptation Capacity Index - Adaptation Strategies
FSE	Fuzzy Synthetic Evaluation
FSI	Floor Space Index
GIS	Geographic Information System
H	High Risk (Rating of flood risk model)
HH	High Values Surrounded by High Values
HL	High Values Surrounded by Low Values
IAG	Insurance Australia Group
ICC	Ipswich City Council
IDW	Inverse Distance Weight
IEO	Index for Education and Occupation
IER	Index for Economic Resources
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IRSAD	Index of Relative Socio-Economic Advantage and Disadvantage
IRSD	Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage
KML	Keyhole Markup Language
L	Low Risk (Rating of flood risk model)
LH	Low Values Surrounded by High Values
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LL	Low Values Surrounded by Low Values
M	Moderate Risk (Rating of flood risk model)
MDP	Markov Decision Processes
NDRRA	Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangements
NFRAG	National Flood Risk Advisory Group
NS	Not Significant
PFR	Perceived Flood Risk Level
QCA	Queensland Competition Authority
QCM	Quadrat Counting Method
QFCI	Queensland Floods Commission of Inquiry
QFRS	Queensland Fire and Rescue Service
QGIS	Queensland Government Information Service
QRA	Queensland Reconstruction Authority

QUDM	Queensland Urban Drainage Manual
QUU	Queensland Urban Utilities
RDA	Rapid Damage Assessment
SEIFA	Socio-Economic Index for Areas
SEQ	South East Queensland
SOM	Self-Organising Map
SONN	Self-Organising Neural Network
SoQ	State of Queensland
TC	Tropical Cyclones
TIFF	Tagged Image File Format
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNISDR	United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction
UQ-CGQ	University of Queensland Centre for Government Queensland
VH	Very High Risk (Rating of flood risk model)